Real-world clinical and psychosocial outcomes among people with mild or moderate haemophilia A treated on-demand in the Italian CHESS II cohort: a real-world data analysis
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Analysis of the Italian cohort of adults with mild or moderate haemophilia A in the CHESS II dataset shows a meaningful clinical and psychosocial burden of disease, impacted by bleeding events, chronic pain, anxiety, depression, and limitations on activities of daily life
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Background: The burden of severe haemophilia A (HA) has been studied extensively owing to the higher bleeding frequency and associated treatment requirements, leaving a clear unmet need for research focused on the burden of mild and moderate HA.

Aims: This study sought to characterise the clinical and psychosocial burden of mild and moderate HA in the Italian cohort of the CHESS II study. Methods: This was a retrospective analysis of clinical and psychosocial outcomes in a cohort of male adults (≥18 years old) with mild or moderate HA who participated in the cross-sectional CHESS II study (October 2019–November 2020). Treatment patterns, acute and chronic clinical outcomes and mental health indicators were collected via physician-completed forms. Psychosocial outcomes related to impact of HA on social activities, exercise, opportunities, and lifestyle were collected via a participant self-complete questionnaire. All results were reported descriptively.

Results: A total of 113 people with haemophilia A (PwHA) were included, 79 (70%) with moderate HA and 34 (30%) with mild HA, with mean age of 41.4 and 36.6 years, respectively. No one in the sample was receiving a prophylaxis at the time of data capture, with factor VIII use in the 12 months prior reported in 30% and 29% of moderate and mild PwHA, respectively. Ninety-one PwHA (81%) experienced ≥1 bleeding event in the preceding 12 months. People with moderate HA had higher mean annual bleed rate (2.9 vs. 1.1, respectively) and higher prevalence of chronic pain (74% vs. 35%), anxiety (20% vs. 12%), and/or depression (15% vs. 3%). Target joints were reported in 22% and 12% of moderate and mild PwHA, and problem joints in 51% and 12%, respectively. Of 113 participants, 44 (39%) completed the self-complete form (moderate HA, 57%; mild HA, 43%). Overall, 40% vs. 10% of those with moderate vs mild HA reported reducing or giving up social activities, 44% vs. 21% reducing or giving up exercise, 36% vs. 26% missing out on opportunities, and 48% vs. 26% reported HA impacted their lifestyle.

Conclusion: Moderate PwHA from the Italian CHESS II cohort appeared to have greater clinical morbidity and lifestyle impact than mild PwHA. Psychosocial outcomes were also worse among moderate PwHA, but significant burden was also observed among mild PwHA. These findings, and the absence of prophylactic treatment in the sample examined, highlight that improving management for potentially undertreated mild/moderate PwHA may aid the avoidance long-term clinical morbidity and negative psychosocial impact.
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on the provision of healthcare [20]. In larger regions, haemophilia care is often organised with the ‘hub and spoke’ model [20,21], with a national network of 54 accredited centres between comprehensive care centres (CCCs) and haemophilia treatment centres (HTCs) [22,23]. Recent efforts have been put in place by the Association of Italian Haemophilia Centres (AICE) to improve access to care and standardise the organisation and professional accreditation of haemophilia centres in Italy. This aims to address differences in availability and access to care across the country, in the context of previous reports of considerable proportions of PwH having to travel long distances and/or relocate to access care, or reporting difficulties/delays in treatment dispensation or in accessing their centre [20,24,25].

Mild and moderate HA represent approximately 56% of the Italian HA population monitored via the National Registry of Congenital Coagulopathies; however, this figure may be under-representing reality due to the potential under-diagnosis of milder bleeding disorders [16,22,26]. While a substantial proportion of participants in the CHESS II study have been from Italy [19,27,28], no analyses have been performed at the national level specifically focusing on mild/moderate HA. To this end, this analysis sought to characterise the clinical and psychosocial burden of mild and moderate HA in the Italian cohort of the CHESS II study.

METHODS

Data source and study population
We conducted a retrospective analysis of clinical and psychosocial outcomes among adults with mild or moderate HA living in Italy who participated in the CHESS II study. The design, methods and primary findings from the broader CHESS II study cohort have been reported previously [29]. The CHESS II study is a panel-based cross-sectional burden of illness study of male adults (≥18 years old) with congenital haemophilia A or B of any severity, with or without inhibitors, conducted between October 2018 and November 2020 in eight European countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the United Kingdom, Romania, Denmark, and the Netherlands). The panel was composed by 173 haematologists (or haemophilia care providers, in France) treating at least eight PwH and practicing in one of the countries of interest. All demographic and clinical characteristics, as well as treatment patterns and health resource utilisation information, were reported by the treating physicians via a web-based form and abstracted from medical notes. Upon consenting to participate in the study, PwH were invited by their physician to complete a patient questionnaire with information relating to their health status (via the EQ-5D-5L) [30], work productivity and activity impairment (via the WPAI instrument) [31], and non-medical haemophilia-related costs, as well as information on the overall humanistic impact of haemophilia. People with severe HA or with haemophilia B and who were not living in Italy at the time of data collection were excluded from this analysis.

All participants who completed the patient questionnaire in the CHESS II study provided informed consent. The CHESS II study was approved by the Research Ethics Sub-Committee of the Faculty of Health and Social Care within the University of Chester, conducted in correspondence with regional and relevant guidelines and governed by an expert reference group. Participant consent for use of physician-reported clinical data was not required as per European Pharmaceutical Market Research Association (EPhMRA) guidelines. Participant consent was obtained via tick box selection from PwH wishing to also complete the patient questionnaire prior to the collection of any patient-reported data.

Variables and outcomes
This study evaluated treatment patterns and corresponding clinical and psychosocial outcomes among a sample of people with mild or moderate HA in Italy stratified by HA severity. Data were collected from a physician-completed, web-based clinical record form (CRF) and a corresponding linked patient self-complete (PSC) questionnaire completed by the participating person with HA. The CRF contained information about the person’s medical history and consultations, and the PSC covered non-medical costs, psychosocial outcomes, HRQoL, and work impairment. This analysis focused on physician-reported clinical outcomes and on self-reported psychosocial outcomes from the PSC questionnaire.

Treatment patterns included the physician-reported treatment strategy for each person with HA including the number of on-demand FVIII infusions in the 12 months immediately prior to data collection. The number of on-demand FVIII infusions was estimated via the physician reported total 12-month yearly IU consumption and the reported IU/kg dosage.

Clinical endpoints of interest were reported by physicians and included annual bleeding rate
(ABR) in the 12 months immediately preceding data capture, target joints, problem joints, chronic pain, and prevalence of anxiety and/or depression. A target joint was defined according to the criteria of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) as "three or more spontaneous bleeds into a single joint within a consecutive 6-month period. Where there have been ≤ 2 bleeds into the joint within a consecutive 12-month period the joint is no longer considered a target joint" [3]. A problem joint was defined as "any joint that has been permanently damaged as a result of a bleeding disorder, with or without persistent bleeding, and may involve chronic pain and/or limited range of movement due to compromised joint integrity such as chronic synovitis and/or hemophilic arthropathy" [32]. The patient-centric "problem joint" definition aims to characterise joint damage associated with chronic joint pain and/or limited range of motion due to compromised joint integrity, as distinguished from the historical target joint definition of the ISTH [32]. Chronic pain was reported by the physician as part of the CRF, taken from clinical consultations including patient-reported pain, functional limitations due to pain and use of analgesics.

The proportion of people with HA who experienced anxiety and/or depression was captured within the comorbidity section of the CRF from the physician-extracted medical records.

**Psychosocial outcomes** were captured in the PSC using a 5-point Likert scale. Participants reported having to ‘reduce or give up’ social activities or exercise, missing out on opportunities, and having feelings of frustration with the impact of HA on their lifestyle ranging from ‘strongly agree’ to ‘strongly disagree’.

**Statistical analysis**
Descriptive statistics were used to summarise participant characteristics, treatment patterns, and clinical and psychosocial outcomes. Means and standard deviations (SD) were used to describe continuous variables and frequency and proportions to describe categorical variables. All characteristics were reported by HA severity (mild or moderate). By assuming a 40 IU/kg dose (agreed as a relevant median dosage by the haemophilia clinical experts) and based on the physician-reported 12-month FVIII IU consumption, the number of on-demand infusions was estimated as (Total yearly IU consumption)/(weight ×40). All analyses were conducted using STATA version 17.0 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, SUA; www.stata.com).

**RESULTS**

**Study population**
Of the 1337 participants in the CHESS II study, 406 (30%) were residents of Italy at the time of data collection, of whom 278 (69%) had HA of any severity. The final study cohort comprised 113 people, of whom 34 (30%) had mild HA and 79 (70%) had moderate HA. People with moderate HA were slightly older than those with mild HA (mean age, 41.4 and 36.6 years, respectively), and a greater proportion were categorised as having a normal body mass index (61% and 53%, respectively) (Table 1).

**Treatment patterns and clinical characteristics**
No participants in this mild/moderate HA subsample of the Italian CHESS II cohort was receiving a prophylaxis treatment regimen at the time of data collection, with 29% and 30%, respectively, reporting any FVIII use (on-demand) in the 12 months preceding data collection (Table 1). Based on total physician-reported IU consumption in the 12 months prior to data collection, it was estimated that the mean (SD; median) number of on-demand FVIII infusions were 9.6 (8.1; 6.5) and 13.0 (13.0; 9) for those with mild or moderate HA, respectively.

Overall, the majority of those within the sample had ≥1 bleeding event in the 12 months preceding data collection (n=91/113, 81%). Compared with mild HA, people with moderate HA had a higher mean ABR (2.9 vs. 1.1, respectively), and a greater proportion of those with moderate vs. mild HA were reported to suffer from chronic pain (74% vs 35%), anxiety (20% vs 12%), and/or depression (15% vs 3%) (Table 1). The majority of people with mild (74%) or moderate (85%) HA had ≥1 bleeding event in the year immediately preceding data collection. While in the mild HA cohort all bleeding events were reported as post-traumatic, mean (SD) spontaneous ABR was 0.88 (0.93) in the moderate cohort, with 72% reported to have experienced spontaneous bleeding. Reported mean baseline FVIII levels were 21.0 (10.3) and 3.5 (1.2) for mild and moderate cohort, respectively. No relevant differences were found in baseline FVIII level between the cohort with ≥1 bleeding event and those with no bleeding, regardless of severity (Table 1). Approximately one-fifth (19%, n=21) of all people with mild or moderate HA in the cohort had ≥1 target joint, and more than one-third (39%, n=44) had ≥1 problem joint. Target joints were prevalent in 12% and 22% of those with mild or moderate HA, and problem joints in 12% and 51%, respectively.
Psychosocial outcomes

Of the 113 CHESS II participants with mild or moderate HA living in Italy at the time of data collection, 44 (39%) completed the PSC (mild HA, n=19, 43%; moderate HA, n=25, 57%). A greater proportion of those with mild HA (n=19/34, 56%) completed the PSC than those with moderate HA (n=25/79, 32%). Similar to the overall cohort, people with moderate vs. mild HA had more annual bleeding events, greater joint morbidity and chronic pain (Supplementary Table S1).

Consistent with the clinical outcomes among the cohort completing the patient questionnaire, a greater proportion of people with moderate HA than mild HA reported having to compromise psychosocial aspects of life, including social activities, exercise, and opportunities, due to their HA (Figure 1). Overall, 40% vs. 10% of those with moderate vs mild HA, respectively, had to reduce or give up on social activities ('Agree' or 'Strongly agree'). 44% vs. 21% had to reduce or give up exercise, 36% vs. 26% reported missing out on opportunities, and 48% vs. 26% felt frustrated by the influence of HA on their lifestyle.
DISCUSSION

This study analysed the clinical and psychosocial burden of mild or moderate HA in the Italian cohort of CHESS II participants. Overall, bleeding events were common among people with mild and moderate HA, as was joint-associated morbidity as indicated by target joint and problem joint frequency. People with moderate HA appeared to have greater clinical morbidity and a greater negative impact of HA on their lifestyle than those with mild HA. People with moderate HA had more overall bleeding events per year and worse joint morbidity, chronic pain, and anxiety and/or depression compared with those with mild HA. In turn, among those providing a PSC, more people with moderate HA reported reducing or giving up essential activities of living, missing out on opportunities in life, and feeling frustrated by the limitations of HA on their lifestyle.

Recent findings highlight self-reported moderate-to-severe anxious and depressive symptoms in as many as 51% of adult people with moderate haemophilia [37]. Our findings from physician-reported data confirmed a substantial presence of anxiety or depression with 35% of the moderate cohort affected. Albeit generally less so than for those with moderate HA, those with mild condition also reported a meaningful clinical and psychosocial burden of HA. Consistent with our findings of 26% of people with mild HA reporting having missed out on opportunities or feeling frustrated by the effect of haemophilia on their lifestyle and 21% reporting having to give up exercise, 38% of a mild cohort examined as part of the multi-national PROBE (Patient Reported Outcomes, Burdens and Experiences) study reported an effect on the activities of daily living [13].

These findings emphasise the importance of clinical and mental health management for people with mild or moderate HA. This may often be overlooked in comparison to severe HA, and may therefore be undertreated, however paucity of evidence around treatment and bleeding patterns renders assessment difficult [13,16,33,34]. The potential under-management of mild or moderate HA is likely to contribute to long-term joint damage and downstream psychosocial outcomes. Accordingly, a systematic literature review by Di Minno et al. (2013) reported findings of similar bleeding event occurrence rates between PwH with severe and moderate disease...
bleeding event in the preceding 12 months [34]. In the PwH in the cohort assessed reported at least one or severe condition, reported that 73% of moderate outcomes of moderate haemophilia to those of mild described by den Ujil et al., who, when comparing the outcomes of the moderate cohort in this analysis experienced at least one bleeding event of some kind within the same timeframe, as well as a substantial proportion experiencing spontaneous bleeding. This potentially signals an underestimation of bleeding events or of the burden imposed by moderate haemophilia within the available literature [13,16]. A similar finding was also described by den Ujil et al., who, when comparing the outcomes of moderate haemophilia to those of mild or severe condition, reported that 73% of moderate PwH in the cohort assessed reported at least one bleeding event in the preceding 12 months [35]. In the same systematic literature review, signs of arthropathy in moderate haemophilia were reported as anywhere between 15% and 70%, while our findings place the Italian CHESS II moderate HA cohort towards the upper end of this range, with 51% reported to have at least one problem joint [35].

While published evidence is limited, it is clear that moderate HA poses a substantial burden on affected individuals. Based on the very limited evidence of a standard approach to an effective preventative treatment for this patient category, as well as the clinical and psychosocial burden highlighted by the literature and our analysis, a real need for appropriate and standardised clinical management of moderate HA is evident.

When considering mild haemophilia, in a Spanish single-centre cohort described by De la Corte-Rodriguez et al. (2022), presence of arthropathy was reported as 36.5% [36]. While not as prevalent, our findings confirm the presence of joint health burden among people with mild HA, with 12% of our cohort reporting at least one problem joint. In another single-centre experience describing a longitudinal cohort with mild haemophilia, Tagliaferri and colleagues (2012) report that 91% of the cohort experienced some type of bleeding event during the follow-up period, with mean bleed/year/patient reported as 0.56 [37]. Joint health was also explored, and signs of joint damage were detected in 33% of people with mild haemophilia [37]. These findings are consistent with our analysis, where mean ABR for mild PwH was 1.1.

Our results and the available literature confirm a remaining burden of mild and moderate haemophilia. Despite recent efforts to better understand its natural history, moderate and mild HA remain understudied. Management and diagnosis issues, as well as patient-physician disconnect (due to difficulties both in communication and shared decision-making) and difficulties in recognising and identifying symptoms by PwH with mild or moderate HA still linger, potentially affecting short- and long-term clinical and psychosocial outcomes of people with mild or moderate haemophilia, as well as their quality of life [13,15,16,37,38].

Recently, the inherent value of FVIII levels as a predictor of severity of haemophilia and its outcomes has been questioned in a consensus piece from an ISTH working group, with the classical severity definition theorised as being oftentimes inadequate in the management of PwH [16,39]. Anecdotal evidence indicates that in as many as 30% of PwH bleeding phenotype is more correlated to idiopathic variables specific to the PwH rather than residual FVIII presence [36]. Clinicians should be vigilant in encouraging people with mild or moderate HA to learn about their condition and to seek appropriate care in order to minimise long-term joint damage, pain and impediments to daily life. Where conditions make it relevant and necessary, clinicians should suggest and implement early prophylaxis initiation, particularly when managing severe bleeding phenotypes in people with moderate condition [36]. This is particularly important in the context of the analysis of this cohort, where people with mild or moderate HA not receiving prophylaxis at the time of data collection exhibited relevant clinical and psychosocial burden, while being estimated to have needed a non-negligeable number of infusions (9.6 and 13, in mild and moderate HA respectively) in the 12 months preceding data collection.

A recent systematic literature review by Peyvandi et al. (2019) explored evidence on the burden of mild HA, with findings revealing substantial prevalence of joint pain (~20%) and non-negligeable bleeding rates (between 0.44-4.5 bleeding episodes a year) [35]. However, the authors also reported chronic paucity of available evidence specifically assessing mild haemophilia populations.

In a retrospective multi-centre study, Lindvall et al. (2010), with data collected via a self-administered questionnaire, highlighted a relative lack of condition knowledge on the part of people with mild haemophilia, as well some difficulties in recognising and treating bleeding events timely, which may lead to additional, and potentially undetected, bleeding events as well as the progression of chronic joint damage [40]. This finding was confirmed in a qualitative
A recent report also highlighted some difficulties from PwH with mild or moderate condition in accessing factor treatment or finding an appropriate treatment centre, further exacerbating potential communication issues. A recent report also noted that only a subset of the cohort completed the PSC questionnaires. It is possible that unmeasured variables could have had an impact on these results, which is a known limitation of observational research. Efforts to minimise bias were put in place; however, the findings of this analysis should be interpreted with care, owing to the specificity of the subgroup and the small sample size, which may limit generalisability of results to the Italian mild/moderate HA population. Finally, as inclusion of clinical data in the study was contingent on having at least one consultation, there remains a lack of information on the people with mild or moderate HA who struggle to access care or have minimal contact with their treatment centres. Therefore, further research with more representative sample sizes is warranted to capture and fully evaluate condition burden of mild and moderate HA in the context of evolving treatment practices in Italy.

CONCLUSION

This analysis showed a meaningful clinical and psychosocial burden of both mild and moderate HA in an Italian cohort of adults from the CHESS II dataset who did not receive prophylaxis at the time of data collection, with an incrementally greater burden apparent among those with moderate HA. The observed frequency of bleeding events, including joint bleeds, chronic pain, anxiety, depression, and limitations to activities of daily life, highlight a remaining unmet clinical and psychosocial need for people with mild or moderate HA within the sample examined. Clinicians would be well advised to closely support and encourage people with mild and moderate HA to seek appropriate treatment, in addition to those with severe HA, to support an appropriate long-term management regime that might reduce long-term arthropathy, pain, and humanistic burden. Further research investigating the limited use of prophylaxis in this population, particularly among the moderate cohort, would be valuable to inform both clinical and health policy decisions. This potential undertreatment of people with mild or moderate HA may contribute to the clinical and psychosocial burden observed in this study, and to downstream societal costs in addition to the burden on people with HA and their families.
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# SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Table S1. Clinical outcomes of participants who completed the patient self-complete questionnaire, by haemophilia A severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MILD HA (N=19)</th>
<th>MODERATE HA (N=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>37.7 (13.1)</td>
<td>39.6 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Q1, Q3)</td>
<td>37.0 (27.0, 46.0)</td>
<td>31.0 (28.0, 47.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-35</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-59</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body mass index, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current treatment regimen, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-demand</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recent FVIII treatmenta</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>16 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABR (all cause)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0, 2.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0, 4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target joints</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target joints, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (90)</td>
<td>20 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problem joints</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problem joints, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (95)</td>
<td>13 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic pain level, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pain</td>
<td>8 (42)</td>
<td>14 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Proportions may not sum to 100% due to rounding

a "No recent FVIII treatment" was indicated if no FVIII therapy had been used in the preceding 12 months

ABR: annual bleeding rate

Q1, Q3: Quartile 1, Quartile 3

SD: standard deviation