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Abstract

In this paper, we consider the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for solving the linear space fractional partial differential equations. The space fractional derivatives are defined by using Riesz fractional derivative. The space variable is discretized by means of a Galerkin finite element method and the time variable is discretized by the discontinuous Galerkin method. The approximate solution will be sought as a piecewise polynomial function in $t$ of degree at most $q-1$, $q \geq 1$, which is not necessarily continuous at the nodes of the defining partition. The error estimates in the fully discrete case are obtained and the numerical examples are given.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we will consider the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping methods for solving the following linear space fractional partial differential equation, with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^{2\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial |x|^{2\alpha}} &= f(t,x), \quad 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < 1, \\
u(t,0) &= u(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \\
u(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where the Riesz fractional derivative is defined by, \cite{31}, \cite{32}

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2\alpha} w(x)}{\partial |x|^{2\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{2\cos(\alpha\pi)} \left( R_0^0 D_x^{2\alpha} w(x) + R_1^x D_x^{1\alpha} w(x) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

and $R_0^0 D_x^{\gamma} w(x)$ and $R_1^x D_x^{\gamma} w(x)$, $1 < \gamma < 2$ are called the left-sided and right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, respectively,

\[
\begin{align*}
R_0^0 D_x^{\gamma} w(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_0^x (x-y)^{1-\gamma} w(y) dy, \\
R_1^x D_x^{\gamma} w(x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_x^1 (y-x)^{1-\gamma} w(y) dy.
\end{align*}
\]
Space fractional partial differential equations are widely used to model complex phenomena, for example, in quasi-geostrophic flow, the fast rotating fluids, the dynamic of the frontogenesis in meteorology, the diffusions in fractal or disordered medium, the pollution problems, the mathematical finance and the transport problems, soil contamination and underground water flow, see, e.g., [5], [9], [24], [4], [29].

In recent years, many authors consider the numerical methods for solving space fractional partial differential equations, e.g., finite difference methods [1], [2], [27]-[28], [34]-[38], [40], [19], [30], finite element methods [11], [12]-[18], [33], [41], [42] and spectral methods [25]-[26], [7], [8].

In this paper, we will consider a finite element method in space and a discontinuous Galerkin method in time for solving Riesz space fractional partial differential equation where the space fractional derivative is defined as left-sided Riemann-Liouville derivative, see also [22]. The estimates in [23] are for both smooth and nonsmooth initial data, and are expressed directly in terms of the smoothness of the initial data.


In this paper, we will consider a finite element method in space and a discontinuous Galerkin method in time for solving Riesz space fractional partial differential equation. When the approximating functions are piecewise constant in time, we proved that the error is $O(h^{r-\alpha} + k_n)$ and the bounds contain the terms $\|u\|_{r,J_n}$ and $\|u_t\|_{\alpha,J_n}$, see Theorem 4.1 below. When the approximating functions are piecewise linear in time, we proved that the error is $O(h^{2(r-\alpha)} + k_n^3)$ and the bounds contain the terms $\|u\|_{r,J_n}$ and $\|u_{tt}\|_{r,J_n}$, see Theorem 4.3 below. The advantages of the discontinuous Galerkin method is that, e.g., variable coefficients and nonlinearities present no complication in principle. We obtain precise error estimates for the discontinuous Galerkin method which make it possible to construct the adaptive methods based on the automatic time-step control.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some fractional Sobolev spaces and some basic lemmas. In Section 3, we give the error estimates for the backward Euler method. In Section 4, we consider the error estimates for the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for $q = 1, 2$. Finally in Section 4, we give two numerical examples.

By $C$ we denote a positive constant independent of the functions and parameters concerned, but not necessarily the same at different occurrences.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some fractional Sobolev spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** [14], [25] For any $\sigma > 0$, we define the spaces $^1H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ and $^rH^\sigma(0,1)$ to be the closures of $C^\infty_\sigma(0,1)$ with respect to the norms $\|v\|_{^1H^\sigma_0(0,1)}$ and $\|v\|_{^rH^\sigma(0,1)}$, respectively, where

$$\|v\|_{^1H^\sigma_0(0,1)} := \|v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|^{R}_{a}D_{x}^{\sigma}v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2,$$

and

$$\|v\|_{^rH^\sigma(0,1)} := \|v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|^{R}_{a}D_{x}^{\sigma}v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.$$

The semi-norms are defined by $|v|_{^1H^\sigma_0(0,1)} := \|^{R}_{a}D_{x}^{\sigma}v\|_{L^2(0,1)}$ and $|v|_{^rH^\sigma(0,1)} := \|^{R}_{a}D_{x}^{\sigma}v\|_{L^2(0,1)}$, respectively.
Remark 2.1. In Definition 2.1, $|v|_{H^\sigma_0,(0,1)} > 0$ is a semi-norm (not a norm) since $|v|_{H^\sigma_0,(0,1)} = 0$ does not imply $v = 0$. For example, when $0 < \sigma < 1$, let $w(x) = x^\sigma - 1$, we have $w(x) \neq 0$ and
\[
\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 w(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x (x-y)^{-\sigma} w(y) dy = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x (x-y)^{-\sigma} y^{\sigma-1} dy
\]
which implies that $|w|_{H^\sigma_0,(0,1)} = \|\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 w\|_{L^2(0,1)} = 0$. The similar comments are for the semi-norm $|v|_{H^\sigma_0,(0,1)}$ and the semi-norm in Definitions 2.2 below.

Definition 2.2. [14], [25] For any $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma \neq n - 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define the space $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ to be the closure of $C^\infty_0(0,1)$ with respect to the norm $\|v\|_{H^\sigma_0(0,1)}$, where
\[
\|v\|_{H^\sigma_0(0,1)}^2 := \|v\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 + \|\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 v, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 v\|
\]
The semi-norm is defined by $|v|_{H^\sigma_0(0,1)}^2 := |\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 v, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 v|$.

Definition 2.3. [14], [25] For any $\sigma > 0$, let $H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ denote the fractional Sobolev space defined in the whole line $\mathbb{R}$. We define
\[
H^\sigma(0,1) = \{v \in L^2(0,1) : \tilde{v}|_{(0,1)} = v, \text{where } \tilde{v} \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})\},
\]
with the norm
\[
\|v\|_{H^\sigma(0,1)} = \inf_{\tilde{v} \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{v}|_{(0,1)} = v} \|\tilde{v}\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})},
\]
where
\[
\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} = \|(1 + |w|^{2})^{\sigma/2} \mathcal{F}(\tilde{v})(w)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},
\]
and $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{v})$ denotes the Fourier transform of $\tilde{v}$ and the corresponding semi-norm is defined by $|\tilde{v}|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})} = \|\tilde{v}\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R})}$. Further we define the Sobolev space $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ to be the closure of $C^\infty_0(0,1)$ with respect to the norm $\|v\|_{H^\sigma(0,1)}$ and the semi-norm in $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ is denoted by $|v|_{H^\sigma_0(0,1)}$.

Lemma 2.1. [14, Theorems 2.12, 2.13], [25, Lemmas 2.4, 2.5] Let $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma \neq n - 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The semi-norms and norms in spaces $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$, $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$, $C^\infty_0(0,1)$ and $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ and $H^\sigma_0(0,1)$ are equivalent.

Below we will denote $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\| \cdot \|$ as the inner product and norm in $L^2(0,1)$, respectively.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma \neq n - 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have
\[
(\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 v, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 v) = \cos(\pi \sigma) \|\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 v\|^2, \forall v \in H^\sigma_0(0,1).
\]
In particular, $(\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 v, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 v)$ is negative when $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$.

Proof: It is sufficient to prove
\[
(\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 \varphi, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 \varphi) = \cos(\pi \sigma) \|\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 \varphi\|^2, \forall \varphi \in C^\infty_0(0,1).
\]
In fact, we have, for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(0,1)$, [25],
\[
(\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 \varphi, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 \varphi) = (\rho_\infty D_\sigma^2 \tilde{\varphi}, \rho_x D_\sigma^2 \tilde{\varphi})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \cos(\pi \sigma) \|\rho_\infty D_\sigma^2 \tilde{\varphi}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \cos(\pi \sigma) \|\rho_0 D_\sigma^2 \varphi\|^2,
\]
where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the extension of $\varphi$ by zero outside of $(0,1)$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. We have, see [25],

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{2\alpha} w, v \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} w, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} v \right), \quad \forall \ w, v \in H_0^\alpha(0,1),
\]
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{2\alpha} w, v \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} w, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} v \right), \quad \forall \ w, v \in H_0^\alpha(0,1).
\]

We also have the following fractional Poincaré inequality:

Lemma 2.4. [14], [17], [25] For $u \in H_0^\alpha(0,1)$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have

\[
\|u\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq C|u|_{H_0^\alpha(0,1)},
\]
and for $0 < s < \mu$, $s \neq n - 1/2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

\[
|u|_{H^s(0,1)} \leq C|u|_{H_0^\mu(0,1)}.
\]

Multiplying $v \in H_0^\alpha(0,1)$ in both sides of the equation (1) and integrating on $(0,1)$ we get, by Lemma 2.3,

\[
(u_t, v) + B_\alpha(u, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall \ v \in H_0^\alpha(0,1),
\]
\[
u(0) = u_0,
\]
where the bilinear form $B_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

\[
B_\alpha(u, v) = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\alpha \pi)} \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} v \right) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{\alpha} v \right) \right).
\]

By Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, it is easy to show that the bilinear form $B_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric, continuous and coercive on $H_0^\alpha(0,1)$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Let $S_h \subset H_0^\alpha(0,1)$, $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$ be the piecewise continuous linear finite element space. The finite element method of (1)-(3) is to find $u_h(t) \in S_h$ such that

\[
(u_{h,t}, \chi) + B_\alpha(u_h, \chi) = (f, \chi), \quad \forall \ \chi \in S_h,
\]
\[
u_h(0) = u_h,
\]
where $\nu_h \in S_h$ is some appropriate approximation of $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$.

3. The backward Euler method

In this section, we will consider the error estimates of the backward Euler method for solving (5)-(6). Let us first consider the error estimates for solving (5)-(6) in the semidiscrete case.

To do this, we need to introduce the regularity assumption for the following fractional elliptic problem, with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, $g \in L^2(0,1)$,

\[
- \frac{\partial^{2\alpha} w(x)}{\partial |x|^{2\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\alpha \pi)} \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{2\alpha} w(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D_{x}^{2\alpha} w(x) \right) = g(x), \ 0 < x < 1,
\]
\[
w(0) = w(1) = 0.
\]

The variational form of (10)-(11) is to find $w \in H_0^\alpha(0,1)$ such that

\[
B_\alpha(w, \varphi) = (g, \varphi), \quad \forall \ \varphi \in H_0^\alpha(0,1).
\]
Assumption 3.1. Let $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. For $w$ solving (12) with $g \in L^2(0,1)$, there exists some $r \in [\alpha, 2\alpha]$, such that
\[ \|w\|_{H^r_0(0,1)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(0,1)}. \]

Remark 3.1. Suppose that the equation (10) only contain the left-sided Riemann-Liouville derivative, Jin et al. [23, Lemma 4.2] and [22, Theorem 4.4] show that $r = 2\alpha - 1 + \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1/2$ for $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$ in the Assumption 3.1. For the equation (12) with the Riesz fractional derivative, we have at least $w \in H^r_0(0,1)$. Further we assume that, by the Assumption 3.1, there exists $r \in [\alpha, 2\alpha]$ such that $w \in H^r(0,1) \cap H^\alpha_0(0,1)$. The similar assumption was also used in [14, Assumption 4.1].

We next introduce the fractional Ritz projection $R_{h,\alpha}$ on $S_h$.

Definition 3.1. Let $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$ and let $v \in H^\alpha_0(0,1)$. We define $R_{h,\alpha}: H^\alpha_0(0,1) \to S_h$ by
\[ R_{h,\alpha}(v, \chi) = B_\alpha(v, \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h, \quad v \in H^\alpha_0(0,1). \] (13)

It is easy to see that $R_{h,\alpha}: H^\alpha_0(0,1) \to S_h$ is well defined since $B_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric, continuous and coercive on $S_h$. Further we have, see [14],

Lemma 3.2. Let $v \in H^r(0,1) \cap H^\alpha_0(0,1), 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1, 1 \leq r \leq 2\alpha$ and let $R_{h,\alpha}: H^\alpha_0(0,1) \to S_h$ be the fractional Ritz projection onto $S_h$ defined as in (13). Then, under Assumption 3.1, there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha)$ such that
\[ \|R_{h,\alpha} v - v\| + Ch^{-\alpha}|R_{h,\alpha} v - v|_{H^\alpha_0(0,1)} \leq Ch^{2(\alpha-r)}\|v\|_{H^r(0,1)}. \] (14)

Theorem 3.3. Let $u_h$ and $u$ be the solutions of (8)-(9) and (5)-(6), respectively. Let $\alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha, 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let $u_0 \in H^r(0,1)$. Then, under the Assumption 3.1, there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha)$ such that
\[ \|u_h(t) - u(t)\| \leq \|v_h - u_0\| + Ch^{2(\alpha-r)}\left(\|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_{H^r(0,1)} ds\right). \] (15)

Proof: We write
\[ u_h(t) - u(t) = \theta(t) + \rho(t), \]
where $\theta(t) = u_h(t) - R_{h,\alpha}u(t)$ and $\rho(t) = R_{h,\alpha}u(t) - u(t)$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have, with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$,
\[ \|\rho(t)\| \leq Ch^{2(\alpha-r)}\|u(t)\|_{H^r(0,1)}. \]

Note that
\[ u(t) = u(0) + \int_0^t u_t(s) ds, \]
we get
\[ \|u(t)\|_{H^r(0,1)} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_{H^r(0,1)} ds. \]

Hence
\[ \|\rho(t)\| \leq Ch^{2(\alpha-r)}\left(\|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_{H^r(0,1)} ds\right). \]

We next consider the estimates for $\theta(t)$. Note that $\theta(t)$ satisfies
\[ (\theta, \chi) + B_\alpha(\theta, \chi) = (u_h, \chi) + B_\alpha(u_h, \chi) - (R_{h,\alpha}u_t, \chi) - B_\alpha(u, \chi) = (f, \chi) - (R_{h,\alpha}u_t, \chi) - B_\alpha(u, \chi) = (u_t - R_{h,\alpha}u_t, \chi) = -\rho_t(t), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h. \]
Choose $\chi = \theta$, we get

$$(\theta_t, \theta) + B_\alpha(\theta, \theta) = -(\rho_t, \theta),$$

which implies, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 + C\|\theta\|_{H^2}^2 \leq -(\rho_t, \theta) \leq \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\|.$$ 

Note that $|\theta|^2_{H^2} > 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 \leq -(\rho_t, \theta) \leq \|\rho_t\| \|\theta\|,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\theta(t)\| \leq \|\rho_t\|.$$ 

Hence,

$$\|\theta(t)\| \leq \|\theta(0)\| + \int_0^t \|\rho_t\| \, ds \leq \|u_h(0) - R_{h,\alpha} u(0)\| + \int_0^t C h^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u_t(s)\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds$$

$$\leq \|u_h(0) - u(0)\| + C h^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u(0)\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^t C h^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u_t(s)\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds.$$ 

Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 3.3.

We now introduce the backward Euler methods for solving (5)-(6). Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_N = T$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ and $k$ be the time step size. Let $U^n \approx u_h(t_n)$ be the approximation of $u_h(t_n)$. The backward Euler method for solving (5)-(6) is to find $U^n \in S_h$, such that

$$\left( \frac{U^{n} - U^{n-1}}{k}, \chi \right) + B_\alpha(U^n, \chi) = (f(t_n), \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$U^0 = v_h,$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

or

$$(U^n, \chi) + k B_\alpha(U^n, \chi) = (U^{n-1} + k f(t_n), \chi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$U^0 = v_h.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $U^n$ and $u(t_n)$ be the solutions of (16)-(17) and (5)-(6), respectively. Let $\alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha, 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^r(0,1)$ and

$$\|v_h - u_0\| \leq C h^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)}.$$ 

We have, under the Assumption 3.1, with $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$,

$$\|U^n - u(t_n)\| \leq C h^{2(r-\alpha)} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^{t_n} \|u_t\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds \right) + k \int_0^{t_n} \|u_{tt}\| \, ds.$$ 

**Proof:** We write

$$U^n - u(t_n) = (U^n - R_{h,\alpha} u(t_n)) + (R_{h,\alpha} u(t_n) - u(t_n)) = \theta^n + \rho^n.$$
Here $\rho^n = \rho(t_n)$ is bounded by

$$
\|\rho^n\| = \|R_{h,\alpha} u(t_n) - u(t_n)\| \leq Ch^{2(r-\alpha)}\|u(t_n)\|_{H^r(0,1)} \leq Ch^{2(r-\alpha)}\|u_0 + \int_0^t u_t(s) \, ds\|_{H^r(0,1)} \\
\leq Ch^{2(r-\alpha)}(\|u_0\|_{H^r(0,1)} + \int_0^t \|u_t\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds).
$$

We next estimate $\theta^n$. Note that $\theta^n$ satisfies, by (16)-(17) and (5)-(6),

$$(\theta^n - \theta^{n-1} , \chi) + B_\alpha (\theta^n , \nabla \chi) = \left(\frac{U^n - U^{n-1}}{k} , \chi \right) - (R_{h,\alpha} \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k} , \chi) + B_\alpha (U^n , \chi) - B_\alpha (R_{h,\alpha} u(t_n), \chi)$$

$$(u_t(t_n) , \chi) - (R_{h,\alpha} \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k} , \chi)$$

$$= (u_t(t_n) - \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k} , \chi) + (u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1}) - R_{h,\alpha} \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k} , \chi)$$

$$= -(w^n , \chi),$$

where $w^n = w^n_1 + w^n_2,$

$$w^n_1 = (R_{h,\alpha} - I) \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k}, \quad w^n_2 = \frac{u(t_n) - u(t_{n-1})}{k} - u_t(t_n).$$

Choose $\chi = \theta^n$ in (20), we have

$$(\theta^n , \theta^n) - (\theta^{n-1} , \theta^n) + kB_\alpha (\theta^n , \theta^n) = -k(w^n , \theta^n).$$

Note that, by the coercivity property, $B_\alpha (\theta^n , \theta^n) \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\theta^n\|^2 - (\theta^{n-1} , \theta^n) \leq k\|w^n\|\|\theta^n\|,$$

or

$$\|\theta^n\|^2 \leq \|\theta^{n-1}\|\|\theta^n\| + k\|w^n\|\|\theta^n\|.$$ Cancelling $\|\theta^n\|$, we get

$$\|\theta^n\| \leq \|\theta^{n-1}\| + k\|w^n\|.$$ By repeated application, we have

$$\|\theta^n\| \leq \|\theta(0)\| + k \sum_{j=1}^n \|w^j\| \leq \|\theta(0)\| + k \sum_{j=1}^n \|w^j_1\| + k \sum_{j=1}^n \|w^j_2\|.$$ We write

$$w^j_1 = (R_{h,\alpha} - I) \frac{u(t_j) - u(t_{j-1})}{k}$$

$$= (R_{h,\alpha} - I) k^{-1} t_{j-1}^{t_j} u_t(s) \, ds = k^{-1} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (R_{h,\alpha} - I) u_t(s) \, ds$$

Hence

$$k \sum_{j=1}^n \|w^j_1\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \|(R_{h,\alpha} - I) u_t(s)\| \, ds$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} Ch^{2(r-\alpha)}\|u_t\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds \leq Ch^{2(r-\alpha)} \int_0^t \|u_t\|_{H^r(0,1)} \, ds.$$
Further
\[ ku_j^2 = u(t_j) - u(t_{j-1}) - ku_t(s) ds. \]
Thus
\[ k \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||u_j^2|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (s - t_{j-1}) u_{tt}(s) ds \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} ||u_{tt}(s)|| ds = k \int_{0}^{t_n} ||u_{tt}(s)|| ds. \]
Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 3.4.

4. The discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method

In Section 3, we obtain the error estimates for solving (5)-(6) by using the finite element method in space and the backward Euler method in time. The error is \( O(h^{2(\alpha - \alpha)} + k) \), \( \alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha, 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1 \) and the bounds contain the terms \( \int_{0}^{t_n} ||u_{t}(s)||_{H^r(0,1)} ds \) and \( \int_{0}^{t_n} ||u_{tt}(s)|| ds \). In this section, we will consider the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for solving (5)-(6). When the approximating functions are piecewise constant in time, we proved that the error is \( O(h^{r-\alpha} + k_n) \) and the bounds contain the terms \( ||u||_{r,J_n} \) and \( ||u_t||_{\alpha,J_n} \), see Theorem 4.1 below. When the approximating functions are piecewise linear in time, we proved that the error is \( O(h^{\alpha - \alpha} + k_n^2) \) and the bounds contain the terms \( ||u||_{r,J_n} \) and \( ||u_{tt}||_{\alpha,J_n} \), see Theorem 4.3 below.

Let \( 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n < \cdots < t_N = T \) be the time partition of \([0,T]\). Let \( k_n = t_n - t_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) be the time step size. Denote \( J_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n) \).

Define
\[ S_{kh} = \left\{ X : [0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, X|_{J_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} X_j t^j, \ X_j \in \mathbb{S}_h \right\}, \]
where \( q \) is a given positive integer and \( X = X(t) \in S_{kh} \) is left continuous at the discretization point \( t_n \), but not necessarily right continuous at \( t_{n-1} \) on each subinterval \( J_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n), n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). Denote \( X^n_+ = X(t_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_n^+} X(t) \) and \( X^{n-1}_+ = X(t_{n-1}^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_{n-1}^+} X(t) \). We then have \( X^n_+ = X(t_n) = X^n \).

Further, let \( S^n_{kh} \) denote the restriction of \( S_{kh} \) on \( J_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n) \).

The discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method of (5)-(6) is to find \( U = U(t) \in S^n_{kh} \) such that, with \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \),
\[ \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[ (U_t, X) + B_0(U, X) \right] dt + (U_n^{n-1}, X_n^{n-1}) = (U_n^{n-1}, X_n^{n-1}) + \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (f, X) dt, \ \forall X \in S^n_{kh}, \tag{21} \]
\[ U(t_{n-1}) = U_{n-1}^{n-1}, \tag{22} \]
or
\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_N} \left[ (U_t, X) + B_0(U, X) \right] dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} X_n^n dX) + (U_0^0, X_0^0) = (U_0^0, X_0^0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_N} (f, X) dt, \ \forall X \in S_{kh}, \tag{23} \]
\[ U(0) = U_0^0 = v_h. \tag{24} \]
Here $[U]_{n} = U^{n}_{+} - U^{n}_{-}$ denotes the jump of $U$ at $t_{n}, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$.

Denote

$$
\bar{B}_{\alpha}(U, X) = \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{N}} \left( (U_{t}, X) + \bar{B}_{\alpha}(U, X) \right) dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\left[ [U]_{n}, X_{n}^{+} \right] + (U_{0}^{n}, X_{0}^{+})).
$$

Then the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method of (5)-(6) is to find $U \in S_{kh}$ such that

$$
\bar{B}_{\alpha}(U, X) = (U_{0}^{n}, X_{0}^{+}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{N}} (f, X) dt, \quad \forall X \in S_{kh}.
$$

(25)

We remark that in the case $q = 1$, (21)-(22) reduces to the following modified backward Euler method

$$
(U^{n}, \chi) + k_{n}B_{\alpha}(U^{n}, \chi) = (U^{n-1}, \chi) + \left( \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} f(t) dt, \chi \right), \quad \forall \chi \in S_{h}.
$$

(26)

Note that the $f^{n} = f(t_{n})$ occurring in the standard backward Euler method (18)-(19) has been replaced by an average of $f$ over $(t_{n-1}, t_{n})$. The standard backward Euler method may thus be interpreted as resulting from (26) after quadrature.

We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that $k_{n+1}/k_{n} \geq c > 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and let $q = 1$. Let $U^{n}$ and $u(t_{n})$ be the solutions of (21)-(22) and (5)-(6), respectively. Let $\alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha, 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then we have, under the Assumption 3.1, with $v_{h} = P_{h}u_{0}, u_{0} \in L^{2}(0, 1),

$$
\| U^{n} - u(t_{N}) \| \leq CL_{N} \max_{n \leq N} (h^{\alpha-r} \| u \|_{r, J_{n}} + k_{n}\| u_{t} \|_{\alpha, J_{n}}),
$$

(27)

where $L_{N} = 1 + (\log \frac{t_{N}}{t_{0}})^{1/2}$ and $\| \varphi \|_{s, J_{n}} = \sup_{t \in J_{n}} \| \varphi(t) \|_{H^{s}(0, 1)}, s = \alpha, r$.

Denote $A_{\alpha} : D(A_{\alpha}) \rightarrow L^{2}(0, 1)$ by

$$
B_{\alpha}(\varphi, \psi) = (A_{\alpha}\varphi, \psi), \quad \forall \varphi \in D(A_{\alpha}), \psi \in H_{0}^{1}(0, 1).
$$

We may consider the following backward homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z_{t} + A_{\alpha}z &= 0, & \text{for } t < t_{N}, \\
z(t_{N}) &= \varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

(28)

(29)

We next introduce the discrete fractional elliptic operator $A_{h, \alpha} : S_{h} \rightarrow S_{h}$ by, with $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1,$

$$
(A_{h, \alpha}\psi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\cos(\pi\alpha)} \left[ \left( \frac{R_{0}D_{x}^{\alpha}_{\psi}, R_{0}D_{x}^{\alpha}_{\chi}}{R_{0}D_{x}^{\alpha}_{\psi}, R_{0}D_{x}^{\alpha}_{\chi}} \right) \right] \quad \forall \psi, \chi \in S_{h}.
$$

(30)

The semidiscrete problem of (28)-(29) is then to find $z_{h} \in S_{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z_{h,t} + A_{h, \alpha}z_{h} &= 0, & \text{for } t < t_{N}, \\
z_{h}(t_{N}) &= P_{h}\varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

(31)

(32)

The discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method for (31)-(32) is to find $Z_{h} \in S_{kh}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \left[ (X_{h,-}, Z_{h,t}) + B_{\alpha}(X_{h}, A_{h,\alpha}Z_{h}) \right] dt &= (X_{h}(t_{n}-), Z_{h}(t_{n}-)) \\
&= (X_{h}(t_{n}-), Z_{h}(t_{n}+)), \quad \forall X_{h} \in S_{kh}^{n}, \\
Z_{h}(t_{N}+) &= Z_{h}(t_{N}) = P_{h}\varphi.
\end{aligned}
$$

(33)

(34)
Here we use the fact that \( B_\alpha(X_h, Z_h) = (A_{h,\alpha}X_h, Z_h) = (X_h, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \).

We remark that (33)-(34) are obtained by transforming (31)-(32) into the forward homogeneous problem and then apply the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method (21)-(22) to this forward homogeneous problem. In fact, let \( t = T - s \), we assume
\[
z_h(t) = z_h(T - s) = \bar{z}_h(s),
\]
which implies that
\[
z_{h,t} = -z_{h,s}, \quad z_h(t_N) = \bar{z}_h(0).
\]
Here (31)-(32) is equivalent to the following forward homogeneous problem
\[
\bar{z}_{h,t} + A_{h,\alpha}\bar{z}_h = 0, \quad \text{for } t \leq t_N, \\
\bar{z}_h(0) = P_h\phi.
\]

The discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method of (35)-(36) is to find \( \bar{z}_h \in S_{kh}^n \) such that
\[
\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[ (\bar{Z}_{h,s}, \bar{X}_h) + (A_{h,\alpha}\bar{Z}_h, \bar{X}_h) \right] ds + \left( \bar{Z}_h((t_N - t_n) +), \bar{X}_h((t_N - t_n) +) \right) \]
\[
= \left( \bar{Z}_h((t_N - t_n) -), \bar{X}_h((t_N - t_n) -) \right), \quad \forall \bar{X}_h \in S_{kh}^n,
\]
which implies that, with \( s = t_N - t \), \( \bar{Z}_h(s) = Z_h(t) \), \( \bar{Z}_{h,s}(s) = -Z_{h,t}(t) \),
\[
\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[ (X_h, -Z_{h,t}) + (X_h, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \right] dt + \left( X_h(t_N - (t_N - t_n) +), Z_h(t_N - (t_N - t_n) +) \right) \]
\[
= (X_h(t_N - (t_N - t_n) -), Z_h(t_N - (t_N - t_n) -), \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}^n,
\]
or
\[
\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[ (X_h, -Z_{h,t}) + (X_h, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \right] dt + \left( X_h(t_n -), Z_h(t_n -) \right) \]
\[
= (X_h(t_n -), Z_h(t_n +)), \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}^n,
\]
which is (33)-(34).

By summation with \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), we get
\[
\int_t^{t_N} \left[ (X_h, -Z_{h,t}) + (X_h, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \right] dt - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( X_h(t_n -), [Z_h]_n \right) + \left( X_h(t_N -), Z_h(t_N -) \right) \]
\[
= (X_h(t_N -), Z_h(t_N +)) = (X_h(t_N -), P_h\phi), \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}.
\]

It is easy to show that, by integration by parts with respect to \( t \),
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(X_h, Z_h) = \int_t^{t_N} \left[ (X_h, -Z_{h,t}) + (X_h, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \right] dt \\
- \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( X_h(t_n -), [Z_h]_n \right) + \left( X_h(t_N -), Z_h(t_N -) \right).
\]

Hence we see that the solution \( Z_h \in S_{kh} \) of (33)-(34) satisfies
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(X_h, Z_h) = (X_h(t_N -), P_h\phi) = (X_h(t_N -), \phi), \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}.
\]

(37)
Lemma 4.2. Assume that $k_{n+1}/k_n \geq c > 0$, $n \geq 1$. Then we have for the solution of (37),
\[
\int_0^{t_N} \left( \|Z_{h,t}\| + \|A_{h,\alpha}Z_h\| \right) dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|Z_h[n]\| \leq CL_N \|\varphi\|,
\]
where $L_N = 1 + \left( \log \frac{t_N}{k_n} \right)^{1/2}$.

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of [39, Lemma 12.3]. We omit the proof here.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Let $\tilde{u}$ denote the piecewise constant function (with respect to $t$) defined by
\[
\tilde{u}(t) = u(t_n), \quad \text{for } t \in (t_{n-1}, t_n],
\]
we write
\[
e = U - u = (U - R_{h,\alpha} \tilde{u}) + (R_{h,\alpha} \tilde{u} - u) = \theta + \rho,
\]
where $R_{h,\alpha}$ is defined by (13).

For $\rho$, we have, noting that $\tilde{u}(t_N) = u(t_N)$,
\[
\|\rho\| = \|R_{h,\alpha} \tilde{u}(t_N) - u(t_N)\| = \|R_{h,\alpha} u(t_N) - u(t_N)\| \leq Ch^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u\|_{H^r(0,1)}.
\]

For $\theta$, we have, with $\varphi \in L^2(0,1)$, by (37),
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(\theta, Z_h) = (\theta^N, \varphi).
\]

Thus
\[
(\theta^N, \varphi) = B_\alpha(\theta, Z_h) = B_\alpha(e - \rho, Z_h) = B_\alpha(e, Z_h) - B_\alpha(\rho, Z_h).
\]

Note that
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(e, X_h) = \bar{B}_\alpha(U - u, X_h) = 0, \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}.
\]

In fact, we have, by (25),
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(U, X_h) = (U_0, X_h(0+)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_N} (f, X_h) dt, \quad \forall X_h \in S_{kh}.
\]

Further
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(u, X_h) = \int_{t_0}^{t_N} \left[ (u_t, X_h) + (A_{\alpha}u, X_h) \right] dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left( [u]_{n}, X_h(t_n+) \right) + (u_0^0, X_h(0+))
\]
\[
= \int_{t_0}^{t_N} (f, X_h) dt + (u_0^0, X_h(0+)).
\]

Thus
\[
\bar{B}_\alpha(e, X_h) = (U_0^0 - u_0^0, X_h(0+)) = (P_h u_0 - u_0, X_h(t_0+)) = 0.
\]

Therefore
\[
(\theta^N, \varphi) = -B_\alpha(\rho, Z_h) = - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \left[ (\rho, -Z_{h,t}) + B_\alpha(\rho, Z_h) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\rho^0, [Z_h]_n) - (\rho^N, P_h \varphi).
\]

(38)
Note that
\[ B_\alpha(\rho, Z_h) = B_\alpha(R_{h,\alpha}\rho, Z_h) = (R_{h,\alpha}\rho, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h), \]
and \( \rho^n = 0, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \) we have
\[
(\theta^N, \varphi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (R_{h,\alpha}\rho, A_{h,\alpha}Z_h) \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\rho^n, [Z_h]_n) - (\rho^N, P_h \varphi) 
\leq \max_{n \leq N} \left( \|\rho\|_{J_n} + \|R_{h,\alpha}\rho\|_{J_n} \right) \left[ \int_0^{t_N} \|A_{h,\alpha}Z_h\| \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \|\alpha,J_n\| + \|\varphi\| \right].
\]
By (14) with \( r = \alpha, \) we have
\[
\|R_{h,\alpha}\rho\|_{J_n} \leq \|R_{h,\alpha}\rho - \rho\|_{J_n} + \|\rho\|_{J_n} \leq Ch^\theta \|\rho\|_{\alpha, J_n} + \|\rho\|_{J_n} \leq C \|\rho\|_{\alpha, J_n}.
\]
(39)
We therefore have
\[ \|\theta^N\| \leq CL_N \max_{n \leq N} \|\rho\|_{\alpha, J_n}. \]
Note that,
\[ \|\rho\|_{\alpha, J_n} = \|R_{h,\alpha} \tilde{u} - u\|_{\alpha, J_n} \leq \|(R_{h,\alpha} - I) \tilde{u}\|_{\alpha, J_n} + \|\tilde{u} - u\|_{\alpha, J_n} \]
\[ = \|(R_{h,\alpha} - I) u(t_n)\|_{\alpha, J_n} + \|\tilde{u} - u\|_{\alpha, J_n} \leq Ch^{\gamma - \alpha} \|u(t_n)\|_{H^{\gamma}(0,1)} + Ck_n \|u\|_{\alpha, J_n} \]
\[ \leq Ch^{r - \alpha} \|u\|_{\gamma, J_n} + Ck_n \|u\|_{\alpha, J_n}. \]
Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

\[ \blacksquare \]

Remark 4.1. Note that, by (14), the fractional Ritz projection \( R_{h,\alpha} \) is not bounded in \( L^2(0,1) \). Therefore we can not bound \( \|R_{h,\alpha}\rho\| \) by using \( \|\rho\| \) in (39) as in the proof of (12.50) in [39, pp. 199]. Therefore we can only prove the convergence order \( O(h^{r-n}) \) for the discontinuous Galerkin time stepping method with \( q = 1 \) for space fractional partial differential equation.

Theorem 4.3. Let \( q = 2 \), and assume that \( k_{n+1}/k_n \geq c > 0 \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Let \( U^n \) and \( u(t_n) \) be the solutions of (21)-(22) and (5)-(6), respectively. Let \( \alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha, 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1 \). Then we have, under the Assumption 3.1, with \( v_h = P_h u_0, u_0 \in L^2(0,1), \)
\[ \|U^n - u(t_n)\| \leq CL_N \max_{n \leq N} \left( h^{2(r-\alpha)} \|u\|_{r, J_n} + k_n^3 \|u\|_{\alpha, J_n} \right), \]
where \( L_N = 1 + \left( \log \frac{k_n}{k_N} \right)^{1/2} \) and \( \|\varphi\|_{s,J_n} = \sup_{t \in J_n} \|\varphi(t)\|_{H^s(0,1)}, s = \alpha, r \).

Proof: With \( J_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n), n \geq 1 \) and let \( \tilde{u} \in S_k \) denote the piecewise linear interpolant defined by
\[ \tilde{u}(t_n) = u(t_n), n \geq 0, \]
\[ \int_{J_n} (\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)) \, dt = 0, n \geq 1, \]
i.e., \( \tilde{u} \) interpolates at the nodal points, and the interpolation error is orthogonal to any constant on \( J_n \). This interpolant is uniquely defined and the error estimates read as follows, See [39, (12.10), pp. 186]
\[ |\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)|_{H^s(0,1)} \leq Ck_n^3 \int_{J_n} |u_{t}(s)|_{H^s(0,1)}, \text{ for } t \in J_n, j = 0,1. \]
This time we find instead of (38)

\[
(\theta^N, \varphi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} \left( - (\rho, Z_{h,t}) + B_{\alpha}(\rho, Z_h) \right) dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\rho^n, [Z_h]_n) - (\rho^N, P_{h,\varphi}).
\]

Here we have, using the definition of \(\tilde{u}\),

\[
\int_{J_n} (\rho, Z_{h,t}) dt = \int_{J_n} (R_{h,\alpha} \tilde{u} - u, Z_{h,t}) dt = \int_{J_n} (R_{h,\alpha} u - u, Z_{h,t}) dt,
\]

and, by Lemma 4.2,

\[
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} (R_{h,\alpha} u - u, Z_{h,t}) dt \right| \leq \max_{n \leq N} \| R_{h,\alpha} u - u \| \int_{J_n} \| Z_{h,t} \| dt \leq C L_N h^{2(r-\alpha)} \max_{n \leq N} \| u \|_{r,J_n} \| \varphi \|,
\]

and similarly

\[
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (\rho^n, [Z_h]_n) \right| \leq \max_{n \leq N} \| R_{h,\alpha} u - u \| (t_n) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \| [Z_h]_n \| + \| P_{h,\varphi} \| \right) \leq C L_N h^{2(r-\alpha)} \max_{n \leq N} \| u \|_{r,J_n} \| \varphi \|.
\]

Finally, by the definition of \(R_{h,\alpha}\),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} B_{\alpha}(\rho, Z_h) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} B_{\alpha}(\tilde{u} - u, Z_h) dt = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} (A_{\alpha}(\tilde{u} - u), Z_h) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N} K_n.
\]

By the Assumption 3.1 and the definition of the interpolant \(\tilde{u}\), we have

\[
|K_n| \leq k_n \| \tilde{u} - u \|_{r,J_n} \int_{J_n} \| Z_{h,t} \| dt.
\]

Thus we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} |K_n| \leq \max_{n \leq N} (k_n \| \tilde{u} - u \|_{r,J_n}) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{J_n} \| Z_{h,t} \| dt \leq C L_N \max_{n \leq N} \left( k_n^3 \| u_{tt} \|_{r,J_n} \right) \| \varphi \|.
\]

Hence we get the following estimates

\[
| (\theta^N, \varphi) | \leq C L_N \max_{n \leq N} \left( k_n^3 \| u_{tt} \|_{r,J_n} + h^{2(r-\alpha)} \right) \| \varphi \|.
\]

Together these estimates complete the proof of Theorem 4.3.
5. Numerical simulations

In this section, we will consider two numerical examples.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the following linear space fractional partial differential equation, with \(1/2 < \alpha \leq 1\),

\[
\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^{2\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial |x|^{2\alpha}} = f(t,x), \quad 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < 1,
\]

\[
u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \tag{42}
\]

\[
u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \tag{43}
\]

where \(u_0(x) = 0\) and \(f(t,x) = 2tx^2(1-x)^2 - t^2(2-12x + 12x^2)\). When \(\alpha = 1\), the exact solution is \(u(t,x) = t^2x^2(1-x)^2\).

In the numerical simulation, we use the piecewise constant approximation in time and the linear finite element approximation in space. We consider the experimentally determined orders of convergence (“EOC”) for the different \(\alpha\) at \(t_n = 1\). We choose \(k = 0.001\) and the different space step size \(h_i = 1/2^i, i = 1,2,3,4,5\). Let \(e_n^{(i)} = \|u(t_n) - U^n\|_{L^2(0,1)}\) denote the \(L^2\) norm at \(t_n = 1\) obtained by using the different space step sizes \(h_i = 1/2^i, i = 1,2,3,4\). Since the exact solution is not available, we will calculate the reference solution (or ‘true’ solution) \(u(t_n)\) by using the very small time step size \(k = 0.0001\) and space step size \(h = 2^{-10}\).

By Theorems 4.1, we have, with some \(\alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha\) and \(1/2 < \alpha < 1\),

\[
e_n^{(i)} \leq Ch_i^{r-\alpha}, \tag{44}
\]

which implies that the convergence order \(r - \alpha\) satisfies

\[
\log_2 \left(\frac{e_n^{(i)}}{e_n^{(i+1)}}\right) \approx \log_2 \left(\frac{h_i}{h_{i+1}}\right)^{r-\alpha} = r - \alpha.
\]

In Table 1, we observe that the experimentally determined orders of convergence (“EOC”) are 2\(\alpha\) which is much better than the theoretical convergence order in Theorem 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>(h)</th>
<th>EOC ((\alpha = 0.6))</th>
<th>EOC ((\alpha = 0.7))</th>
<th>EOC ((\alpha = 0.8))</th>
<th>EOC ((\alpha = 0.9))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>2.0132</td>
<td>2.4920</td>
<td>2.4232</td>
<td>2.3176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1.3547</td>
<td>1.6634</td>
<td>2.0163</td>
<td>2.1684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1.3493</td>
<td>1.3635</td>
<td>1.5023</td>
<td>1.5863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The experimentally determined orders of convergence (“EOC”) for the different \(\alpha\) at \(t_n = 1\) in Example 1

**Example 5.2.** Consider the following linear space fractional partial differential equation, with \(1/2 < \alpha \leq 1\),

\[
\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^{2\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial |x|^{2\alpha}} = f(t,x), \quad 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < 1,
\]

\[
u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \tag{46}
\]

\[
u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \tag{47}
\]

where \(u_0(x) = x(1-x)\) and \(f(t,x) = 0\).

In Table 2, we observe that the experimentally determined orders of convergence (“EOC”) are also better than our theoretical convergence order \(O(h^{r-\alpha}), \alpha \leq r \leq 2\alpha\) in Theorem 4.1. We will investigate this issue in our future work.
Table 2: The experimentally determined orders of convergence (“EOC”) for the different $\alpha$ at $t_n=1$ in Example 5.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$h$</th>
<th>EOC ($\alpha = 0.6$)</th>
<th>EOC($\alpha = 0.7$)</th>
<th>EOC($\alpha = 0.8$)</th>
<th>EOC($\alpha = 0.9$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1.4233</td>
<td>1.5410</td>
<td>1.5249</td>
<td>1.4240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1.0621</td>
<td>1.1559</td>
<td>1.4353</td>
<td>1.6324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1.0171</td>
<td>1.1045</td>
<td>1.2011</td>
<td>1.5345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1.0001</td>
<td>1.0450</td>
<td>1.3001</td>
<td>1.9450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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