

Season-long increases in perceived muscle soreness in professional rugby league players: role of player position, match characteristics and playing surface

Ben D. Fletcher¹, Craig Twist², Haigh, J¹, Clive Brewer¹, James P. Morton¹ and Graeme L. Close¹

¹Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences
Liverpool John Moores University
Tom Reilly Building
Liverpool
L3 3AF
UK

²Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences
University of Chester
Parkgate Road
Chester
CH1 4BJ
UK

Address for Correspondence:

Dr Graeme L. Close
Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences,
Tom Reilly Building
Byrom St Campus
Liverpool John Moores University,
Liverpool,
UK
L3 3AF
0151 904 6266
g.l.close@ljmu.ac.uk

2

1Abstract

2Rugby League (RL) is a high-impact collision sport characterised by repeated sprints and numerous high-
3speed impacts and consequently players often report immediate and prolonged muscle soreness in the days
4after a match. We examined muscle soreness after matches during a full season to understand the extent to
5which match characteristics influence soreness. Thirty-one elite Super League players provided daily
6measures of muscle soreness after each of the 26 competitive fixtures of the 2012 season. Playing position,
7phase of the season, playing surface and match characteristics were recorded from each match. Muscle
8soreness peaked at day 1 and was still apparent at day 4 post-game with no attenuation in the magnitude of
9muscle soreness over the course of the season. Neither playing position, phase of season or playing surface
10had any effects on the extent of muscle soreness. Playing time and total number of collisions were
11significantly correlated with higher ratings of muscle soreness, especially in the forwards. These data
12indicate the absence of a repeated bout effect or ‘contact adaptations’ in elite rugby players with soreness
13present throughout the entire season. Strategies must now be implemented to deal with the physical and
14psychological consequences of prolonged feeling of pain.

15Keywords: DOMS, Pain, Performance, Rugby

16

3

17

Introduction

18Rugby League (RL) is an intermittent collision sport characterised by repeated bouts of high intensity
19activity (e.g. running and passing, sprinting, tackling) separated by bouts of low intensity activity (e.g.
20standing, walking, jogging) played over two forty minute halves. Teams comprise thirteen players who,
21depending on playing position and duration of game-time, cover distances in the range of 3,000-8,000 m
22during a match . RL players can be categorised into three groups based on commonalties in their playing
23role, these being: outside backs (full-back, wingers, centres), adjustables (stand-off, scrum-half, hooker loose
24forward), and hit-up forwards (props, second rows). Despite longer playing times for outside backs (~80
25min) and adjustables (~65 min) compared to hit-up forwards (~44 min) , total distance covered relative to
26match time (m min^{-1}) is similar between positions (~90-95 m min^{-1}) . However, forwards (~1.0 min^{-1}) are
27involved in a higher frequency of physical collisions (tackle or being tackled) with opponents compared to
28outside backs (~0.3 min^{-1}) and adjustables (~0.6 min^{-1}) . Given the physicality of rugby match play and
29training, muscle soreness and/or damage is an inevitable outcome .

30Delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) is an indirect marker of muscle tissue damage and presents as
31tender or aching muscles, usually felt during palpation or movement . DOMS is associated with
32unaccustomed muscular work, particularly when the exercise involves a high number of eccentric muscle
33contractions . Indeed, multiple accelerations and decelerations occur frequently during RL matches and
34training , movements that are known to cause structural damage to skeletal muscle tissue and its associated
35symptoms . Blunt force trauma from collisions is also a cause of tissue damage in rugby players that
36presents a strong association with DOMS in the days after matches . Prolonged increases in muscle soreness
37have implications for the quality of exercise performed by the player. For example, the strong influence of
38increases in muscle soreness on lowering exercise tolerance and inhibiting voluntary activation of muscle
39during force-related tasks can negatively influence the quality of strength and conditioning practices
40performed between games. Moreover, if players exhibit muscle soreness leading into the next match they are
41likely to underperform . The psychological consequences of prolonged feelings of pain might also have
42significant consequences given recent reports that some players can even become addicted to prescription
43pain killers .

4

44 Many factors could potentially affect the magnitude of muscle soreness after RL games, including the
45 number of high-speed collisions the playing position of the athlete and the playing surface . Understanding
46 the effects of such factors on muscle soreness would enable coaches to make advanced modifications to
47 training content in the days after matches to ensure players are appropriately managed.

48 Despite studies reporting symptoms of muscle soreness after RL matches, these have tended to focus on the
49 response to a single match or training session . What is less clear is the muscle soreness response of elite
50 players over the course of an entire playing season. Such studies are important given the potential reduction
51 of muscle damage symptoms from repeated exposure to eccentric exercise via the ‘repeated bout effect’ .
52 Similarly, tissue damage from collisions might subside as the season progresses because the player’s body
53 adapts to deal with blunt force trauma, known as ‘contact adaptation’ . Therefore practices to manage muscle
54 soreness might differ depending on the phase of the competitive season.

55 To date there have been no studies that have attempted to quantify muscle soreness over the course of a full
56 Super League season or attempted to identify factors that may contribute to the magnitude of the observed
57 soreness. It is important to understand the temporal sequence of muscle soreness after competitive rugby
58 league matches to allow periodized training plans to be developed. Therefore, the aims of this study were
59 twofold: 1) to assess lower and upper body muscle soreness in a large cohort of elite Super League during
60 the course of a Super League season; 2) to investigate the extent to which certain match characteristics
61 influence lower and upper body muscle soreness in elite rugby league players.

5

62 **Methods**

63 *Participants and study design*

64 Thirty-one professional rugby league players (mean \pm s age 24.3 ± 3.7 years; height 179.4 ± 15.3 cm; body
65 mass 98.8 ± 18.7 kg) who were part of the first team squad at a Super League Rugby club were recruited for
66 this study. Based on previous studies, and in accordance with normal coaching practice, players were
67 subcategorized into three positional groups of outside backs, adjustables, and hit-up forwards (referred to as
68 forwards hereafter) . Where a player played in multiple groups throughout the season, the predominant
69 position was selected for analysis. Data from 221 individual match performances were recorded, comprising
70 69, 36 and 116 performances for outside backs, adjustables and forwards, respectively. Each player was
71 regarded free from illness and any known injuries due to the fact they were fit to play, however injury might
72 have occurred during the match although this was not recorded and players were not excluded from post
73 game data collection if injury did occur (except in the case of a major injury that resulted in the player taking
74 time away from the club for surgery and/or rehabilitation). The win percentage for the season was 23% with
75 the points *for* and *against* being 20 ± 13 and 40 ± 18 , respectively. Coaches and players provided written and
76 informed consent before commencing the study, with ethics approval granted by the Liverpool John Moores
77 University Ethics Committee.

78

79 Data were collected from all 26 Super League fixtures during the 2012 season, comprising 101 and 120
80 individual player home and away performances, respectively. All home games were played on an artificial
81 turf surface and away games on natural grass. Accordingly, analyses of home compared to away data
82 enabled comparison of muscle soreness responses after matches on artificial versus natural turf. In
83 accordance with previous studies in rugby league , muscle soreness data across three different time phases of
84 the season were also considered; namely, early phase (4.0 ± 2.2 responses per player; 8 matches), mid-phase
85 (4.4 ± 2.2 responses per player; 9 matches), and late phase (4.1 ± 2.3 responses per player; 9 matches).
86 Players recorded lower and upper body muscle soreness values on match day and then at 1 (D1), 2 (D2) 3
87 (D3) and four (D4) days after. Total playing time and the number of offensive and defensive collisions for
88 each player were also recorded from each match.

6

89

90

91 *Assessment of lower and upper body muscle soreness*

92 Players individually provided ratings of muscle soreness of the upper and lower body using an online player
93 Performance Management System (Rugby Squad, The Sports Office, UK). Based on the method reported by
94 McLean et al. , each player rated upper and lower body muscle soreness daily with a number from 1 (severe
95 pain) to 5 (no pain). Players were provided with thorough instructions on how to complete the test and used
96 this scale routinely for approximately five months before the start of the study. This method has been used
97 previously in studies examining perceptual ratings of muscle soreness in elite rugby league players .

98 **Defensive and offensive collisions**

99 The number of tackles made and the number of offensive collisions during a game was used as a marker of
100 physical workload . During the game, each player's individual numbers of tackles and ball carries was
101 recorded and made available to the team using 'Opta stats' software. A tackle was only recorded if the player
102 has a major contribution to the execution of the tackle and therefore gives a good indication of physical
103 impact. Tackles did not include missed tackles, which were discarded from the analysis given that the data
104 from Opta cannot distinguish which missed tackles resulted in a collision or not. The number of carries only
105 included carries that resulted in a collision from an opposing player through either the ball carrier being
106 tackled by a defending player or the ball carrier going into a tackle and offloading the ball in the process of
107 being tackled. The total number of collisions was calculated by summing the number of ball carries and
108 number of tackles (although it should be stressed that some additional collisions resulting from missed
109 tackles could have been disregarded using this method).

110

111 **Statistics**

112 Diagnostic tests (Shapiro-Wilk) were performed on the distributions of all the dependent variables and
113 indicated that data did not meet the condition of normality. Where appropriate, changes in muscle soreness in

7

114the days after a match were analysed using separate Friedman analysis of variance hypothesis tests. Separate
115Friedman analysis of variance hypothesis tests were also employed to compare players' muscle soreness
116responses between early-, mid- and late-phases of the season. If required, *post-hoc* Wilcoxon paired ranks
117test were used to detect differences between the specific phases. Mann-Whitney tests were used to assess
118differences in lower and upper body soreness between artificial and natural turf. Kruskal-Wallis hypothesis
119tests were used to compare muscle soreness responses between positional groups and to compare match
120characteristics between positional groups. Where appropriate, *post-hoc* Mann-Whitney tests were used to
121locate differences between specific groups. In all multiple comparisons Bonferroni adjustments were applied
122to the alpha values to reduce the risk of a type I error. Descriptive statistics (median and inter-quartile range)
123were calculated for all variables. Relationships between muscle soreness and match characteristics were
124analysed using Spearman's Rank correlation. All statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical
125Package for Social Sciences (SPSS v 22.0, Surrey, UK). Statistical significance was set as $P < 0.05$.

126Results

127Effect of playing position on post-match muscle soreness response

128Lower and upper body soreness were greater than match day values for all positional groups at all time
129points ($P < 0.001$). However, only match day values for muscle soreness were different between groups ($P <$
1300.001), with adjustables reporting less lower and upper body soreness than backs and forwards (all $P <$
1310.001). Lower and upper body muscle soreness responses after matches for all positional groups can be seen
132in Table I.

133

134***** *Insert Table I here* *****

135

136Effect of playing phase on post-match muscle soreness response

8

137 Irrespective of the playing phase, lower ($P < 0.001$) and upper body muscle soreness ($P < 0.001$) were higher at
138 all measurement points after a match. Only lower ($P = 0.042$) and upper ($P = 0.009$) body muscle soreness
139 recorded on match day was different between the playing phases. *Post-hoc* analyses revealed that early and
140 late playing phases were different for lower body soreness ($P = 0.016$), whereas mid ($P = 0.014$) and late (P
141 $= 0.007$) were both different to early playing phase upper body soreness. Lower and upper body muscle
142 soreness responses during the early, mid and late playing phase are shown in Table II.

143 ***** *Insert Table II here* *****

144

145 **Playing surface**

146 On both surface types lower ($P < 0.001$) and upper body muscle soreness ($P < 0.001$) were increased at all time
147 points after a match. However, lower (all $P > 0.05$) and upper body (all $P > 0.05$) muscle soreness responses
148 were not different between artificial or natural turf surfaces (Table III).

149 ***** *Insert Table III here* *****

150

151 **Match characteristics**

152 There were differences in playing time between positions ($P < 0.001$), with *post hoc* analysis revealing the
153 shortest playing times for forwards followed by adjustables and then backs (all $P < 0.001$). The number of
154 defensive collisions was different between positions ($P < 0.001$), with forwards doing more than backs and
155 adjustables (both $P < 0.001$), and adjustables more than backs ($P < 0.001$). Offensive collisions were also
156 different between positions ($P < 0.001$), with adjustables completing less than backs and forwards (both
157 $P < 0.001$). The total collisions ($P < 0.001$) and collisions per minute ($P < 0.001$) were different, with forwards
158 performing more of each compared to both backs and adjustables (all $P < 0.001$). All data are shown in Table
159 IV.

160

9

161

162

163 Relationships between match characteristics and muscle soreness

164 There were relationships ($P < 0.05$) between match characteristics and measures of lower and upper body
165 soreness at D1-D4 when players were analyzed collectively and by position. Data are shown in Table V.

166

167 Discussion

168 For the first time we provide the most comprehensive data on the temporal pattern of upper and lower body
169 soreness after a match in elite rugby league players, with data sampled from all matches during a Super
170 League season. This study also provides data that explores the muscle soreness responses between rugby
171 league matches played on artificial versus real turf. Several relationships between match characteristics and
172 muscle soreness responses are also examined for individual player groups. Importantly, matches resulted in
173 muscle soreness and players remained sore for four days after matches across the entire playing season.
174 These data suggest that there is no repeated bout effect in elite rugby players and/or players do not adapt to
175 blunt force traumas. Moreover, strategies should be implemented to help players overcome muscle soreness
176 as well as deal with the consequences of prolonged periods of pain.

177 The immediate increases in perceived lower and upper body muscle soreness the day after a match followed
178 by a steady return to match day values over the next three days is consistent with previous studies in rugby .
179 That the values had not returned to match day values by day four also reaffirms that muscle soreness
180 responses after matches are prolonged and typically outlast other symptoms of tissue damage . This finding
181 is especially pertinent given that the intensity of training at the club typically tapered towards game day with
182 a complete rest day usually being observed 2 days prior to the game and a very light skills based training
183 session performed the day prior to a game. Whilst we postulate the primary causes of muscle soreness to be
184 match-related activity, it is difficult to rule out additional soreness caused by the training content in the days
185 between games, especially given that resistance training is a key component of the training regimen. This is

10

186supported by the low, albeit significant correlations observed between selected match characteristics and
187muscle soreness measures (Table III).

188Adjustables reported less muscle soreness on match days than backs and forwards. This is likely a reflection
189of better perceived recovery by some players and that some positions in this group would have been exposed
190to less damaging exercise in the days leading up to the match. For example, halfbacks have ball playing and
191organisational responsibilities to co-ordinate and move a team around the field. As such, adjustables are
192typically protected from a high number of collisions in training and matches to minimise fatigue. There was,
193however, no difference in lower and upper body soreness responses between outside backs, adjustables and
194forwards in the days after a game. While this finding might seem unusual given that certain positions (i.e.
195forwards) were involved in more physical collisions that cause greater muscle soreness , these data are
196consistent with the only other study to examine muscle soreness in Super League players . Indeed, a greater
197number of lower and upper body collisions were observed for forwards compared to other positional groups
198and probably explains the stronger associations reported between match characteristics and muscle soreness.
199This means that other mechanisms were principally responsible for the increased muscle soreness of outside
200backs and adjustables, such as greater running demands and longer game time. Different positional demands
201imposed on rugby players during a match are likely to explain the variance in fatigue response between
202positions . Given that there could be differing mechanisms responsible for the pain in the backs and
203forwards, these data suggest that different treatment strategies might be useful. However, since the majority
204of muscle soreness research has used eccentric contractions to induce damage rather than blunt force trauma,
205strategies to deal with this in the literature are scarce and future research might wish to consider how to
206address this gap in the literature.

207

208A key finding of this study was that lower and upper body soreness was not different between the early, mid
209and late phases of the season in the days after matches. Players also reported greater lower and upper body
210soreness on match day as the season progressed. Collectively these findings suggest professional rugby
211league players remained in a constant state of post match pain throughout the playing season and that they do
212not adapt to tolerate the effects of match demands as the season progresses. The repeated bout effect

11

213proposes that after an initial bout of muscle-damaging exercise, adaptation occurs to the muscle, whereby if
214the same bout of damaging exercise was repeated it would result in the symptoms of exercise-induced
215muscle damage being attenuated . While the blunt force trauma is likely to be a cause of soreness
216experienced by rugby players, this study supports the notion that the repeated bout effect does not occur in
217well-trained team sport players . We also contest the idea that players of collision sports develop a ‘contact
218adaptation’ .

219Half of the games played by players in this study were on artificial turf while the remaining fixtures were
220played on normal grass. Artificial turf might potentially cause increased muscle soreness because of
221differences in shoe surface interaction and player movements when compared to normal grass. However,
222we report no difference in the soreness response reported between surface types. Notwithstanding the
223differences in movement and match characteristics between rugby codes, our findings are in contrast to those
224reported for rugby union where consistently small increases in muscle soreness were reported for four days
225after matches on artificial turf. Such findings might be expected given that Williams and colleagues only
226surveyed opposition players, meaning that higher soreness was reported in players unaccustomed to artificial
227pitches. The rugby league team in our study also lost 8/13 games played on artificial turf compared to the
2281/12 games lost by the rugby union team. That successful teams perform more total distance, accelerations
229and high speed running , it is plausible that a greater external load in the successful rugby union team caused
230more tissue damage resulting in greater muscle soreness after matches. Alternatively, our study simply
231provides evidence to refute claims made by team sport athletes who perceive greater soreness and longer
232recovery times after playing on artificial turf .

233When positions were considered collectively, greater match time and total collisions were associated with the
234higher ratings of upper and lower body soreness reported after matches. The strong associations between
235game time and upper and lower body soreness have not been reported previously, but are likely to indicate an
236increased exposure to damaging exercise leading to more soreness. The association between total collisions
237and muscle soreness are, however, consistent with data previously reported in elite Super League players
238(Twist et al., 2012). The strongest correlations between the reported game characteristics and muscle
239soreness were reported for forwards, who had the highest number of collisions but shortest match time. As

12

240with the previous study (Twist et al., 2012), backs showed poor correlations between match characteristics
241and upper and lower body soreness despite reporting similar ratings of perceived soreness to other positional
242groups. This indicates that other mechanisms than those reported here contributed to perceived soreness in
243backs. More high intensity running and sprints that are also of longer duration and with increased
244decelerations might well explain the perceived soreness responses in backs.

245

246The extensive reporting of muscle soreness for the entirety of the playing season in elite rugby league players
247presents challenges to coaches in terms of recovery practices, as well as implications for managing the long-
248term health and well being of players. More importantly, we demonstrate the willingness of players to accept
249pain over such extended periods of time and continue to play. These data support previous observations that
250have reported the normalization of pain as ‘part of the game’ in rugby league players . Future studies might
251wish to consider the long term health implications of continued exercise-induced pain in rugby players and
252the attitudes and actions taken by players and coaches to address this. It should also be stressed that the
253extensive reporting of muscle soreness occurred despite procedures being in place at the club aimed at
254maximising recovery. For example, all players were required to use post game ice baths, they were given
255a standardised protein:carbohydrate recovery drink and a standardised post-game meal and attended a post-
256game swim/stretch session at a local swimming pool. The vast majority of the post-game recovery strategy
257was consistent across all players although massage was available in the days following the match upon
258player request and this optionally additional therapy was not recorded in the present study.

259In conclusion, the present study has for the first time quantified the temporal sequence of muscle soreness
260over a full Super League season. We report that players perceive to be constantly sore throughout the season,
261and that muscle soreness is still apparent four days after a match. Focussing attention towards strategies to
262relieve muscle soreness and investigation of wider issues regarding player health and wellbeing is important
263to support players in the long term.

13

264 Table Legends

265 Table I Measures (median and inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for all
 266 positional groups on and for four days (D1-D4) after match day in elite rugby league players.

267

268 Table II Measures (median and inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for four days
 269 (D1-D4) after match day during early, mid- and late phases of the season.

270

271 Figure III Measures (median and inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for four days
 272 (D1-D4) after match day on artificial and grass surfaces. * indicates significant difference from Pre game.

273

274 Table IV Match characteristics (median and inter-quartile range) of backs, pivots and forwards during elite
 275 rugby league matches

276

277 Table V Correlations between match characteristics and lower and upper body soreness for backs,
 278 adjustables and forwards

279

280

281 Table I Median (inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for all positional groups on
 282 and for four days (D1-D4) after match day in elite rugby league players.

283

	Match day	D1 post	D2 post	D3 post	D4 post
Lower body		#	#	#	#
Backs (n = 69)	4 (0.5)	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (0.5)	4 (1)
Adjustables (n = 36)	5 (0.5)*‡	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (0)	3 (1)
Forwards (n = 116)	4 (0)	2 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)
Upper body		#	#	#	#
Backs (n = 69)	4 (2)	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)
Adjustables (n = 36)	5 (1)*‡	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)
Forwards (n = 116)	4 (1)	2 (2)	3 (2)	3 (1)	4 (1)

284 * indicates value significantly different to Backs, ‡ indicates significantly different to Forwards. # indicates
 285 muscle soreness values different to match day in all positions.

286

287 Table II Median (inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for four days (D1-D4) after
 288 match day during early, mid- and late phases of the season.

289

290

	Match day	D1 post	D2 post	D3 post	D4 post
Lower body		#	#	#	#
Early Phase	4.5 (1)	2 (1.13)	2 (1)	3 (1.3)	3.3 (1)
Mid-Phase	4 (1)	2 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)
Late-Phase	4 (0.5)*	2 (1.25)	3 (3)	3 (0)	3 (1)
Upper body		#	#	#	#
Early Phase	4.75 (1)	2 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)
Mid-Phase	4 (1)*	2 (1)	3 (2)	3 (1)	4 (1)
Late-Phase	4 (1)*	2 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	3 (1)

291 * indicates value significantly different to Early Phase value. # indicates muscle soreness values different to
 292 match day.

293

294

295

15

296 Table III Measures (median and inter-quartile range) of lower and upper body muscle soreness for four days
 297 (D1-D4) after match day on artificial and grass surfaces. * indicates significant difference from Pre game.

298

	Match day	D1 post	D2 post	D3 post	D4 post
		#	#	#	#
Lower body					
Artificial surface	4 (1)	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (0)	3 (1)
Grass surface	4 (1)	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (0.5)	3 (1)
Upper body		#	#	#	#
Artificial surface	4 (1)	2 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)	4 (1)
Grass surface	4 (1)	2 (2)	3 (2)	3 (1)	3 (1)

299 # indicates muscle soreness values different to match day.

300Table IV Median (inter-quartile range) for match characteristics of backs, adjustables and forwards during
 301elite rugby league matches
 302

	Backs (n = 69)	Adjustables (n = 36)	Forwards (n = 116)
Playing time (min)	80 (0)*	80 (17)*‡	56 (41.5)
Defensive collisions (#)	8 (9.5)*	14 (12)* ‡	24 (13)
Offensive collisions (#)	9 (4)	4 (4)*‡	8.5 (5)
Total collisions (#)	19 (8.5)*	21 (12)*	32 (15)
Total collisions (# · min ⁻¹)	0.3 (0.1)*	0.3 (0.3)*	0.6 (0.3)

¹⁾
 303* indicates values significantly different to Forwards; ‡ indicates values significantly different to Backs.

304Table V Correlations between match characteristics and lower and upper body soreness for backs, adjustables and forwards
305

	Lower body soreness				Upper body soreness			
	D1	D2	D3	D4	D1	D2	D3	D4
Playing time (min)								
Backs	0.076	0.203	0.253*	0.218	-0.102	0.118	0.166	0.020
Adjustable	-0.308	-0.310	-0.095	-0.016	-0.255	-0.307	-0.225	-0.153
Forwards	-0.578*	-0.527*	-0.474*	-0.460*	-0.629*	-0.593*	-0.479*	-0.434*
All	-0.372*	-0.260*	-0.197*	-0.154*	-0.366*	-0.311*	-0.226*	-0.247*
Offensive collisions (#)								
Backs	-0.144	-0.188	-0.139	0.162	-0.090	-0.096	0.073	0.074
Adjustable	0.194	0.150	0.232	0.147	0.222	0.383*	0.350*	0.239
Forwards	-0.229*	-0.227*	-0.138	-0.202*	-0.263**	-0.313**	-0.109	-0.084
All	-0.109	-0.199*	-0.131	-0.110	-0.164*	-0.168*	-0.032	-0.008
Defensive collisions (#)								
Backs	-0.130	0.102	0.232	0.080	0.055	0.125	0.105	-0.068
Adjustable	-0.391*	-0.153	0.025	0.008	-0.132	-0.116	-0.367*	-0.145
Forwards	-0.305*	-0.182	-0.214*	-0.236*	-0.424*	-0.222*	-0.249*	-0.218*
All	-0.254*	-0.067	-0.045	-0.055	-0.247*	-0.069	-0.116	-0.101
Total collisions (#)								
Backs	-0.258*	-0.192	-0.098	0.136	-0.068	-0.082	0.036	-0.016
Adjustable	0.121	0.145	0.225	0.144	0.244	0.370*	0.290	0.261
Forwards	-0.303*	-0.250*	-0.159	-0.222*	-0.338*	-0.310*	-0.153	-0.111
All	-0.207*	-0.213*	-0.134*	-0.132	-0.229*	-0.177*	-0.065	-0.047

306* indicates significant correlation

307References

- 308
309
310Andersson, H., Ekblom, B., & Krstrup, P. (2008). Elite football on artificial turf versus natural
311 grass: movement patterns, technical standards, and player impressions. *Journal of Sport*
312 *Sciences*, *26*, 113-122.
- 313Cheung, K., Hume, P., & Maxwell, L. (2003). Delayed onset muscle soreness: treatment strategies
314 and performance factors. *Sports Medicine*, *33*, 145-164.
- 315Close, G. L., Ashton, T., McArdle, A., & Maclaren, D. P. (2005). The emerging role of free radicals
316 in delayed onset muscle soreness and contraction-induced muscle injury. *Comparative*
317 *Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, *142*, 257-266.
- 318Drakos, M. C., Taylor, S. A., Fabricant, P. D., & Haleem, A. M. (2013). Synthetic playing surfaces
319 and athlete health. *Journal of American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery*, *21*, 293-302.
- 320Evans, S., Brewer, C., Haigh, J. D., Lake, M., Morton, J. P., & Close, G. L. (2015). The physical
321 demands of Super League rugby: Experiences of a newly promoted franchise. *European*
322 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, epub ahead of print.
- 323Gabbett, T., King, T., & Jenkins, D. (2008). Applied physiology of rugby league. *Sports Medicine*,
324 *38*, 119-138.
- 325Gabbett, T. J. (2012a). Influence of the opposing team on the physical demands of elite rugby
326 league match-play. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *6*, 1629-1635.
- 327Gabbett, T. J. (2012b). Sprinting patterns of National Rugby League competition. *Journal of*
328 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, *26*, 121-130.
- 329Gabbett, T. J. (2013). Influence of the opposing team on the physical demands of elite rugby league
330 match play. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *27*, 1629-1635.
- 331Gabbett, T. J., Jenkins, D. G., & Abernethy, B. (2012). Physical demands of professional rugby
332 league training and competition using microtechnology. *Journal of Science and Medicine in*
333 *Sport*, *15*, 80-86.
- 334Gains, G. L., Swedenhjelm, A. N., Mayhew, J. L., Bird, H. M., & Houser, J. J. (2010). Comparison
335 of speed and agility performance of college football players on field turf and natural grass.
336 *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *24*, 2613-2617.
- 337Hoffman, J. R., Kang, J., Ratamess, N. A., & Faigenbaum, A. D. (2005). Biochemical and hormonal
338 responses during an intercollegiate football season. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
339 *Exercise*, *37*, 1237-1241.
- 340Howatson, G., & Milak, A. (2009). Exercise-induced muscle damage following a bout of sport
341 specific repeated sprints. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *23*, 2419-2424.
- 342Johnston, R. D., Gabbett, T. J., & Jenkins, D. G. (2013). Influence of an intensified competition on
343 fatigue and match performance in junior rugby league players. *Journal of Science and*
344 *Medicine in Sport*, *16*, 460-465.
- 345Johnston, R. D., Gabbett, T. J., Seibold, A. J., & Jenkins, D. G. (2014). Influence of physical
346 contact on neuromuscular fatigue and markers of muscle damage following small-sided
347 games. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *17*, 535-540.
- 348King, T., Jenkins, D., & Gabbett, T. (2009). A time-motion analysis of professional rugby league
349 match-play. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *27*, 213-219.
- 350Kraemer, W. J., Spiering, B. A., Volek, J. S., Martin, G. J., Howard, R. L., Ratamess, N. A.,
351 Hatfield, D. L., Vingren, J. L., Ho, J. Y., Fragala, M. S., Thomas, G. A., French, D. N.,
352 Anderson, J. M., Hakkinen, K., & Maresh, C. M. (2009). Recovery from a national
353 collegiate athletic association division I football game: muscle damage and hormonal status.
354 *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *23*, 2-10.

355 Leeder, J. D., van Someren, K. A., Gaze, D., Jewell, A., Deshmukh, N. I., Shah, I., Barker, J., &
356 Howatson, G. (2014). Recovery and adaptation from repeated intermittent-sprint exercise.
357 *International of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *9*, 489-496.

358 Liston, K., Reacher, D., Smith, A., & Waddington, I. (2006). Managing pain and injury in non-elite
359 Rugby Union and Rugby League: A case study of players at a British university. *Sport in*
360 *Society: Cultures, Commerce, Media, Politics*, *9*, 388-402.

361 Marcora, S. M., Staiano, W., & Manning, V. (2009). Mental fatigue impairs physical performance
362 in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *106*, 857-864.

363 Mashiko, T., Umeda, T., Nakaji, S., & Sugawara, K. (2004). Position related analysis of the
364 appearance of and relationship between post-match physical and mental fatigue in university
365 rugby football players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *38*, 617-621.

366 McHugh, M. P., & Tetro, D. T. (2003). Changes in the relationship between joint angle and torque
367 production associated with the repeated bout effect. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *21*, 927-932.

368 McLean, B. D., Coutts, A. J., Kelly, V., McGuigan, M. R., & Cormack, S. J. (2010).
369 Neuromuscular, endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length
370 between-match microcycles in professional rugby league players. *International Journal of*
371 *Sports Physiology and Performance*, *5*, 367-383.

372 McLellan, C. P., Lovell, D. I., & Gass, G. C. (2011a). Markers of postmatch fatigue in professional
373 Rugby League players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *25*, 1030-1039.

374 McLellan, C. P., Lovell, D. I., & Gass, G. C. (2011b). Performance analysis of elite Rugby League
375 match play using global positioning systems. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning*
376 *Research*, *25*, 1703-1710.

377 Michaut, A., Pousson, M., Babault, N., & Van Hoecke, J. (2002). Is eccentric exercise-induced
378 torque decrease contraction type dependent? *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*,
379 *34*, 1003-1008.

380 Newham, D. J. (1988). The consequences of eccentric contractions and their relationship to delayed
381 onset muscle pain. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *57*, 353-359.

382 Poulos, C. C., Gallucci, J., Jr., Gage, W. H., Baker, J., Buitrago, S., & Macpherson, A. K. (2014).
383 The perceptions of professional soccer players on the risk of injury from competition and
384 training on natural grass and 3rd generation artificial turf. *BMC Sports Science Medicine*
385 *and Rehabilitation*, *6*, 11.

386 Takarada, Y. (2003). Evaluation of muscle damage after a rugby match with special reference to
387 tackle plays. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *37*, 416-419.

388 Twist, C., & Eston, R. G. (2009). The effect of exercise-induced muscle damage on perceived
389 exertion and cycling endurance performance. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *105*,
390 559-567.

391 Twist, C., Highton, J., Waldron, M., Edwards, E., Austin, D., & Gabbett, T. J. (2014). Movement
392 demands of elite rugby league players during Australian National Rugby League and
393 European Super League matches. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*
394 *Performance*, *9*, 925-930.

395 Twist, C., Waldron, M., Highton, J., Burt, D., & Daniels, M. (2012). Neuromuscular, biochemical
396 and perceptual post-match fatigue in professional rugby league forwards and backs. *Journal*
397 *of Sports Sciences*, *30*, 359-367.

398 Waldron, M., Twist, C., Highton, J., Worsfold, P., & Daniels, M. (2011). Movement and
399 physiological match demands of elite rugby league using portable global positioning
400 systems. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *29*, 1223-1230.

401 West, D. J., Cook, C. J., Stokes, K. A., Atkinson, P., Drawer, S., Bracken, R. M., & Kilduff, L. P.
402 (2014). Profiling the time-course changes in neuromuscular function and muscle damage
403 over two consecutive tournament stages in elite rugby sevens players. *Journal of Science*
404 *and Medicine in Sport*, *17*, 688-692.

405 Williams, S., Trewartha, G., Kemp, S. P., Michell, R., & Stokes, K. A. (2015). The influence of an
406 artificial playing surface on injury risk and perceptions of muscle soreness in elite Rugby
407 Union. *Scandinavian Journal of Sports Medicine*, epub ahead of print.
408
409
410