Enhancement in Interfacial Adhesion of Ti/Polyetheretherketone by Electrophoresis Deposition of Graphene Oxide
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This article discusses about the significance of graphene oxide (GO) deposition on the surface of a titanium plate by electrophoresis deposition (EPD) method to improve the adhesive strength of Ti/polyetheretherketone (PEEK) interfacial adhesion. Firstly, the anodic EPD method was applied to a water dispersion solution of GO, and then the morphology and the properties of titanium plate surface were characterized by scanning electron microscopy and contact angle measurements before and after GO deposition. Furthermore, the changes in the properties of GO after heating at 390°C were characterized by Raman and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopies. According to the results of single lap tensile shear test, the adhesion strength of Ti/PEEK interface after the anodization and deposition of GO was 34.94 MPa, an increase of 29.2% compared with 27.04 MPa of sample with only anodization. Also, the adhesion strengths were 58.1 and 76.5% higher compared with the samples of only GO deposited (22.1 MPa) and pure titanium (19.8 MPa), respectively.

INTRODUCTION

There is a strong demand for lightweight, high strength, high elastic modulus, and damage-tolerant structural materials with the development of large aircrafts [1]. The fiber-metal hybrid laminates (FMLs) have a high specific strength and specific rigidity, an outstanding impact resistance, a high damage tolerance, and good resistance performance to fatigue-crack propagation; FMLs have been widely used as the cover and structure materials in many types of airplanes [2, 3]. The fourth-generation hybrid laminates, Ti/C/polyetheretherketone (PEEK) (TiGr) hybrid laminates, are alternating lay up of titanium sheets and carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK prepreg cured under certain conditions. TiGr has received widespread attention because of the usage of lightweight titanium and high modulus of carbon fiber-reinforced thermoplastic PEEK resin prepregs. Because TiGr has excellent flame retardancy, high damage tolerance and corrosion resistance, good impact resistance,
easy forming, and recyclability, TiGr has been used in some commercial and military aircrafts [4, 5].

There are many interfaces in hybrid laminate composites, and the interfacial bonding strength between metal and resin is a key factor restricting the overall strength of the material [6]. In Ti/C/PEEK hybrid laminates, the interface of Ti/PEEK is crucial to the development and applications of TiGr laminates. The adhesive strength of Ti/PEEK interface can be improved by the surface treatment of titanium, constructing special structures or graft substances containing specific functional groups. The common surface treatment methods for titanium alloy can be divided into the following three categories: (1) mechanical methods, such as sandblasting and shot blasting, (2) chemical or electrochemical treatments, such as acid or alkali corrosion and anodic oxidation, and (3) coupling agent graft modification forming a layer of substances containing specific functional groups on the metal surface [7]. Some other methods are also used, such as lithography and microarc oxidation. However, these methods suffer from some new problems such as poor heat and humidity durability, environmental unacceptability, hydrogen embrittlement, and non-high-temperature resistance [8–11].

In this article, a new environment-friendly and interface reinforcement efficient method was used. In the first step of the process, graphene oxide (GO) nanopowder was added to the interface of Ti/PEEK. With a large specific surface area and many oxygen containing groups, such as epoxides and carboxylic acids, GO is a 2D material with a similar carbon structure, excellent mechanical properties and thermal conductivity as graphene. But GO can be easily dispersed in water, making it possible to disperse on a matrix as a single layer to provide the best condition for bonding [12–14]. GO is always used as a nanofiller for different matrixes such as polymers and metals. GO is also used as enhancer in fiber-reinforced polymer composites, because the addition of a small amount of GO significantly increases the performance of composite [14–19]. When compared with chemical vapor deposition and other methods, electrophoretic deposition (EPD) is much more economical and easy to implement. Hasan and other researchers [20, 21] used EPD for the deposition of large-area GO films on conductive or non-conductive substrate surface. However, no report shows the influence of GO on the bonding strength of two-dimensional metal plane and resin interface in large area. To solve the interface problems existing in TiGr laminates, in this study, GO was deposited onto a Ti surface by EPD to improve the Ti/PEEK interfacial adhesive strength.

Pristine and anodized Ti sheets were used as the metal to observe the changes in surface properties before and after the deposition of GO. Contact angle (CA) was measured using a CA measurement machine. The surface energy, surface morphology, and properties of GO after the deposition were evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Raman spectroscopy. The properties of original GO, water-dispersed GO, and hot-pressed GO were characterized by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy.

### TABLE 1. Physical properties of PEEK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melt point (C)</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass transition temperature (C)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage rate (%)</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of linear thermal expansion ($\alpha/10^-6$/C$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover, the effect of deposited GO on the adhesive strength of Ti/PEEK interface was evaluated by comparing the values of single-lap tensile shear strength.

### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials and Sample Preparation

Commercially pure titanium sheets (Ti) with a thickness of 1.6 mm were cut into pieces of 100 × 25 mm$^2$ size. The PEEK film was cut as 12.5 × 25 mm$^2$ size. Its physical properties are shown in Table 1. Firstly, the GO was synthesized by improved Hummer’s method [22, 23]. Then GO was dispersed in deionized water with a concentration of 1.0 mg/mL.

#### Pretreatment of Ti Surface

The original Ti surface contains oil and uneven oxidation films due to manufacturing processes and air oxidation. However, the surface oil and original oxidation film should be removed at the beginning. First, a Ti sheet was immersed into acetone and heated at 60°C using a water bath heater.

![Schematic of anodic oxidation unit.](image)

FIG. 1. Schematic of anodic oxidation unit.

### TABLE 2. The design and parameters of orthogonal experiment.
bath. Then, the Ti sheet was cleaned with deionized water. Finally, the original oxidation film of the Ti surface was removed by treating with a mixture of 85% nitric acid and 15% hydrofluoric acid at 40°C for 40 s. The remaining acid was removed by deionized water.

**Anodization of Ti Sheet**

Ti sheets were anodized immediately after removing original oxide film and oil contamination. The electrolyte was an alkaline NaTESi solution (NaOH 300 g/L, EDTA 30 g/L, sodium tartrate 65 g/L, and sodium silicate 6 g/L). Anodization was performed under constant voltage and temperature. The temperature, voltage, and duration were 35°C, 10 V, and 10 min, respectively. The Ti sheets were used as anode, while stainless steel sheets with same area as Ti sheets were used as cathode. Installation diagram is shown in Fig. 1.

**EPD of GO**

GO powder was scattered in deionized water and dispersed for 30 min in an ultrasonic environment. Then, the anode deposition method was used, and the experimental device was identical to above mentioned anodizing device. Parameters of EPD were optimized by orthogonal experiment. The parameters and the design of the orthogonal experiment are shown in Table 2. To simplify the experimental procedures and equipment, the electrode distance is based on the same electrode distance as the anodizing device.

**TABLE 2. Surface energy parameters of two liquids.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid</th>
<th>$\gamma_{SV}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{LV}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{LV}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CA Test**

The CA between Ti surface and liquid was measured using the lying-drop method. The droplet size was 6 μL. In this test, a JC2000D7M CA measuring instrument was used. Water and ethylene glycol were used as the test liquids. The surface energy of titanium plate was calculated using formula (1). The surface energy parameters of water and ethylene glycol are shown in Table 3.

$$\gamma_{LV} + \cos \theta = 2\sqrt{\gamma_{SV}^d \gamma_{LV}^d} + 2\sqrt{\gamma_{LV}^p \gamma_{SV}^p}$$

Where $\theta$ is the measured CA; $\gamma_{SV}^d$ and $\gamma_{SV}^p$ are the polar parts of the free energy of solid and liquid surfaces, respectively, and the dispersed portions of $\gamma_{LV}^d$ and $\gamma_{LV}^p$ are the free energies of solid and liquid surfaces, respectively; $\gamma_{LV}$ and $\gamma_{SV}$ are the free energies of the liquid-gas and solid-gas interface of a unit area, respectively. The surface energy of the solid can be expressed as follows:

$$\gamma_{SV} = \gamma_{SV}^p + \gamma_{SV}^d$$

FIG. 2. Sketch of single lap tensile shear specimen.
Scanning Electron Microscopy

The surface morphologies of a titanium sheet after different treatments were observed using a Hitachi S-4800 Type SEM.

Raman and FTIR Spectroscopies

The Raman spectra of GO before and after the deposition were obtained using a LabRAM HR evolution Raman spectrometer. The IR spectra of the Ti sheets after different treatments were observed using a NEXUS 870 FTIR spectrometer. For each spectrum, 32 scans in the wavenumber range 400–4,000 cm\(^{-1}\) were accumulated at a spectral resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\).

Single-Lap Tensile-Shear Experiment

Strength of Ti/PEEK bonding interface was tested according to the ASTM D1002 standard. The size of the specimen is shown in Fig. 2. A PEEK adhesive film was cut into piece of 25 × 12.5 mm\(^2\) size, and three layers of PEEK adhesive film were placed in the middle of specimen. A steel wire with a diameter of 0.2 mm was used to control the thickness of the adhesive layers. The tensile shear strength can be calculated according to formula (3).

\[
\sigma = \frac{P}{L \times d}
\]  

(3)

Where \(\sigma\) is tensile shear strength, \(P\) is the maximum load value of specimen, \(L\) is the length of joint, \(d\) is the width of joint.

Hot Pressing of Laminate

The hot-pressing process is shown in Fig. 3. The specimens were placed in a hot-pressing machine at 390°C for 10 min to completely melt the PEEK films. Then, a pressure of 0.6 MPa was applied. The heating process was completed after maintaining the temperature and pressure for 15 min. The load was released when it cooled down to 340°C. The samples were removed for water-cooling after the temperature reached 180°C.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EPD Parameters Optimization

Tensile shear strength of Ti/PEEK single lap joint of each parameter was studied by orthogonal experiment, and the optimal GO EPD parameter was selected according to the strength value. The strength values of the specimens under different parameters were shown in Fig. 4.

It can be found that when the deposition ambient temperature, deposition voltage, and deposition duration were: 35°C, 10 V, and 1 min, respectively, the strength of Ti/PEEK single lap joint was the highest. It indicated that this set of parameters was the optimal parameter.

Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectrum of GO deposited on the titanium after the anodic oxidation is shown in Fig. 5. Two sharp peaks appeared in the Raman spectrum: D peak at 1.295 and G peak at 1,580 cm⁻¹. D peak originates from the vibration of the carbon atom presented in the sp³ orbital, indicating the structural defects in the carbon plane and the disordered structural of the region; G peak corresponds to the first-order scattering of the E₂g phonon in-plane vibration of the carbon atom presented in the sp² orbital [24, 25]. The I_D/I_G ratio of original GO is 1.10, while that of deposited GO is 0.92. The ratio decreases as the number of defects in the structure increases and the distance between the layers also increases. It indicated that the anodized Ti can react with GO and cause the reduction of GO [18]. There is not only physical adsorption between Ti and GO, but also chemical bonding. This will definitely play a positive role in improving the interface bonding strength of Ti/PEEK, which will be discussed in the following.

FTIR Spectroscopy

Many oxygenated functional groups such as OH, C = O, and COOH exist in the interlayers and edges of GO with the same carbon structure as graphene. The presence of these groups makes GO to disperse in water easily and improve the wettability of Ti and PEEK adhesive and hence, enhance the interfacial adhesive strength. The changes in the functional groups of GO before and after different treatments were observed by FTIR spectroscopy, because these groups are sensitive to temperature change.

In the FTIR spectrum shown in Fig. 6, the peaks at 3,422, 1,734, 1,617, 1,400, and 1,209 cm⁻¹ correspond to OH stretching vibration, C = O stretching vibration, C = C stretching vibration, C–OH deformation vibration, and C–O–C stretching vibration, respectively [26–28]. The OH stretching vibration corresponding to 1400 cm⁻¹ peak increased because of an increase in the content of water molecules after the GO was dispersed in water [29]. While heating at 390°C for 1 h, the intensity of C = O stretching vibration peak and C–OH peak decreased. These indicated that the heat treatment reduced the amount of oxygenated functional groups. The reduction in the corresponding -OH peak can be attributed to the disappearance of water between layers and the loss of OH functional groups. After heating, the characteristic peak of C–O–C stretching close to 1,209 cm⁻¹ broadened because of the formation of Ti–O–C bond and its stretching vibration peak overlapped with the C–O–C stretching vibration peak.

CAs and Surface Energy

The CAs of Ti surface obtained after different treatments with water and ethylene glycol are shown in Fig. 7. Four types of Ti sheets were used: pristine Ti sheet (Ti-p), Ti with GO deposited on the surface (Ti-d), Ti with only anodization (Ti-a), and Ti with anodization and GO deposited on the surface (Ti-a-d). The CAs significantly decreased after the deposition of GO. The CAs of Ti-p with water and ethylene glycol were 65.45 and 31.59, respectively, and decreased to 32.56 and 24.98 after the deposition of GO, respectively. The CAs of Ti-a with water and ethylene glycol were 44.02 and 28.11, respectively, while

![FTIR spectra of GO after different treatment.](image-url)
after the deposition of GO, they decreased to 28.41 and 19.34, respectively. The surface energies can be obtained from the CAs. The surface energies are shown in Table 4. The surface energy of Ti-p was 41.27 mN/m and increased to 68.99 mN/m after the deposition of GO. The anodized Ti surface energy was 56.01 mN/m, but increased to 72.32 mN/m after the GO deposition. As GO has many oxygenated functional groups, it significantly improved the surface activity of Ti. The increase in surface activity improved the wettability of titanium and PEEK resin, also led to the enhancement in interface bonding strength.
Scanning electron microscopy

Under the effect of an external electric field, the anode Ti sheet first loses the outermost electron and then reacts with the ions in the solution, which generating a rough layer of TiO_2 film on the surface during the Ti anodization in an alkaline NaTESi solution. GO is easily dispersed in water and exhibits electronegativity because of the presence of many COOH and other oxygenated groups. GO migrates to the Ti anode and deposits on the surface under the effect of the electric field.

Figure 8 shows the SEM results of Ti surface topography after different treatments. The surface of pure titanium (Ti-p) showed a slight macroscopic rough topography caused by mechanical machining, but the overall performance is smooth as shown in Fig. 8a. With the deposition of GO on the surface, the contact area of Ti-d with resin increased compared with Ti-p, because there were some folds of GO shown as bright lines in Fig. 8b. A layer of homogeneous nodular oxide film formed on the Ti surface after the anodization in alkaline NaTESi electrolyte, as shown in Fig. 8c. The surface roughness significantly increased, and nanometer particles with diameters of 10–20 nm appeared on the oxide film surface. As shown in Fig. 8d, the GO distribution was not even on the Ti surface after the GO deposition on anodized Ti. Many folds appeared on the GO surface, helping to increase the specific surface area and mechanical interlock between adhesive resin and Ti. Hence, the bonding strength increased.
significantly even though a slight reunion of GO blocks the anodizing holes, making it un-conducive to glue resin immersion.

Single-Lap Tensile-Shear Strength

Figure 9 shows the results of single-lap tensile-shear strength. The strength of single-lap tensile-shear of Ti-a-d was 34.94 MPa, which is 29.2, 58.1, and 76.5% higher compared with 27.04 MPa of Ti-a strength, 22.1 MPa of the Ti-d strength and 19.8 MPa of the Ti-p strength, respectively. The single-lap tensile-shear strength increased after the deposition of GO, especially with the anodized Ti sheet.

Figure 10 shows the surface morphology of the broken specimen after a single-lap shear test. There are three types of failure models: (1) cohesive failure caused by the breaking of the adhesive, (2) interface failure, only the debonding of metal and adhesive, and (3) a mixture of cohesive and interface failure. The cohesive failure is caused by bond destruction between the resins, whereas the interfacial failure is simply caused by the de-bonding of mechanical interlock between PEEK and Ti and requires less energy than the former model.

The images in Fig. 10 show that the failures in the joints of Ti-p and Ti-d were mainly caused by interface failure, while the failures in the joints of Ti-a and Ti-a-d were caused by a mixture of cohesive and interface failure. However, it also indicates that the failure of only anodized sample was mainly caused by the third type of failure model. The fraction of interface failure was more than the cohesive failure as shown in Fig. 10c. Furthermore, there was less cohesive failure fraction compared with the GO-deposited sample as shown in Fig. 10d. Figure 10d shows that both sides of the...
sample with GO deposited had many resin residues after the joint fracture, indicating that the failure was mainly caused by cohesive failure. Therefore, the value of single-lap tensile-shear strength of the GO-deposited sample was higher than that of only anodized sample.

Figure 11 shows the micromorphology of the fracture joints. Figure 11a shows that the fracture was caused by a mixture of interface and cohesive failure, and the fracture face of PEEK was plain with a slight plastic deformation. Figure 11b shows many holes caused by the tearing of the adhesive during the failure of the joints. Figure 11a and b show that there are more cohesive failure area and more plastic deformation in the fracture joint with GO than that with no GO deposition. This indicates that GO is beneficial in increasing the wettability of resin and Ti, and the strength of the interface bonding is further enhanced due to the increase in contact area of resin and the folds of GO surface, thus increasing the mechanical interlock.

As shown in the FTIR spectrum, Ti–O–C bonds were formed after heating at hot-press temperature, making GO to form strong bonds with the Ti sheet. The principle is shown in Fig. 12a. Because of many folds on the surface of GO, the contact area with PEEK increased by enlarging the specific area. Also, it increased the mechanical interlock with PEEK. Those make it cost more energy to break the joints. On the other hand, the crystalline fraction will increase because the energy of Ti sheet more active. The FTIR spectra showed that after heating a and b press temperature, making GO to form strong bonds with the Ti sheet. Furthermore, GO made the surface energy of Ti sheet more active. The FTIR spectra showed that after heating at 390°C, GO lost some oxygenated functional groups, and new Ti–O–C bonds were formed, which is beneficial to the reinforcement of the joint of Ti sheet and PEEK.

The adhesive strength of Ti/PEEK was also investigated by the single-lap tensile-shear test to study the effect of GO deposition. The interface adhesive strength of Ti-a-d increased by 29.2% compared with Ti-a. When compared with Ti-p and Ti-d, it increased by 58.1 and 76.5%, respectively. The large number of folds on the surface of GO not only enlarged the specific area to increase the contact area with PEEK, but also increased the mechanical interlock with PEEK. Hence, a higher performance can be obtained by combining this technique with other mechanical interlocking.

CONCLUSIONS

The SEM, Raman spectroscopy, and CA measurements showed that GO was efficiently deposited on the surface of Ti sheet through EPD. Furthermore, GO made the surface energy of Ti sheet more active. The FTIR spectra showed that after heating at 390°C, GO lost some oxygenated functional groups, and new Ti–O–C bonds were formed, which is beneficial to the reinforcement of the joint of Ti sheet and PEEK.

The adhesive strength of Ti/PEEK was also investigated by the single-lap tensile-shear test to study the effect of GO deposition. The interface adhesive strength of Ti-a-d increased by 29.2% compared with Ti-a. When compared with Ti-p and Ti-d, it increased by 58.1 and 76.5%, respectively. The large number of folds on the surface of GO not only enlarged the specific area to increase the contact area with PEEK, but also increased the mechanical interlock with PEEK. Hence, a higher performance can be obtained by combining this technique with other mechanical interlocking.
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