

cdr

Predicting the potential distribution of the Endangered huemul deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* in North Patagonia

Item Type	Article
Authors	Quevedo, Paloma;von Hardenberg, Achaz;Pastore, Hernan;Alvarez, Jose;Corti, Paulo
Citation	Quevedo, P., von Hardenberg, A., Pastore, H., Álvarez, J. & Corti, P. (2017). Predicting the potential distribution of the Endangered huemul deer <i>Hippocamelus bisulcus</i> in North Patagonia, <i>Oryx</i> , 51(2), 315-323.
DOI	10.1017/S0030605315001106
Journal	Oryx
Download date	2026-05-21 17:54:12
Item License	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10034/621073

P. Quevedo et al.

Huemul deer in North Patagonia

Predicting the potential distribution of the Endangered huemul deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* in North Patagonia

PALOMA QUEVEDO, ACHAZ VON HARDENBERG, HERNÁN PASTORE, JOSÉ ÁLVAREZ
and PAULO CORTI

PALOMA QUEVEDO Landscape Ecology and Nature Conservation Master's Programme, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt Universität, Greifswald, Germany, and Instituto de Ciencia Animal y Programa de Investigación Aplicada en Fauna Silvestre, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

ACHAZ VON HARDENBERG Alpine Wildlife Research Centre, Gran Paradiso National Park, Aosta, Italy, and Department of Biological Sciences, University of Chester, UK

HERNÁN PASTORE Administración de Parques Nacionales, Bariloche, Argentina, and Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Bariloche, Argentina

JOSÉ ÁLVAREZ Corporación Nacional Forestal, Región de Los Lagos, Puerto Montt, Chile

PAULO CORTI (Corresponding author) Instituto de Ciencia Animal y Programa de Investigación Aplicada en Fauna Silvestre, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 567, Valdivia, Chile. E-mail pcorti@uach.cl

Received 15 June 2015. Revision requested 27 July 2015. Accepted 26 August 2015.

Abstract Habitat loss is one of the main threats to wildlife, particularly large mammals. Estimating the potential distribution of threatened species to guide surveys and conservation is crucial, primarily because such species tend to exist in small fragmented populations. The Endangered huemul deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* is endemic to the southern Andes of Chile and Argentina. Although the species occurs in the Valdivian Ecoregion, a hotspot for biodiversity, we have no information on its occupancy and potential distribution in this region. We built and compared species distribution models for huemul using the maximum entropy approach, using 258 presence records and sets of bioclimatic and geographical variables as predictors, with the objective of assessing the potential distribution of the species in the Valdivian Ecoregion. Annual temperature range and summer precipitation were the predictive variables with the greatest influence in the best-fitting model. Approximately 12,360 km² of the study area was identified as suitable habitat for the huemul, of which 30% is included in the national protected area systems of Chile and Argentina. The map of potential distribution produced by our model will

facilitate prioritization of future survey efforts in other remote and unexplored areas in which huemul have not been recorded since the 1980s, but where there is a high probability of their occurrence.

Keywords Andes, Argentina, Chile, *Hippocamelus bisulcus*, huemul, MaxEnt, potential distribution, species distribution model

Introduction

Conservation planning and forecasting rely on detailed knowledge of the ecological and geographical distribution of species. Species distribution models provide detailed predictions about the potential distribution of species by relating presence records to relevant environmental factors (Elith et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2006; Jetz et al., 2012).

If implemented accurately species distribution models are a powerful and repeatable means of mapping the potential distribution of species (Wintle et al., 2005). Models based on bioclimatic variables at macro scales have proven successful in predicting known distributions, and refined algorithms perform well with presence-only data and a limited number of localities (Elith & Leathwick, 2009). A major goal of species distribution models is to predict which areas within a region meet the characteristics of a species' ecological niche, which is part of the species' potential distribution (Anderson & Martínez-Meyer, 2004). In this context, MaxEnt, a machine-learning algorithm based on the maximum entropy theory, has been shown to outperform alternative presence-only models when sample sizes are small (Austin, 2007; Baldwin, 2009) and organisms have a restricted range (Elith et al., 2011). MaxEnt is particularly flexible in fitting complex responses: it estimates the target probability distribution of maximum entropy subject to a set of constraints, which imply the expected value for each feature matching their empirical means represented by real-value variables (Phillips et al., 2006). We used the MaxEnt algorithm to build a bioclimatic distribution model for the Endangered huemul

Hippocamelus bisulcus (Jiménez et al., 2008), which is endemic to the southern Andes of Chile and Argentina, and the most threatened of South America's 15 deer species (IUCN, 2013). This medium-sized deer is the only large herbivore inhabiting Patagonian forests. Although it inhabits a variety of environments, from mountain ranges > 500 m (Povilitis, 1986) to periglacial valleys at sea level in the Patagonian fjords (Frid, 2001), its primary habitat is montane forest dominated by *Nothofagus* spp. on Andean slopes. The huemul population has declined by 99%, and its distribution by 50%, since the arrival of European settlers during the 19th century (Redford & Eisenberg, 1992; Vila et al., 2006).

Habitat loss through conversion of native forest into farmland, disease transmission (Corti et al., 2013), and competition with domestic livestock (Frid, 2001; Vila et al., 2009), poaching, the introduction of exotic species, and predation by roaming dogs (Corti et al., 2010), are assumed to be the main causes of the huemul's population decline. Additionally, Wittmer et al. (2013) suggested that natural predators, such as pumas (*Puma concolor*) and culpeo foxes (*Lycalopex culpaeus*), in areas with abundant alternative prey might also, at least locally, be an important cause of huemul decline. Furthermore, the lack of connectivity among the remaining small populations is considered one of the main threats to the species, with the potential risk of inbreeding and possible potential local extinctions (Corti et al., 2011).

Although there has been research and conservation directed at the huemul since 1970s-reliable information on the species' population status and trends is still limited- Chile and Argentina have national conservation plans for the species (Plan de Conservación y Recuperación del Huemul (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) en Argentina, 2002; CONAF, SAG, CONAMA, 2009), which reflect both countries' efforts to coordinate conservation measures and suggest areas for further research. Nevertheless, population-monitoring efforts often have had shortcomings in terms of funding, and lack of staff and methodology. This is primarily because intrinsic biological characteristics of the species and difficult access to its habitat make field surveys a complex enterprise, and so monitoring programs often fail (Wittmer et al., 2010). Post-glacial recolonisation of the huemul's northern distribution range probably occurred from multiple refugia in the north-east Patagonian region, in what is now Los Alerces National Park in Argentina, and surrounding areas (Marín et al., 2013). The remnant population in Nevados de Chillán, the northernmost extant huemul population, may have been derived from those geographically closest refugia in the North Patagonia region. In this area, huemul inhabit the Valdivian Ecoregion (Vila et al., 2010), a Global 200 Ecoregion (Olson, 1998; Lara et al., 2009). Argentina's National Park Administration has systematically recorded direct and indirect signs of huemul presence within and around protected areas since 1960 (H. Pastore, pers. obs.). However, for neighbouring districts in Chile there is little information about huemul presence, although the species is likely to occupy similar habitat types to those in Argentina.

To build a species distribution model for the Valdivian Ecoregion we used data from areas where huemul are known to occur there (Marín et al., 2013), and projected the model predictions northwards to areas where huemul have not been recorded in recent decades. We were thus able to identify potential distribution areas between the known northern Patagonian populations and a small remnant population in the northernmost distribution range, 400 km apart. The results facilitate identification of existing and potential biological corridors between protected areas and areas prioritized for landscape conservation, through which huemul dispersal could occur.

Study area

The study was conducted in the central part of the current huemul distribution (×Fig. 1; between 37°S and 44°S[So the whole study area is not shown in Fig. 1?]), where the species' historical habitat is characterized by the Valdivian Rainforest. The Valdivian Ecoregion covers c. 155,000 km², overlapping part of the southern Andes of Argentina and Chile (WWF, 2012). Predominant forest types are evergreen, southern beech and cypress forests. The ecotones are dominated by grasses and shrubs, such as *Embothrium* sp., *Maytenus* sp., *Chiliodendron* sp., *Pernettya* sp., *Berberis* spp., *Escallonia* sp. and *Empetrum* sp. The area is characterized by steep slopes, with elevations of 20–2,500 m.

Methods

Presence data and predictor variables

The initial presence data set included 661 records. The National Park Administration in Argentina provided data from their database of huemul presence, which contained 600 records collected during 1960–2012 in four protected areas in Argentina: Los Alerces and

Lago Puelo National Parks and neighbouring provincial protected areas (Fig. 1). To these data, we added 32 presence records from outside the protected areas also from the National Park Administration (Fig. 1). Most of the presence records in the National Park Administration's database were from Los Alerces National Park (442 records), where the Park Administration has conducted a huemul monitoring programme since 2001. This programme is based on six established transects that are surveyed annually during the southern spring and autumn. The database includes both direct sightings and indirect signs, such as footprints and faeces, identified by experienced park rangers. Additionally, during the summer (January–March) of 2012 we made 29 new observations in the same National Parks in Argentina (Los Alerces, $n = 8$; Lago Puelo, $n = 12$), and in the Futaleufú National Reserve in Chile ($n = 9$; Fig. 1), mainly footprints and faeces but also observation of five huemul in Futaleufú.

We used *ArcGIS v. 9.3* (ESRI, Redlands, USA) to visualize the spatial distribution of huemul observations and their relation to landscape features (lakes, rivers, roads) and topography (elevation, slope). Presence data are more likely to be recorded near roads because these areas are more accessible to observers, and therefore we deleted from the database records that were linearly clustered along roads, to minimize sample bias in presence data and to maintain spatial independence among observation points. Thus our dataset was reduced from 661 to 396 records. To prevent spatial autocorrelation, MaxEnt retains only one presence record per 1 km^2 cell (Tognelli et al., 2011). This further reduced the number of records to 258, of which 194 (75%) were used to build and train the models and 64 to validate them.

We consider potential predictor variables to be all environmental variables that could potentially influence the species and therefore can be used to predict its potential distribution. The modelling is based on the assumption that the probability of huemul presence is related to the combination, and attempts to identify the most relevant predictor variables and generate the best possible predictive model.

We used global datasets from open-access sources of bioclimatic, vegetation and topographical variables: (1) eighteen variables from the WorldClim database of interpolated climate surfaces at 1 km resolution, derived from monthly temperature and rainfall values (this database uses only climate databases with at least 10 years of data, and provides mean values for 1960–1990; Hijmans et al., 2005); (2) the vegetation variable from the Global Land Cover 2000 Project (GLC2000) at 1 km resolution, providing information about croplands, vegetation types, water bodies, artificial surfaces, bare areas and permanent snow and ice, using satellite images from 2009 (Bartholomé & Belward, 2005); and (3) slope and elevation variables from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission at 90 m resolution (Farr et al., 2007). All layers were set to a common scale using ArcGIS, to ensure congruence of all input data (Hu & Jiang, 2010; Marino et al., 2011).

Using ArcGIS we created a grid of 1 km^2 cells (Elith et al., 2011). Using the packages *psych* and *GPArotation* in *R v. 2.15* (R Development Core Team, 2012) we identified those variables most strongly associated with the first and second components in a principal component analysis that included the 21 predictor variables for the 258 grid cells in which huemul were recorded. These two components together accounted for 83% of the variation in the 21 predictor variables (×Table 1).

Modelling with MaxEnt

Of the bioclimatic variables that were highly associated with huemul presence (Table 1), only those pairs that did not exceed a pairwise Pearson correlation value of 0.70 (results not shown; Marino et al., 2011) were retained. Four models were run, with five temperature variables, two precipitation variables, vegetation, elevation and slope.

The four models were fitted with *MaxEnt* v. 3.3.3 (Phillips et al., 2006) using the following settings: regularization multiplier = 1; maximum number of iterations = 1,000; convergence threshold = 10^{-5} ; maximum number of background points = 10,000; and adjusted sample radius = -6. The models were run using auto-features, by which MaxEnt computes the default mathematical functions of the environmental features (i.e. linear, quadratic, product, threshold and hinge features). To construct a binary map from the MaxEnt outputs (i.e. differentiating only suitable from unsuitable cells) we used an equal training sensitivity and specificity threshold of $P > 0.40$ (Jiménez-Valverde & Lobo, 2007; Freeman & Moisen, 2008). This is a conservative approach that increases the specificity of the model, making its predictions more precise. To facilitate interpretation of the model we selected the logistic output format, which provides a proxy of probability of presence (Peterson et al., 2011). Jackknife analyses were carried out on the regularized gain of the training data to examine the relative importance of each predictor variable for model performance (Hu & Jiang, 2010). The remaining model training parameters were left at their default settings. We used the logistic outputs, given as probabilities representing degrees of habitat suitability, from 0 = unsuitable to 1 = most suitable habitat (Marino et al., 2011).

The models were trained in the geographical area where the presence records were recorded, and then using the projection tool in *MaxEnt* their predictions were projected into a larger area: approximately 37–44°S and 70–74°W. Test and difference areas under the curve were used as performance indicators to select the best-fitt model (Marino et al., 2011; Warren & Seifert, 2011). We then used *ArcGIS* to calculate the potential distribution area of this model, and how much of it currently falls within the protected area system in each country. The shape files for protected areas were obtained from Protected Planet (Bertzky et al., 2012).

Results

Annual temperature range and precipitation were the predictor variables that contributed the most information about huemul distribution to the four models (×Table 2). Summer precipitation was second in importance for model 4 (M4) and mean diurnal range for model 2 (M2). Other temperature variables (i.e. bio1, bio8 and bio10; Table 2) had only limited contributions in all models. We will use the predictions of M4 to describe the predicted potential distribution of huemul (×Fig. 1) because it had the best performance according to the test and various values of area under the curve (Marino et al., 2011; Warren & Seifert, 2011). Of the potential distribution area in Argentina, c. 40% (2,759 km²) is within the protected area system, and in Chile < 25% (1,214 km²) of the potential distribution area is in the protected area system.

The response curves describe the variation of the potential distribution prediction based on its dependence on one predictive variable and on the dependencies induced by correlations between one variable and the other variables. The predicted potential distribution shows a

peak at an annual temperature range of c. 22° (bio7; Table 2), decreasing rapidly for larger and smaller temperature ranges (×Fig. 2a); the empirical mean of the presence data for this variable was 22.19 ± SD 0.7°C (n = 258). In the case of precipitation during the summer season (bio 18; Table 2) there is a pronounced peak at c. 120 mm (empirical mean = 128.96 ± SD 23.17 mm; Fig. 2b). The model attributes little importance to vegetation cover (gcover: contribution 3.3%; Table 2). However, the model predicted zero probability of presence for almost all categories related to wetlands, artificial surfaces and most of the forest categories, 35% of the empirical data were located in the vegetation category ‘closed to open shrubland’, and the second most frequent category (c. 28%) was the vegetation category ‘closed to open broadleaved evergreen or semi-deciduous forest’. Other variables had lesser importance for model performance.

Discussion

Historically, huemul occurred in the Andean Cordillera from the Cachapoal River (c. 34°S) southwards to the northern shore of the Magellan Strait (54°S; Cabrera & Yepes, 1960). The current known distribution is in two areas separated by c. 400 km. The northern population is at Nevados de Chillán (Chile) and the southern is distributed among several fragmented areas extending southwards from Nahuel Huapi National Park (Argentina). Marín et al. (2013) suggested that the huemul at Nevados de Chillán are genetically derived from the Eastern Andes Refugium, in northern Patagonia, from where we collected our data. Our results show a wide range of potential distribution within the projection area, where there have been no records of huemul presence since the 1980s. The isolation of the northern population may imply that other factors are preventing the species from occupying the identified potential areas. These factors could include natural and artificial dispersal barriers, the distribution of native and exotic predators, and resource competition and interaction with domestic and exotic species (e.g. red deer *Cervus elaphus*; Corti et al., 2010). Frid (2001) and Briceño et al. (2013) found evidence that huemul modify their habitat use when sharing habitat with exotic ungulates. Red deer are known to be present in the study area, and probably influence huemul presence (Jaksic, 1998). Nonetheless, we limited our analysis to abiotic predictors because of the complexity of interpreting the relative importance of biotic and abiotic factors simultaneously (Guisan & Thuiller, 2005). Additionally, georeferenced information about such biotic factors is scarce for the area we studied.

Excluding the presence records linearly clustered along roads improved the predictive power of the model. When the whole dataset was used, part of the predicted area of potential occupancy comprised water bodies. This is because of the location of the main transportation routes, which increases the likelihood of observing huemul, thus biasing the analysis.

The area of potential distribution ($P > 0.40$) predicted by the best-fitting model (M4) is c. 12,360 km², of which 7,000 km² (57%) is in Argentina and 5,360 km² (43%) is in Chile. Based on our modelling results, potential areas of distribution were found to be restricted to the Andes range, as expected, markedly decreasing towards the west, probably because of an increase in annual precipitation and humidity, which promotes the growth of dense evergreen forest. Towards the east, precipitation decreases gradually, resulting in the arid conditions of the Argentinean pampas. In Argentina suitable conditions for huemul exist in

the southern part of Neuquén province and southwards. In Chile there is the opposite situation: there is little potential area for huemul distribution in the southernmost district but it increases towards the north as the administrative borderline between Chile and Argentina in this region shifts to the east (Fig. 1).

The temperature suitability range predicted by our model seems narrow compared to the temperature gradients occupied by huemul across their whole range, but this is related to the elevation range used by the species in this part of its distribution. This particular combination of climatic variables has an indirect effect on huemul distribution, mediated through the type of vegetation that exists under these conditions. The dense forest type of the Valdivian Ecoregion permits only limited movement of medium to large ungulates, such as the huemul; it is the optimal habitat for pudu *Pudu puda*, a dwarf deer of southern South America (Jiménez, 2010).

Some presence records are from areas categorized by the model as only moderately suitable (i.e. some records from Futaleufú National Reserve in Chile, where PQ recorded several signs of huemul and directly observed five huemul during this study). This apparent incongruence between model predictions and field observations may imply that some individuals have dispersed to less suitable areas from more suitable areas nearby.

However, further research on the dispersal dynamics of huemul populations in these areas is needed to confirm this, and would help us to understand the role and importance of protecting such moderately suitable areas for huemul conservation. It would also help to identify natural corridors between existing protected areas (Gilbert-Norton et al., 2010).

Temporal differences between field records and environmental variables (especially land cover) used in this type of modelling should be as small as possible. However, because environmental conditions generally do not change rapidly, several years of difference may be acceptable, and historical presence records from museum and species collections may be used for species distribution modelling (Elith & Leathwick, 2009). We used the complete huemul dataset provided by Argentina's National Park Administration, because most of the presence records were post-1995 (92.9 %) and we assumed that the environmental conditions had not changed substantially since then.

The low predictive performance of vegetation and topographical variables in the model may be attributable to the 1 km² scale used; however, climatic and vegetation variables are usually highly correlated (Wintle et al., 2005). In addition, the maps of potential distribution predict suitable bioclimatic conditions but they cannot be used to infer causal effects of environmental variables on species' distribution, for which other kinds of data, such as telemetry and presence-absence data, and other analytical tools would be needed.

Parts of the study area located on the watersheds between Chile and Argentina include protected areas and potential ecological corridors but are threatened by hydropower development projects (Urrutia et al., 2005). We recommend that future surveys in these areas use the predicted potential distribution presented here to determine huemul presence; our projections will enhance the information available to decision makers when evaluating the conservation risks associated with the implementation of energy, infrastructure and tourism projects. Conservation planning at a landscape scale should pay special attention to potential natural corridors, which connect areas of potential distribution with areas where huemul populations are known to exist. A network of public and private protected areas interconnected by biological corridors would provide protection and enhance huemul dispersal (Corti et al., 2011), although huemul conservation on private properties also faces

significant challenges (Wittmer et al., 2014).

Our best model suggests the potential presence of huemul in areas for which information on huemul presence is completely lacking since the 1980s. We recommend investigating potentially unrecorded populations and prioritizing survey efforts in these projected areas, which would provide an empirical validation of the model (Lobo et al., 2010). We need to be cautious, however, when interpreting the model's predictions. This is because of the potential for over-prediction, possibly associated with the choice of the projection area and with the use of a small part of the huemul's habitat range for the model calibration (Marino et al., 2011). It is also important to train models under various climate change scenarios to predict how the potential huemul distribution will be affected by increasing temperatures (Rebelo et al., 2010).

Vila et al. (2006) suggested a greater degree of fragmentation occurred in the Chilean portion of the huemul distribution in the Valdivian Ecoregion as a result of human disturbance. Accordingly, our results indicate that the proportion of the area potentially inhabited by huemul is larger in Argentina for this part of the original huemul distribution range. This suggests the need for a binational conservation strategy to coordinate the various stakeholders and to ensure that conservation measures will be implemented in both public and private protected areas throughout the entire range of the huemul's distribution. It is imperative that huemul monitoring in national protected areas continues to verify the model predicted distribution and also to plan surveys to check habitat conditions in that projected area.

Acknowledgements

We thank Martin Wilmking for his comments and support during the development of this research, H. Wittmer and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and the Rosa Luxemburg Foundation for funding a scholarship to P. Quevedo. We are grateful to Argentina's National Park Administration (APN) and Chile's National Forestry Corporation (CONAF) for collaborating in this research, providing historical data, and logistical and technical support during the field surveys conducted in 2013, and for their ongoing commitment to huemul conservation. We are particularly grateful to César Bastias, Néstor Diocaretz, Neftalí Rozas and Carlos Salvadores from CONAF, and Félix Vidoz, Mauricio Berardi and Javier Montbrun from APN, for assistance during the fieldwork. We also thank Evaristo Araneda for sharing his field knowledge, Marcela Morales and Johannes Horstmann for their assistance during fieldwork, and Ignacio Díaz and Álvaro González for their advice on GIS. A. von Hardenberg was financially supported by a Research Education Grant from the European Social Fund of the European Union, the Autonomous Region Aosta Valley and the Italian Ministry of Work and Social Providence. P. Corti was funded by FONDECYT 3110187 and CONICYT 7912010016.

References

- ANDERSON, R.P. & MARTÍNEZ-MEYER, E. (2004) Modeling species' geographic distributions for preliminary conservation assessments: an implementation with the spiny pocket mice (*Heteromys*) of Ecuador. *Biological Conservation*, 116, 167–179.
- AUSTIN, M. (2007) Species distribution models and ecological theory: a critical assessment and some possible new approaches. *Ecological Modelling*, 200, 1–19.
- BALDWIN, R.A. (2009) Use of maximum entropy modeling in wildlife research. *Entropy*, 11, 854–866.
- BARTHOLOMÉ, E. & BELWARD, A.S. (2005) GLC2000: a new approach to global land cover mapping from Earth observation data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26, 1959–1977.
- BERTZKY, B., CORRIGAN, C., KEMSEY, J., KENNEY, S., RAVILIOUS, C., BESANÇON, C. & BURGESS, N. (2012) Protected Planet Report 2012: Tracking Progress Towards Global Targets for Protected Areas. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, and UNEP–WCMC, Cambridge, UK.
- BRICEÑO, C., KNAPP, L.A., SILVA, A., PAREDES, J., AVENDAÑO, I., VARGAS, A. et al. (2013). Detecting an increase in an Endangered huemul *Hippocamelus bisulcus* population following removal of cattle and cessation of poaching in coastal Patagonia, Chile. *Oryx*, 47, 273–279.
- CABRERA, A. & YEPES, J. (1960) *Mamíferos Sudamericanos*. 2nd edition. Edian, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- CORPORACIÓN NACIONAL FORESTAL, SERVICIO AGRÍCOLA Y GANADERO & COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE (2009) *Plan Nacional de Conservación del Huemul (Hippocamelus bisulcus, Molina 1782) en Chile. 2008–2012*. Taller Participativo para la Elaboración del Plan, Puerto Fuy, Agosto de 2007. 50 pp.
- CORTI, P., SAUCEDO, C. & HERRERA, P. (2013) Evidence of bovine viral diarrhoea, but absence of infectious bovine rhinotracheitis and bovine brucellosis in the endangered huemul deer (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) in Chilean Patagonia. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 49, 744–746.
- CORTI, P., SHAFER, A.B.A., COLTMAN, D.W. & FESTA-BIANCHET, M. (2011) Past bottlenecks and current population fragmentation of endangered huemul deer (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*): implications for preservation of genetic diversity. *Conservation Genetics*, 12, 119–128.
- CORTI, P., WITTMER, H.U. & FESTA-BIANCHET, M. (2010) Dynamics of a small population of endangered huemul deer (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) in Chilean Patagonia. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 91, 690–697.
- ELITH, J., GRAHAM, C.H., ANDERSON, R.P., DUDÍK, M., FERRIER, S., GUISAN, A. et al. (2006) Novel methods improve prediction of

- species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography*, 29, 129–151.
- ELITH, J. & LEATHWICK, J.R. (2009) Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 40, 677–697.
- ELITH, J., PHILLIPS, S.J., HASTIE, T., DUDÍK, M., CHEE, Y.E. & YATES, C.J. (2011) A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17, 43–57.
- FARR, T.G., ROSEN, P.A., CARO, E., CRIPPEN, R., DUREN, R., HENSLEY, S., KOBRICK, M., PALLER, M., RODRIGUEZ, E., ROTH, L., SEAL, D., SHAFFER, S., SHIMADA, J., UMLAND, J., WERNER, M., OSKIN, M., BURBANK, D. & ALSDORF, D. (2007), The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45, RG2004, doi:10.1029/2005RG000183.
- FREEMAN, E.A. & MOISEN, G.G. (2008) A comparison of the performance of threshold criteria for binary classification in terms of predicted prevalence and kappa. *Ecological Modelling*, 217, 48–58.
- FRID, A. (2001) Habitat use by endangered huemul (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*): cattle, snow, and the problem of multiple causes. *Biological Conservation*, 100, 261–267.
- GILBERT-NORTON, L., WILSON, R., STEVENS, J.R. & BEARD, K.H. (2010) A meta-analytic review of corridor effectiveness. *Conservation Biology*, 24, 660–668.
- GUISAN, A. & THUILLER, W. (2005) Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple habitat models. *Ecology Letters*, 8, 993–1009.
- HIJMANS, R.J., CAMERON, S.E., PARRA, J.L., JONES, P.G. & JARVIS, A. (2005) Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25, 1965–1978.
- HU, J. & JIANG, Z. (2010) Predicting the potential distribution of the endangered Przewalski's gazelle. *Journal of Zoology*, 282, 54–63.
- IUCN (2013) IUCN Red List of Threatened Species v. 2013.2. [Http://www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org) [accessed 6 July 2014].
- JAKSIC, F.M. (1998) Vertebrate invaders and their ecological impacts in Chile. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 7, 1427–1445.
- JETZ, W., MCPHERSON, J.M. & GURALNICK, R.P. (2012) Integrating biodiversity distribution knowledge: toward a global map of life. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 27, 151–159.
- JIMÉNEZ, J., GUINEO, G., CORTI, P., SMITH, J.A., FLUECK, W., VILA, A. et al. (2008) *Hippocamelus bisulcus*. In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008*: eT10054A3157381. [Http://www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org) [accessed 21 October 2015].
- JIMÉNEZ, J.E. (2010) Southern pudu *Pudu puda* (Molina 1782). In *Neotropical*

Cervidology: Biology and Medicine of Latin American Deer (eds J.M. Barbanti Duarte & S. González), pp. 140–150. Funep/IUCN, São Paulo, Brazil.

- JIMÉNEZ-VALVERDE, A. & LOBO, J.M. (2007) Threshold criteria for conversion of probability of species presence to either–or presence–absence. *Acta Oecologica*, 31, 361–369.
- LARA, A., LITTLE, C., URRUTIA, R., MCPHEE, J., ÁLVAREZ-GARRETÓN, C., OYARZÚN, C. et al. (2009) Assessment of ecosystem services as an opportunity for the conservation and management of native forests in Chile. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 258, 415–424.
- LOBO, J.M., JIMÉNEZ-VALVERDE, A. & HORTAL, J. (2010) The uncertain nature of absences and their importance in species distribution modelling. *Ecography*, 33, 103–114.
- MARÍN, J.C., VARAS, V., VILA, A.R., LÓPEZ, R., OROZCO-TERWENGEL, P. & CORTI, P. (2013) Refugia in Patagonian fjords and the eastern Andes during the Last Glacial Maximum revealed by huemul (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) phylogeographical patterns and genetic diversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40, 2285–2298.
- MARINO, J., BENNETT, M., COSSIOS, D., IRIARTE, A., LUCHERINI, M., PLISCOFF, P. et al. (2011) Bioclimatic constraints to Andean cat distribution: a modelling application for rare species. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17, 311–322.
- OLSON, D. (1998) The Global 200: a representation approach to conserving the Earth's most biologically valuable ecoregions. *Conservation Biology*, 12, 502–515.
- PETERSON, A.T., SOBERÓN, J., PEARSON, R.G., ANDERSON, R.P., MARTÍNEZ-MEYER, E., NAKAMURA, M. & ARAÚJO, M.B. (2011) *Ecological Niches and Geographic Distributions*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA.
- PHILLIPS, S.J., ANDERSON, R.P. & SCHAPIRE, R.E. (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190, 231–259.
- PLAN DE CONSERVACIÓN Y RECUPERACIÓN DEL HUEMUL (*HIPPOCAMELUS BISULCUS*) EN ARGENTINA (2002).
[Http://www.ambiente.gov.ar/archivos/web/Phuemul/File/Plan_nacional_huemul.pdf](http://www.ambiente.gov.ar/archivos/web/Phuemul/File/Plan_nacional_huemul.pdf)
- POVILITIS, A. (1986) Huemuls in areas adjacent to glaciers in southern Chile. *Mountain Research and Development*, 6, 273–275.
- R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM (2012) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- REBELO, H., TARROSO, P. & JONES, G. (2010) Predicted impact of climate change on European bats in relation to their biogeographic patterns. *Global Change Biology*, 16, 561–576.
- REDFORD, K.H. & EISENBERG, J.F. (1992) *Mammals of the Neotropics, Volume 2. The Southern Cone: Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, Paraguay*. University of Chicago Press,

Chicago, USA.

- TOGNETTI, M.F., ABBA, A.M., BENDER, J.B. & SEITZ, V.P. (2011) Assessing conservation priorities of xenarthrans in Argentina. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 20, 141–151.
- URRUTIA, R., LARA, A. & VILLALBA, R. (2005) ¿Cómo ha variado la disponibilidad de agua en la ecorregión de los bosques valdivianos en los últimos siglos? *Revista Ambiente y Desarrollo*, 21, 48–57.
- VILA, A.R., BORRELLI, L. & MARTÍNEZ, L. (2009) Dietary overlap between huemul and livestock in Los Alerces National Park, Argentina. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 73, 368–373.
- VILA, A.R., LÓPEZ, R., PASTORE, H., FAÚNDEZ, R. & SERRET, A. (2006) Current distribution and conservation of the huemul (*Hippocamelus bisulcus*) in Argentina and Chile. *Mastozoología Neotropical*, 13, 263–269.
- VILA, A.R., SAUCEDO, C.E., ALDRIDGE, D., RAMILO, E. & CORTI, P. (2010) South Andean huemul *Hippocamelus bisulcus* (Molina 1784). In *Neotropical Cervidology: Biology and Medicine of Latin American Deer* (eds J.M. Barbanti Duarte & S. González), pp. 89–100. Funep/IUCN, São Paulo, Brazil.
- WARREN, D.L. & SEIFERT, S.N. (2011) Ecological niche modelling in Maxent: the importance of model complexity and the performance of model selection criteria. *Ecological Applications*, 21, 335–342.
- WINTLE, B.A., ELITH, J. & POTTS, J.M. (2005) Fauna habitat modelling and mapping: a review and case study in the Lower Hunter Central Coast region of NSW. *Austral Ecology*, 30, 719–738.
- WITTMER, H.U., CORTI, P., SAUCEDO, C. & GALAZ, J.L. (2010) Learning to count: adapting population monitoring for Endangered huemul deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* to meet conservation objectives. *Oryx*, 44, 516–522.
- WITTMER, H.U., ELBROCH, L.M. & MARSHALL, A.J. (2013) Good intentions gone wrong: did conservation management threaten Endangered huemul deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* in the future Patagonia National Park? *Oryx*, 47, 393–402.
- WITTMER, H.U., ELBROCH, L.M. & MARSHALL, A.J. (2014) Conservation of huemul in the future Patagonia National Park: a call for immediate management intervention. *DSG Newsletter*, 26, 6–13.
- WWF (2012) *Southern South America: Chile and Argentina*. [Http://worldwildlife.org/ecoregions/nt0404](http://worldwildlife.org/ecoregions/nt0404) [accessed 4 April 2014].

Biographical sketches

PALOMA QUEVEDO is a veterinarian with an interest in habitat modelling for species with conservation problems. She uses geographical information system technologies to identify innovative options for wildlife management. ACHAZ VON HARDENBERG is a biologist with an interest in the application of statistical modelling tools in conservation ecology. His research mostly focuses on the population biology and behavioural ecology of mountain wildlife. HERNÁN PASTORE is a biologist interested in management and conservation of biodiversity, and related policies. JOSÉ ÁLVAREZ is a forestry engineer working interested in conservation of threatened species and landscapes. PAULO CORTI is a veterinarian focused on the behaviour, genetics and demographic variables affecting evolutionary processes in wild populations of mammals and birds, and the application of this information in conservation and management plans; he is member of the IUCN Deer Specialist Group.

TABLE 1 Results of principal component analysis applied to 21 predictor variables for the 258 1 km² grid cells in which huemul were recorded (see text for details), with the loading of each variable associated with the first three components, the proportion of the variance explained by each component, and the cumulative proportion. The highest loading scores (in bold) indicate the strongest relationship between variable and component (i.e. most of the temperature variables are strongly related to the first component, PC1, and the precipitation variables to the second component, PC2).

Predictor variables	Principal component analysis loadings		
	PC1	PC2	PC3
1. Annual mean temperature (bio1)	0.918	0.312	0.212
2. Mean diurnal range (bio2)	0.113	-0.291	0.924
3. Isothermality (bio3)	-0.753	0.031	0.472
4. Temperature seasonality (bio4)	0.270	-0.569	0.735
5. Max. temperature of warmest month (bio5)	0.882	0.249	0.381
6. Min. temperature of coldest month (bio6)	0.890	0.409	0.147
7. Temperature annual range (bio7)	0.460	-0.335	0.797
8. Mean temperature of winter (bio8)	0.931	0.316	0.129
9. Mean temperature of summer (bio9)	0.913	0.307	0.214
10. Mean temperature of summer (bio10)	0.918	0.278	0.256
11. Mean temperature of winter (bio11)	0.909	0.360	0.170
12. Annual precipitation (bio12)	0.410	0.892	-0.143
13. Precipitation of wettest month (bio13)	0.324	0.928	0.015

Predictor variables	Principal component analysis loadings		
	PC1	PC2	PC3
14. Precipitation of driest month (bio14)	0.616	0.602	-0.475
15. Precipitation seasonality (bio15)	0.156	-0.024	0.952
16. Precipitation of winter (bio16)	0.468	0.875	0.002
17. Precipitation of summer (bio17)	0.441	0.785	-0.423
18. Precipitation of summer (bio18)	0.416	0.781	-0.445
19. Elevation	-0.882	-0.305	-0.023
21. Slope	0.156	-0.024	0.952
20. Vegetation	0.044	0.137	-0.086
Proportion of variance	0.54	0.29	0.07
Cumulative proportion (PC1 + PC2 + PC3)	0.54	0.83	0.90

TABLE 2 Percentage contribution of predictor variables to models M1–M4, generated by the MaxEnt algorithm, and model performance. Variables that contributed more information, or with information not present in other variables, are highlighted in grey.

Variables	Percentage contribution			
	M1	M2	M3	M4
Temperature				
Annual mean temperature (bio1)	1.4			
Mean diurnal range (bio2)		21.7		
Temperature annual range (bio7)	45.4		43.6	44.6
Mean temperature of winter (bio8)		1.8		2.1
Mean summer temperature (bio10)			2.7	
Precipitation				
Annual precipitation (bio12)	40.5	55.8	40	
Summer precipitation (bio18)				39
Elevation (dem)	1.8	3.5	2.1	1.9
Slope	8.6	10.1	8.2	9
Vegetation (gcover)	3.5	7.2	3.4	3.3
Model performance				
Training AUC	0.897	0.89	0.899	0.897

Variables	Percentage contribution			
	M1	M2	M3	M4
Test AUC	0.883	0.883	0.881	0.891
Δ AUC (AUC _{train} —AUC _{test})	14	7	18	6

FIG. 1 Projected potential distribution of huemul *Hippocamelus bisulcus* in the Valdivian Ecoregion of Chile and Argentina, predicted by species distribution modelling. Each cell represents 1 km².

Predicted potential distribution

Highly suitable (60–90%)

Suitable (40–60%)

Moderately suitable (10–40%)

FIG. 2 Variation in the predicted probability of presence of huemul with (a) temperature annual range and (b) summer precipitation.



