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Abstract

A numerical analysis is made for thermophoretic transport of small particles through the convection in a differentially heated square cavity with a wavy wall. The governing gas-particle partial differential equations are solved numerically for some values of the considered parameters to investigate their influence on the flow, heat and mass transfer patterns. It is found that the effect of thermophoresis can
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be quite significant in appropriate situations. The number of undulations can essentially modify the heat transfer rate and fluid flow intensity.

**Nomenclature**

*Roman letters*

\( C_1, C_2 \) = concentrations

\( C \) = aerosol particle concentration

\( d_p \) = particle diameter

\( g \) = gravitational acceleration vector

\( k_p \) = thermal conductivity of aerosol particle

\( k_T \) = dimensionless coefficient

\( Kn \) = Knusen number

\( L \) = length and height of the cavity

\( \mathbf{n} \) = vector normal to the wavy surface

\( N_C, N_T \) = thermophoresis parameters

\( Nu \) = local Nusselt number

\( \overline{Nu} \) = average Nusselt number

\( p \) = pressure

\( Pr \) = Prandtl number

\( Ra \) = Rayleigh number

\( Sc \) = Schmidt number

\( Sh \) = local Sherwood number

\( \overline{Sh} \) = average Sherwood number
\[ t = \text{dimensional time} \]
\[ T = \text{dimensional temperature} \]
\[ T_C = \text{low temperature} \]
\[ T_h = \text{high temperature} \]
\[ u, v = \text{dimensionless velocity components} \]
\[ \overline{u}, \overline{v} = \text{dimensional velocity components} \]
\[ \overline{u}_T, \overline{v}_T = \text{thermophoretic deposition velocity components} \]
\[ x, y = \text{dimensionless Cartesian coordinates} \]
\[ \overline{x}, \overline{y} = \text{dimensional Cartesian coordinates} \]

**Greek symbols**

\[ \alpha = \text{thermal conductivity} \]
\[ \beta = \text{buoyancy ratio parameter} \]
\[ \beta_T = \text{volumetric thermal expansion coefficient} \]
\[ \beta_C = \text{volumetric diffusion expansion coefficient} \]
\[ \phi = \text{nanoparticles volume fraction} \]
\[ K = \text{number of undulations} \]
\[ \lambda = \text{gas mean free path} \]
\[ \mu = \text{dynamic viscosity} \]
\[ \nu = \text{kinematic viscosity} \]
\[ \theta = \text{dimensionless temperature} \]
\[ \rho = \text{density} \]
\[ \tau = \text{dimensionless time} \]
\[ \sigma = \text{surface of the wavy cavity} \]
\[ \xi, \eta \quad = \quad \text{new independent variables} \]

\[ \omega \quad = \quad \text{dimensionless vorticity} \]

\[ \psi \quad = \quad \text{dimensionless stream function} \]
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### 1. Introduction

The effect of thermophoresis known as a temperature gradient induces an opposite movement to low-sized gas suspended particles, has been studied considerable in the past and has many industrial applications such as: air-cleaning devices to remove submicron- and micron-sized particles from gas streams, deposition of particulate material on heat exchanger surfaces (Epstein et al. [1]), problems including nuclear reactor safety (Tsai and Liang [2]), modified chemical vapor deposition (Jenson et al. [3]), in the semiconductor industry (Opiolka et al. [4]), optical fiber fabrication (Song and Hwang [5]), etc. In the presence of a temperature gradient, in addition to other forces like drag, gravity or Brownian, a thermophoretic force depending on Knudsen number acts on the aerosol particles. Reviews of theory and experiments done in the field can be found in Bakarov [6], He and Ahmadi [7], and Piazza and Parola [8]. Thermophoretic aerosol particles deposition in the boundary layer flow of a Newtonian fluid has been extensively studied. Epstein et al. [1] have studied the thermophoretic deposition in a natural convection boundary layer on a cold vertical plate. Goren [9] investigated the thermophoretic deposition in a laminar compressible boundary layer flow past a flat plate. Wang and Chen [10] studied the deposition of particles from a boundary layer flow onto a continuously moving wavy surface, etc. They found that the particle concentration at the wall has very close values in both laminar and turbulent flow and that the particles are attracted by a plate colder than the gas and the concentration drops monotonically as the wall is approached. The thermophoretic aerosol particles deposition in a
fluid-saturated porous media was studied by Chamkha and Pop [11] for a vertical flat plate and Postelnicu [12] for a horizontal flat plate. They reported a high deposition of particles on the plate which increases when the thermophoresis parameter increases.

With many industrial and environmental applications, the natural convection of enclosed fluids has been an important subject due to its particular transition to turbulence mechanism by destabilizing the buoyancy-driven flow and its high numerical computational requirements. A large section of the previous research done on this topic has been reviewed by Bejan [13]. Extensive work was done by De Vahl Davis [14] who presented the final form of the problem and computational results for high Rayleigh numbers. The complexity of the system is increased when the convective is not only driven by temperature but concentration differences also. Beghein et al. [15] investigated numerically the thermosolutal natural convection in a square cavity filled with air mixed with different kinds of pollutants subject to horizontal temperature and concentration gradients. Sheremet [16] has investigated the effects of Soret and Dufour effects on heat transfer and fluid flow patterns in a square cavity with and without solid walls. An essential influence of contaminant source on flow and heat transfer structure has been shown. Sezai and Mohamad [17] have studied the double-diffusive convection in a cubic enclosure with opposing temperature and concentration gradients. The results showed a significant influence of solutal buoyancy force and Lewis number on heat and mass transfer rate at high values of Rayleigh numbers. Kuznetsov and Sheremet [18, 19] have examined numerically double-diffusive natural convection in a square [18] and cubical [19] cavities bounded by solid walls of finite thickness and conductivity with a local heat and contaminant sources. It has been revealed the effect of buoyancy ratio parameter on intensification of heat and mass transfer process.

In general, the main assumption of the studies which considered the free and mixed convection flow in vertical channels is that the thermophoretic deposition of aerosol particles is negligible. However, due to its fundamental and technological importance, theoretical studies of the thermophoretic effects on particle deposition in channel, tube or pipe flow were performed by many
investigators (Weinberg [20], Fiebig et al. [21], Grosan et al. [22], Chang et al. [23]), etc. Moreover, Chein and Liao [24] presented a numerical model including both the particle molecular diffusion and thermophoretic effects to study nanoparticle deposition in a two-dimensional channel flow subject to two types of thermal conditions. Talbot et al. [25] analyzed the thermophoresis of particles in a heated boundary layer, and Batchelor and Shen [26] studied the thermophoretic deposition in a gas flow over a cold surface. Many practical and industrial applications of the thermophoretic effects can be found in the open literature, for example, a method for hybridization and binding between biomolecules involving thermophoresis, the thermophoretic filtering of liquids and the process for preparation of ceramic film are mentioned in the patents ([27] and [28]).

Wavy shaped enclosures are used in engineering applications as given by Varol and Oztop [29], Sultana and Hyder [30], Shenoy et al. [31], etc. Circular, square and an arc-square cavity whose shape lies between the square and circular cavity are analyzed by Ridouane and Campo [32]. They used finite volume method and found that the heat transfer enhancement provided by the circular cavity is large for low Rayleigh numbers and decreases for moderate Rayleigh number and practically vanishes for higher values of Rayleigh number. We mention also here the very interesting papers by Siddiqa et al. [33,34] on dynamics of two-phase dusty fluid flow along a wavy surface and on double diffusive natural convection flow over a wavy surface situated in a non-absorbing medium.

Based on above literature survey, the number of studies on double-diffusive natural convection in a differentially heated wavy cavity under thermophoresis effect is still limited especially for curvilinear surfaces. To the authors’ best knowledge, the thermophoretic transport effects in the steady convective flow in a wavy cavity have not been studied before and it is the main aim of this paper to study this problem. The scope of this paper is to numerically analyze the effect of thermophoresis on natural convection in a differentially heated square cavity having a wavy wall filled with a Newtonian fluid containing suspended aerosol particles. Streamlines, isotherms, isoconcentration contours as well as average Nusselt number and fluid flow rate are presented and discussed in details.
II. Basic equations

We analyze the free convective flow and heat transfer of a warm gas, containing suspended aerosol particles, inside a differentially heated square cavity with a wavy isothermal wall. The domain of interest is presented in Fig. 1 with dimensional Cartesian coordinate system where the origin is set in the cavity’s bottom left corner, the $\bar{x}$-axis is a horizontal axis and $\bar{y}$-axis is a vertical axis with opposite direction relative to the gravitational acceleration. The considered enclosure is kept at constant temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$ and constant concentrations $C_1$ and $C_2$ at the left wavy and right flat walls, while horizontal walls are adiabatic and impermeable. The length and height of the cavity is denoted by $L$. It is assumed that the left wavy wall and right flat wall of the cavity are described by the following relations $\bar{x}_1=L-a+b\cos(2\pi\bar{y}/L)$ and $\bar{x}_2=L$, respectively. $\Delta = \bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 = L\left[ a + b\cos(2\pi\bar{y}/L) \right]$ is the distance between vertical walls.

Fig. 1. Physical model and coordinate system
Except for the density, the properties of the fluid are taken to be constant. It is further assumed
that the effect of buoyancy is included through the Boussinesq approximation in the following form:

\[
\rho = \rho_0 \left[1 - \beta_r (T - T_c) - \beta_c (C - C_c) \right]
\]  

(1)

The viscous, radiation and Joule heating effects are neglected. Under the above assumptions, the
conservation equations for mass, momentum and thermal energy can be written in Cartesian
coordinates as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = 0
\]  

(2)

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]  

(3)

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial y^2} \right) + \rho [\beta_r (T - T_c) + \beta_c (C - C_c)] g
\]  

(4)

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]  

(5)

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \bar{u} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\bar{u}_r C)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\bar{v}_r C)}{\partial y} = D \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]  

(6)

Here \((\bar{u}, \bar{v})\) are the dimensional velocity components along the Cartesian coordinates \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\), \(T\) is
the fluid temperature, \(C\) is a aerosol particle concentration, \(\bar{u}_r\) and \(\bar{v}_r\) are the thermophoretic
deposition velocity components, which are defined as

\[
\bar{u}_r = -k_r \frac{\nu}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}, \quad \bar{v}_r = -k_r \frac{\nu}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}
\]  

(7)

and the physical meaning of the other quantities is mentioned in the nomenclature. The dimensionless
coefficient \(k_r\) depends on the Knudsen number \(Kn\) \((Kn = \frac{2 \lambda}{d_p})\), where \(d_p\) is the particle diameter.
and $\lambda$ is the gas mean free path) and the ratio of the thermal conductivity between the gas and particles.

According to Talbot et al. [25], $k_r$ is expressed as

$$k_r = \frac{2.34 \cdot \eta \left[ k_f/k_p + 2.18 \cdot Kn \right]}{(1 + 3.42 \cdot Kn)(1 + 2 k_f/k_p + 4.36 \cdot Kn)} \tag{8}$$

The values of $k_r$ is in the range between 0.2 and 1.2 (Batchelor and Shen [26]), $k_f$ is a thermal conductivity of fluid, $k_p$ is a thermal conductivity of aerosol particles, $\eta$ is a Cunningham correction factor. Further, it is assumed that particles have only one size, hence the particulate matter is diluted enough that pairs or groups of particles may be considered (Epstein et al. [1]).

In order to analyze the fluid flow and heat transfer in general scale we introduce the following dimensionless variables

$$\tau = t \sqrt{g\beta_r (T_h - T_c)L/L}, \quad x = \bar{x}/L, \quad y = \bar{y}/L, \quad u = u/\sqrt{g\beta_r (T - T_c)L}, \quad v = v/\sqrt{g\beta_r (T - T_c)L}, \quad \theta = (T - T_c)/(T_h - T_c), \quad \phi = (C - C_c)/(C_h - C_c) \tag{9}$$

and also dimensionless stream function $\psi \left(u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \ v = - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)$ and vorticity $\omega = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$. Therefore the governing Eqs. (2)–(6) using the dimensionless variables (9) can be written as follows

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} = -\omega \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \tau} + u \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = \frac{Pr}{Ra} \nabla^2 \omega + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \beta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Ra \cdot Pr} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \right) \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Sc} \sqrt{Ra} \nabla^2 \phi \tag{13}$$

$$+ k_i \sqrt{Pr} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\phi + N_c \cdot \partial \theta}{\theta + N_r \cdot \partial \phi} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\phi + N_c \cdot \partial \theta}{\theta + N_r \cdot \partial \phi} \right) \right]$$
with the following boundary conditions

\[
\psi = 0, \quad \omega = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2}, \quad \theta = 1, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{on the left wavy wall}
\]

\[
\psi = 0, \quad \omega = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}, \quad \theta = 0, \quad \phi = 0 \quad \text{on the right flat wall}
\]

\[
\psi = 0, \quad \omega = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2}, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{on the bottom and top walls}
\]

Here \( Ra = g\beta \Delta TL^2/(\nu \alpha) \) is the Rayleigh number, \( Pr = \nu/\alpha \) is the Prandtl number, \( \beta = \frac{\beta_c \Delta C}{\beta_r \Delta T} \) is the buoyancy ratio parameter, \( Sc = \nu/D \) is the Schmidt number, \( N_c = \frac{C_c}{\Delta C} \) and \( N_T = \frac{T_c}{\Delta T} \) are the thermophoresis parameters, \( \Delta C = C_h - C_c \) and \( \Delta T = T_h - T_c \).

It is interesting to note that when \( \beta = 0 \) there is no mass transfer and the buoyancy force is due to thermal diffusion only. The mass transfer driven flow is valid for both positive and negative values of \( \beta \). Hence, the two buoyant mechanisms aid each other when \( \beta > 0 \) and oppose each other when \( \beta < 0 \), respectively (Mahajan and Angirasa [35]).

The physical quantities of interest are the local Nusselt number \( Nu \) and local Sherwood number along the hot wavy wall and average Nusselt number \( \bar{Nu} \) and average Sherwood number \( \bar{Sh} \), that are defined as

\[
Nu = -\left. \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} \right|_{x=0}, \quad \bar{Nu} = \int_0^1 Nu \, dy
\]

\[
Sh = -\left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right|_{x=0}, \quad \bar{Sh} = \int_0^1 Sh \, dy
\]

III. Numerical method
The cavity in the $x$ and $y$ plane, i.e., physical domain, is transformed into a rectangular geometry in the computational domain using an algebraic coordinate transformation by introducing new independent variables $\xi$ and $\eta$. The left and right walls of the cavity become coordinate lines having constant values of $\xi$. The independent variables in the physical domain are transformed to independent variables in the computational domain by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi &= \frac{x - x_l}{\Delta} = \frac{x - 1 + a + b \cdot \cos(2\pi y)}{a + b \cdot \cos(2\pi y)}, \\
\eta &= y
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

Taking into account transformation (16) the governing equations (10)–(13) will be rewritten in the following form:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x \partial \eta} \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x \partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} = -\omega
\]  

(17)

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \eta} = \frac{Pr}{Ra} \sqrt{Pr \cdot Ra} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right] \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial \xi^2} + \\
+ 2 \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x \partial \eta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial \eta^2} + \beta \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta}
\]  

(18)

\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Ra \cdot Pr}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right] \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \xi^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \eta^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta}
\]  

(19)

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} = \frac{1}{Sc \sqrt{Ra \cdot Pr}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right] \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \xi^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \eta^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} + \\
+ k_r \sqrt{Pr \cdot Ra} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \right]
\]  

(20)

The corresponding boundary conditions are given by
\( \psi = 0, \quad \omega = - \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} - \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right), \quad \theta = \frac{T_i - T_c}{T_h - T_c}, \quad \phi = \frac{C_i - C_c}{C_h - C_c} \quad \text{on } \xi = 0 \)

\( \psi = 0, \quad \omega = - \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2}, \quad \theta = \frac{T_i - T_c}{T_h - T_c}, \quad \phi = \frac{C_i - C_c}{C_h - C_c} \quad \text{on } \xi = 1 \)

\( \psi = 0, \quad \omega = - \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{on } \eta = 0 \) and \( \eta = 1 \)

(21)

Here

\[
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{a + b \cos(2\pi \kappa y)}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} = \frac{2\pi \kappa b (x - 1) \sin(2\pi \kappa y)}{[a + b \cos(2\pi \kappa y)]^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x^2} = 0 \]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial y^2} = 4\pi^2 \kappa^2 b (x - 1) \sin \left[ a \cos(2\pi \kappa y) + b + b \sin^2(2\pi \kappa y) \right] \]

\[
\left[ a + b \cos(2\pi \kappa y) \right]^3 \]

(22)

The local Nusselt number along the left wavy wall can be found as follows:

\[
Nu = - \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n} \right)_{x=q} = - (n, \nabla \theta) = \left. \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_y^2}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right|_{x=q} - \left. \frac{\sigma_y}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_y^2}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right|_{x=q} \quad \text{or taking into account Eq. (16) we can obtain} \]

\[
Nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_y^2}} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} - \sigma_y \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right)_{x=q} \left. \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\xi=0} \quad \text{or taking into account Eq. (16) we can obtain} \]

\[
Sh = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_y^2}} \left( \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} - \sigma_y \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right)_{x=q} \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\xi=0} \quad \text{or taking into account Eq. (16) we can obtain} \]

Therefore, the average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers can be defined as

\[
\overline{Nu} = \frac{1}{0} \int_{0}^{Nu \eta}, \quad \overline{Sh} = \frac{1}{0} \int_{0}^{Sh \eta}. \quad \text{or taking into account Eq. (16) we can obtain} \]

(26)
The governing equations (17)–(20) with corresponding boundary conditions (21) were solved using the finite difference method of the second order accuracy (see Sheremet [16], Kuznetsov and Sheremet [18, 19], Shenoy et al. [31]). Comprehensive description and verification of the used numerical schemes have been presented earlier (see Sheremet [16], Kuznetsov and Sheremet [18, 19], Shenoy et al. [31]).

The developed in-house computational code has been verified using numerical data by Sezai and Mohamad [17] for the double-diffusive convection in a square cavity, filled with a binary fluid such as an aqueous solution. Different temperatures and concentrations are specified between the left and right vertical walls and zero heat and mass fluxes are imposed on the remaining walls with no slip boundary conditions for all velocity components. The flow is assumed to be laminar and the binary fluid is assumed to be Newtonian and incompressible. The comparison of results is analyzed in terms of the average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Ra$</th>
<th>Sezai and Mohamad [17]</th>
<th>Present data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \cdot 10^4$</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Variations of $\overline{Nu}$ and $\overline{Sh}$ with $Ra$ for $Pr = Le = 10$, $\beta = -0.5$

For the purpose of obtaining grid independent solution, a grid sensitivity analysis is performed. The grid independent solution was performed by preparing the solution for free convection in a square wavy cavity filled with a warm gas, containing suspended aerosol particles at $Ra = 10^4$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Sc = 10.0$, $\beta = 1.0$, $N_T = 8$, $N_C = 2$, $k_T = 1.0$, $\kappa = 2$, $a = 0.9$, $T_1 = T_h$, $T_2 = T_c$, $C_1 = C$, $C_2 = C_h$. Four cases of the uniform grid are tested: a grid of 50×50 points, a grid of 100×100 points, a grid of 150×150
points, and a much finer grid of 200×200 points. Figure 2 shows an effect of the mesh parameters on the average Nusselt number of the left wavy wall and fluid flow rate inside the cavity.

![Figure 2](image)

**Fig. 2.** Variation of $\bar{Nu}$ (left vertical wall) ($a$) and $|\psi|_{\text{max}}$ ($b$) versus time and mesh parameters

On the basis of the conducted verifications the uniform grid of 150×150 points has been selected for the following analysis.

**IV. Results and Discussion**

Numerical analysis has been realized for the following values of key parameters: Rayleigh number ($Ra = 10^4$), Prandtl number ($Pr = 0.7$), Schmidt number ($Sc = 0.1–100$), buoyancy ratio parameter ($\beta = 0.0–1.0$), thermophoresis parameters ($N_T = 8$, $N_C = 2$), dimensionless thermophoretic coefficient ($k_T = 0.1–1.0$), undulation number ($\kappa = 0–2$), $a = 0.9$ and two cases of boundary conditions I – $T_1 = T_h$, $T_2 = T_c$, $C_1 = C_h$, $C_2 = C_c$ and II – $T_1 = T_c$, $T_2 = T_h$, $C_1 = C_h$, $C_2 = C_c$. Particular efforts have been focused on the effects of these parameters on the fluid flow, heat and mass transfer inside the wavy cavity. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations as well as average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers and fluid flow rate for different values of governing parameters mentioned above are illustrated in Figs. 3–14.
Figures 3 and 4 present distributions of streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations inside the wavy cavity in the case of different boundary conditions and for various values of Schmidt number. It should be noted that used boundary conditions reflect the effect of wavy wall on deposition and ablation of small particles at curved wall. The case of hot wavy wall and cold flat wall is demonstrated in Fig. 3. For $Sc = 0.1$ and $Sc = 1.0$ one can find a domination of heat and mass diffusion mechanism when isothermal and isoconcentrations are parallel to vertical walls of constant temperature and concentration. Moreover, taking into account the thermophoresis effect the small particles are distributed uniformly inside the cavity. An appearance of two convective cells of low intensity inside the cavity for these values of Schmidt number illustrates a formation of different temperature and concentration gradients within the cavity. Further increase in Schmidt number (Fig. 3c) leads to essential intensification of convective heat and mass transfer, where one major vortex is formed inside the cavity and three recirculations are at corners of the cavity. Isotherms illustrate more significant heating of the cavity upper part taking into account the major vortex shape, while recirculation in the upper wave trough reflects the formation of stagnant zone of high quasi-constant temperature. At the same time, low temperature disturbs from the right vertical wall along the bottom surface. Such a circulation defines a motion of small particles. Highest concentration is in the bottom right corner where a minor vortex circulates and lowest concentration is in the upper wave trough. It is worth noting that due to the thermophoresis effect the upper part has a low concentration of particles while the bottom part has a high concentration of particles.

In the case of hot flat vertical wall and cold wavy wall with the opposite distribution of concentration we have the fluid flow, heat and mass transfer patterns (Fig. 4) that are symmetry to the abovementioned. For low values of Schmidt number (Figs. 4a and b) the diffusion mechanism for heat and mass transfer is essential and location of convective cells cores as well as isotherms and isoconcentrations are opposite in comparison with Figs. 3a and b. When $Sc = 10$ (Figs. 4c) on can find also one major vortex and three minor ones. Highest particles concentration is inside the bottom wave
trough, while the lowest concentration in the upper right corner. The main reason for such behavior is the effect of thermophoresis force and geometry of the cavity with stagnation zones. It is interesting to note, that the considered mass buoyancy force has an opposite effect on the convection due to the temperature gradient for the considered case is directed in positive $x$-coordinate while the concentration gradient is directed in negative $x$-coordinate. But for the thermophoresis effect we have the particles circulation along the main circulation. If we consider the case when thermal Rayleigh number is equal to zero but concentration one is not zero the formed circulation will be opposite.

**Fig. 3.** Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case I) for $\beta=1.0$, $k_T=0.5$, $\kappa=1$:

$Sc=0.1 – a$, $Sc=1.0 – b$, $Sc=10.0 – c$
Fig. 4. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case II) for $\beta=1.0$, $k_T=0.5$, $\kappa=1$: 

$Sc=0.1 \ a$, $Sc=1.0 \ b$, $Sc=10.0 \ c$

The effects of Schmidt number and used boundary conditions on average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at left wavy wall are presented in Fig. 5. As has been mentioned above, low values of Schmidt number reflect heat and mass conduction; therefore regardless of the considered boundary conditions these values are identical. An increase in $Sc$ up to 10 leads to an essential growth of $\overline{Nu}$ and $\overline{Sh}$. 
Average Nusselt number at $\tau = 200$ for different boundary conditions has identical values, while average Sherwood number does not obtain steady state value. The effect of dimensionless time is also interesting, namely, an increase in $Sc$ leads to a growth of time needed for obtaining steady-state mode.

**Fig. 5.** Variations $\overline{Nu}$ (a) and $\overline{Sh}$ (b) at wavy wall for $\beta=1.0$, $k_T=0.5$, $\kappa=1$

Figures 6 and 7 show streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations for different values of buoyancy ratio parameter and used boundary conditions. Regardless of the analyzed case, an increase in $\beta$ leads to a weak attenuation of the convective flow, heat transfer and mass transfer along the main vortex. More essential influence of mass flux reduces the heat transfer due to the opposite concentration effect as has been mentioned above that results in an appearance of addition recirculations in wave troughs and cavity corner. In the case of hot right wall and cold left one the effect of buoyancy ratio parameter is similar to the abovementioned.

Figure 8 demonstrates the heat and mass transfer enhancement with Schmidt number and the rate of this enhancement increases with the buoyancy ratio parameter. While average Nusselt and
Sherwood numbers decrease with a growth of $\beta$. It is worth noting that change of the analyzed boundary conditions leads to essential differences in average Sherwood number for high values of the buoyancy ratio parameter.

Fig. 6. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case I) for $Sc=10.0$, $k_r=0.5$, $\kappa=1$:

$\beta=0.0 – a$, $\beta=0.1 – b$, $\beta=1.0 – c$
Fig. 7. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case II) for $Sc=10.0$, $k_T=0.5$, $\kappa=1$:

$\beta=0.0 - a$, $\beta=0.1 - b$, $\beta=1.0 - c$
Fig. 8. Variations of $\overline{Nu}(a)$ and $\overline{Sh} (b)$ at wavy wall for $k_I=0.5, \kappa=1$

Figures 9–11 show the effect of dimensionless thermophoretic coefficient on fluid flow, heat and mass transfer patterns for $Sc=10.0, \beta=1.0, \kappa=1$. Regardless of the analyzed boundary conditions, an increase in $k_I$ leads to weak fluid flow intensification, while temperature field changes insignificantly and concentration field changes essentially. An increase in thermophoretic coefficient characterizes a growth of thermophoresis velocity (see Eq. (7)) that reflects an increase in particles concentration in the bottom right corner (Fig. 9) and left bottom wave trough (Fig. 10).
Fig. 9. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case I) for $Sc=10.0$, $\beta=1.0$, $\kappa=1$:

$k_T=0.1 - a$, $k_T=0.5 - b$, $k_T=1.0 - c$

Figure 11 presents the heat transfer enhancement with dimensionless thermophoretic coefficient and it is not dependent on the used boundary conditions. At the same time, this heat transfer intensification is more essential for high values of Schmidt number. In the case I average Sherwood
number is a weak decreasing function of $k_T$, while for the case II $\overline{Sh}$ is an increasing function of $k_T$.

The main reason for such behavior is a growth of the surface length where particles subside.

![Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case II) for $Sc=10.0$, $\beta=1.0$, $\kappa=1$: $k_T=0.1 - a$, $k_T=0.5 - b$, $k_T=1.0 - c$](image)

**Fig. 10.** Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case II) for $Sc=10.0$, $\beta=1.0$, $\kappa=1$: $k_T=0.1 - a$, $k_T=0.5 - b$, $k_T=1.0 - c$
The effect of undulation number on streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations for different boundary conditions is presented in Figs. 12 and 13 for $Sc = 10.0$, $\beta = 1.0$, $k_T = 0.5$. Regardless of the used boundary conditions (cases I and II) the main reason for various flow, heat and mass transfer patterns is a deformation of internal fluid zone due to wave crests and troughs. For the considered range of $\kappa$ we have the following analysis of fluid intensity: for the cases I and II

$$|\rho|_{\text{max}}^{\kappa=0} = 0.062 > |\rho|_{\text{max}}^{\kappa=2} = 0.057 > |\rho|_{\text{max}}^{\kappa=4} = 0.054.$$  

Therefore, the wave troughs define the fluid flow intensity. It should be noted that the value of undulation number does not change the location of zones with the highest and lowest values of particles concentration. One can find only the modification of the isoconcentrations and isotherms near the wavy wall.
Fig. 12. Streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations (case I) for $Sc=10.0$, $\beta=1.0$, $\kappa_T=0.5$:

$$\kappa=0 - a, \kappa=1 - b, \kappa=2 - c$$
The effect of undulation number on average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at wavy wall for different boundary conditions is shown in Fig. 14. In the case of $Sc > 3$ a growth of undulation number leads to the heat transfer rate reduction regardless of the used boundary conditions (cases I and II). As
for average Sherwood number, an increase in $\kappa$ for $Sc > 2$ also leads to a diminution of $\bar{Sh}$. While for $Sc < 2$ the dependences are non-linear. As has been mentioned above, in the case of the highest concentration along wavy wall average Sherwood number is greater in comparison with another considered case.

![Graph of $\bar{Nu}$ and $\bar{Sh}$](image)

**Fig. 14** Variations of $\bar{Nu}$ (a) and $\bar{Sh}$ (b) at wavy wall for $\beta=1.0$, $k_T=0.5$

**V. Conclusions**

In the present work we attempt to model natural convective flow in a wavy wall cavity considering the double-diffusion and thermophoresis effects. The initial domain was transformed using appropriate transformations in a rectangular one. The modified governing dimensionless partial differential equations were solved using a finite difference method of the second order accuracy. The flow characteristics such as streamlines, isotherms and isoconcentrations along with average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at wavy wall were presented in graphical form for several values of the governing parameters. Two cases of differentially heated cavity were taken into account, namely: case I when left
wavy wall is kept at high temperature and low concentration, while the right wall is kept at low temperature and high concentration; case II when left wavy wall is kept at low temperature and high concentration, while the right wall is kept at high temperature and low concentration. The conducted analysis revealed that the average Nusselt number is an increasing function of Schmidt number and thermophoretic coefficient, and a decreasing function of buoyancy ratio parameter and undulation number for \( Sc > 3 \) regardless of the used boundary condition cases. At the same time, the average Sherwood number is an increasing function of Schmidt number and a thermophoretic coefficient for case II, and a decreasing function of buoyancy ratio parameter, undulation number for \( Sc > 2 \) and thermophoretic coefficient for case I. It should be noted that the waviness of the wall essentially affect the mass transfer taking into account the obtained results for the average Sherwood number.
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