

# TORSION UNITS FOR SOME ALMOST SIMPLE GROUPS

JOE GILDEA

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Zassenhaus conjecture is true for  $Aut(PSL(2, 11))$ . Additionally we prove that the Prime graph question is true for  $Aut(PSL(2, 13))$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$  be the unit group of the integral group ring of a finite group  $G$ . It is well known that

$$U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{\pm 1\} \times V(\mathbb{Z}G),$$

where  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is the group of units of augmentation one. Throughout this article,  $G$  is always a finite group and torsion units will always represent torsion units in  $V(\mathbb{Z}G) \setminus \{1\}$ . A very important conjecture in the theory of integral group rings is:

**Conjecture 1.** *If  $G$  is a finite group, then for each torsion unit  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  there exists  $g \in G$ , such that  $|u| = |g|$  where  $|u|$  and  $|g|$  is the order of  $u$  and  $g$  respectively.*

A stronger version of this conjecture was formulated by Hans Zassenhaus in [37], which states that:

**Conjecture 2.** *A torsion unit in  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is said to be rationally conjugate to a group element if it is conjugate to an element of  $G$  by a unit of the rational group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}G$ .*

This conjecture was confirmed for some classes of solvable groups in [23], nilpotent groups in [32, 36] and cyclic-by-abelian groups in [18]. The Luthar-Passi Method (which was introduced in [29]) is the main investigative tool for simple groups  $G$  in relation to the Zassenhaus conjecture for  $\mathbb{Z}G$ . It was confirmed true for all groups up to order 71,  $A_5$ ,  $S_5$ , central extensions of  $S_5$  and other simple finite groups in [4, 5, 25, 29, 30]. Partial results were given for  $A_6$  in [33] and the remaining cases were dealt with in [21]. Higher order alternating groups were also considered in [34, 35]. It was also proved for  $PSL(2, p)$  when  $p = \{7, 11, 13\}$  in [22],  $PSL(2, p)$  when  $p = \{8, 17\}$  in [19] and  $PSL(2, p)$  when  $p = \{19, 23\}$  in [2]. Further results regarding  $PSL(2, p)$  can be found in [24].

Let  $H$  be a group with a torsion part  $t(H)$  (i.e. the set of elements of  $H$  of finite order) of finite exponent and  $\#H$  be the set of primes dividing the order of elements from the set  $t(H)$ . The prime graph of  $H$  (denoted by  $\pi(H)$ ) is a graph with vertices labeled by primes from  $\#H$ , such that vertices  $p$  and  $q$  are adjacent if and only if there is an element of order  $pq$  in the group  $H$ . The following, was composed as a problem in [31] (Problem 37):

**Question 1.** *(Prime Graph Question) If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $\pi(G) = \pi(V(\mathbb{Z}G))$ .*

This question was upheld for Frobenius and Solvable groups in [27] and was also confirmed for some Sporadic Simple groups in [3, 6–16]. We use the Luthar-Passi Method to obtain our results. Our results are the following:

**Theorem 1.** *The Zassenhaus Conjecture is true for the integral group ring of the automorphism group of the group  $PSL(2, 11)$ .*

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34, 16U60, 20C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Zassenhaus Conjecture, torsion unit, partial augmentation, integral group ring.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be the automorphism group of  $PSL(2, 13)$  and  $u$  be a torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . The following conditions hold:*

- (i) *If  $|u| \in \{2, 3, 13\}$ , then  $u$  is rationally conjugate to some  $g \in G$ .*
- (ii) *There are no elements of order 21, 26, 39 and 91 in  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $|u| = 4$ , then  $\nu_{rx} = 0 \forall rx \notin \{\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a}\}$  and*  

$$(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a}) \in \{(2, 0, -1), (0, 0, 1), (-2, 0, 3), (3, 1, -3),$$

$$(1, 1, -1), (-1, 1, 1), (-3, 1, 3)\}.$$
- (iv) *If  $|u| = 6$ , then  $\nu_{rx} = 0 \forall rx \notin \{\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a}\}$  and*  

$$(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a}) \in \{(0, -2, 0, 3), (0, -2, 3, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 3, -2),$$

$$(0, 2, 0, -1), (1, -1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0, -1)\}.$$
- (v) *If  $|u| = 7$ , then  $\nu_{rx} = 0 \forall rx \notin \{\nu_{7a}, \nu_{7b}, \nu_{7c}\}$  and*  

$$(\nu_{7a}, \nu_{7b}, \nu_{7c}) \in \{(2, -3, 2), (2, -2, 1), (1, -2, 2), (2, -1, 0), (1, -1, 1), (0, -1, 2),$$

$$(2, 0, -1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (-1, 0, 2), (2, 1, -2), (1, 1, -1),$$

$$(0, 1, 0), (-1, 1, 1), (-2, 1, 2), (2, 2, -3), (1, 2, -2), (0, 2, -1),$$

$$(-1, 2, 0), (-2, 2, 1), (-3, 2, 2)\}.$$

Consequently, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 1.** *The Prime Graph question is true for the integral group ring of the automorphism group of the group  $PSL(2, 13)$ .*

Let  $u = \sum a_g g$  be a torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . Then, the sum  $\sum_{g \in X^G} a_g \in \mathbb{Z}$  which is the partial augmentation (denoted by  $\varepsilon_C(u)$ ) of  $u$  with respect to its conjugacy classes  $X^G$  in  $G$ . Let  $\nu_i = \varepsilon_{C_i}(u)$  be the  $i$ -th partial augmentation of  $u$ . It was proved that  $\nu_1 = 0$  and  $\nu_j = 0$  if the conjugacy class  $C_j$  consists of a central element by G. Higman and S. D. Berman [1]. Therefore  $\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \dots + \nu_l = 1$  where  $l$  denotes the number of non-central conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.** ([17]) *Let  $u$  be a torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . The order of  $u$  divides the exponent of  $G$ .*

The following Propositions provide relationships between the partial augmentations and the order of a torsion unit.

**Proposition 2.** (Proposition 3.1 in [20]) *Let  $u$  be a torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . Let  $C$  be a conjugacy class of  $G$ . If  $p$  is a prime dividing the order of a representative of  $C$  but not the order of  $u$  then the partial augmentation  $\varepsilon_C(u) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 3.** (Proposition 2.2 in [22]) *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $u$  be a torsion unit in  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ .*

- (i) *If  $u$  has order  $p^n$ , then  $\varepsilon_x(u) = 0$  for every  $x$  of  $G$  whose  $p$ -part is of order strictly greater than  $p^n$ .*
- (ii) *If  $x$  is an element of  $G$  whose  $p$ -part, for some prime, has order strictly greater than the order of the  $p$ -part of  $u$ , then  $\varepsilon_x(u) = 0$ .*

**Proposition 4.** ([29]) *Let  $u$  be a torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order  $k$ . Then  $u$  is conjugate in  $\mathbb{Q}G$  to an element  $g \in G$  iff for each  $d$  dividing  $k$  there is precisely one conjugacy class  $C_{i_d}$  with partial augmentation  $\varepsilon_{C_{i_d}}(u^d) \neq 0$ .*

For any character  $\chi$  of  $G$  and any torsion unit  $u$  of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , clearly  $\chi(u) = \sum_{i=2}^l \nu_i \chi(h_i)$  where  $h_i$  is a representative of a non-central conjugacy class  $C_i$ .

**Proposition 5.** (Theorem 1 in [29] and [22]) *Let  $p$  be equal to zero or a prime divisor of  $|G|$ . Suppose that  $u$  is an element of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order  $k$ . Let  $z$  be a primitive  $k$ -th root of unity. Then for every integer  $l$  and any character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , the number*

$$\mu_l(u, \chi, p) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(z^d)/\mathbb{Q}} \{ \chi(u^d) z^{-dl} \}$$

is a non-negative integer.

We will use the notation  $\mu_l(u, \chi, *)$  when  $p = 0$ . The LAGUNA package [28] for the GAP system [26] is a very useful tool when calculating  $\mu_l(u, \chi, p)$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $G = \text{Aut}(PSL(2, 11))$ . Clearly  $|G| = 1320 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$  and  $\exp(G) = 660 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ . Initially for any torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order  $k$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_{2a} + \nu_{3a} + \nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} + \nu_{6a} + \nu_{11a} + \\ &\nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a} + \nu_{10a} + \nu_{10b} + \nu_{12a} + \nu_{12b} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, we need only to consider torsion units of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 22, 33 and 55. We shall now consider each case separately.

Case (i). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 2$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} = 1$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\mu_0(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 1) \geq 0; \quad \mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{2}(-\gamma + 1) \geq 0$$

where  $\gamma = \nu_{2a} - \nu_{2b}$ . Clearly,  $\gamma \in \{1, -1\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b})$  are  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (ii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 3$ . By Proposition 2,  $\nu_{kx} = 0$  for all

$$kx \in \{2a, 5a, 5b, 6a, 11a, 2b, 4a, 10a, 10b, 12a, 12b\}.$$

Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (iii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 4$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a} = 1$ . Clearly,  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(2a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_1 + 2) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(-\gamma_1 + 2) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(-\gamma_2 + 8) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_2 + 8) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_3 + 2) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_4, 11) &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_4 + 2) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = 2\nu_{2a} - 2\nu_{2b} - 2\nu_{4a}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 4\nu_{2a} - 4\nu_{4a}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = -2\nu_{2a} - 2\nu_{2b} + 2\nu_{4a}$  and  $\gamma_4 = -2\nu_{2a} + 2\nu_{2b} - 2\nu_{4a}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a})$  are  $(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (iv). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 5$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} = 1$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(u, \chi_7, 11) &= \frac{1}{5}(\gamma_1 + 7) \geq 0; & \mu_1(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{5}(-\gamma_1 + 3) \geq 0; \\ \mu_2(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{5}(\gamma_2 + 3) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = 3\nu_{5a} - 2\nu_{5b}$  and  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{5a} - 3\nu_{5b}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b})$  are  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (v). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 6$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,

$$\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a} = 1.$$

Let  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{2a} - \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{2a} - \nu_{3a} - \nu_{6a}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = \nu_{2a} - 2\nu_{6a} + \nu_{2b}$  and  $\gamma_4 = 2\nu_{2a} - 4\nu_{6a} - 2\nu_{2b}$ . We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^n)$  for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ :

- $\chi(u^3) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(3a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(4\gamma_1 + 8) \geq 0; & \mu_3(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-4\gamma_1 + 4) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-2\gamma_2 + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_2 + 7) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{6}(-2\gamma_3 + 2) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_3 + 2) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\gamma_1 \in \{-2, 1\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-7, -1, 5\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solution for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a})$  is  $(0, 0, 1, 0)$ .

- $\chi(u^3) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(3a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(4\gamma_1 + 6) \geq 0; & \mu_3(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-4\gamma_1 + 6) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-\gamma_2 + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_1(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-\gamma_2 + 9) \geq 0; \\ \mu_3(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(2\gamma_2 + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{6}(-2\gamma_3 + 2) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_3(u, \chi_4, 11) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_4 + 2) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\gamma_1 \in \{0\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-6, -3, 0, 3, 6\}$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a})$ .

Therefore  $u$ , is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

- Case (vi). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 10$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,

$$\nu_{2a} + \nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{10a} + \nu_{10b} = 1.$$

Let  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} - 4\nu_{2b} + \nu_{10a} + \nu_{10b}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{5a} - 3\nu_{5b} + 2\nu_{2b} + 2\nu_{10a} - 3\nu_{10b}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = 3\nu_{5a} - 2\nu_{5b} - 2\nu_{2b} + 3\nu_{10a} - 2\nu_{10b}$ ,  $\gamma_4 = -4\nu_{2a} + 2\nu_{5a} + 2\nu_{5b} - 4\nu_{2b} + 6\nu_{10a} + 6\nu_{10b}$  and  $\gamma_5 = -4\nu_{2a} + 2\nu_{5a} + 2\nu_{5b} + 4\nu_{2b} - 6\nu_{10a} - 6\nu_{10b}$ . We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^n)$  for  $n \in \{2, 5\}$ :

- $\chi(u^5) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(5a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(8\nu_{2a} + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_5(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-8\nu_{2a} + 8) \geq 0; \\ \mu_5(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(2\gamma_1 + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-2\gamma_1 + 10) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_2 + 15) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_4 + 4) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_0(u, \chi_4, 11) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_5 + 4) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\nu_{2a} \in \{1\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-5, 0, 5\}$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{10a}, \nu_{10b})$ .

- $\chi(u^5) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(5b)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(8\nu_{2a} + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_5(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-8\nu_{2a} + 8) \geq 0; \\ \mu_5(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(2\gamma_1 + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-2\gamma_1 + 10) \geq 0; \\ \mu_2(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_3 + 15) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_3, 11) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_4 + 4) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_0(u, \chi_4, 11) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_5 + 4) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\nu_{2a} \in \{1\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-5, 0, 5\}$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{10a}, \nu_{10b})$ .

- $\chi(u^5) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(5a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(8\nu_{2a} + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_5(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-8\nu_{2a} + 10) \geq 0; \\ \mu_5(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(2\gamma_1 + 8) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-2\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_1(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_2 + 13) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\nu_{2a} \in \{0\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-4, 1, 6\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{10a}, \nu_{10b})$  is  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ .

- $\chi(u^5) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(5b)$ . Applying Proposition, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(8\nu_{2a} + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_5(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-8\nu_{2a} + 10) \geq 0; \\ \mu_5(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(2\gamma_1 + 8) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(-2\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_2(u, \chi_{10}, *) &= \frac{1}{10}(\gamma_3 + 17) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\nu_{2a} \in \{0\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-4, 1, 6\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{10a}, \nu_{10b})$  is  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ .

Therefore (in all cases),  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (vii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 11$ . By Proposition 2,  $\nu_{kx} = 0 \forall kx \in \{2a, 3a, 5a, 5b, 6a, 2b, 4a, 10a, 10b, 12a, 12b\}$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

- Case (viii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 12$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,

$$\nu_{2a} + \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a} + \nu_{12a} + \nu_{12b} = 1.$$

Consider the cases  $\chi(u^6) = \chi(2k)$  where  $k \in \{a, b\}$ . Applying Proposition 5 (when  $k = a$ ), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(8\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_6(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(-8\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; \\ \mu_2(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(-2\gamma_2 + 2) \geq 0; & \mu_6(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(4\gamma_2 + 8) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_6, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(6\gamma_3 + 6) \geq 0; & \mu_5(u, \chi_6, *) &= \frac{1}{12}(-6\gamma_3 + 6) \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{2a} - \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{2a} - \nu_{3a} - \nu_{6a} - 2\nu_{4a} + \nu_{12a} + \nu_{12b}$  and  $\gamma_3 = \nu_{12a} - \nu_{12b}$ . Clearly  $\gamma_1 \in \{0\}$ ,  $\gamma_2 \in \{1\}$  and  $\gamma_3 \in \{-1, 1\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a}, \nu_{12a}, \nu_{12b})$  are  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$  and  $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

When  $k = b$ , it follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a}, \nu_{12a}, \nu_{12b})$  since  $\mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{6}$ .

Case (ix). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 15$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{3a} + \nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} = 1$ . Consider the cases  $\chi(u^3) = \chi(5k)$  where  $k \in \{a, b\}$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain the following system of inequalities:

$$\mu_3(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{15}(4\nu_{3a} + 6) \geq 0; \quad \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{15}(-16\nu_{3a} + 6) \geq 0.$$

Clearly, there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{3a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b})$ .

Case (x). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 20$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a} + \nu_{10a} + \nu_{10b} = 1$ . Consider the cases  $\chi(u^{10}) = \chi(2m_1)$  and  $\chi(u^5) = \chi(4m_2)$  and  $\chi(u^4) = \chi(5m_3)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(10m_4)$ , where

$$(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in \{(a, a, a, a), (a, a, a, b), (a, a, b, a), (a, a, b, b), (b, a, a, a), (b, a, a, b), (b, a, b, a), (b, a, b, b)\}.$$

Now

$$\mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) = -\frac{1}{10}$$

when  $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in \{(a, a, a, a), (a, a, a, b), (a, a, b, a), (a, a, b, b)\}$ . Also,

$$\mu_5(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{2}$$

when  $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in \{(b, a, a, a), (b, a, a, b), (b, a, b, a), (b, a, b, b)\}$ . Therefore, there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a}, \nu_{10a}, \nu_{10b})$ .

Case (xi). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 22$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{11a} + \nu_{2b} = 1$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{2a} + \nu_{11a} - \nu_{2b}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{2a} - \nu_{11a}$  and  $\gamma_3 = -2\nu_{2a} - \nu_{11a}$ .

We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^n)$  for  $n \in \{2, 11\}$ :

- $\chi(u^{11}) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(11a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(\gamma_1 - 1) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(-\gamma_1 + 1) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(5\gamma_2 + 1) \geq 0; & \mu_{11}(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(-5\gamma_2 - 1) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{11a}, \nu_{2b})$ .

- $\chi(u^{11}) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(11a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(\gamma_1 + 1) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(-\gamma_1 - 1) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(\gamma_2) \geq 0; & \mu_{11}(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(-\gamma_2) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(\gamma_2 + 11) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(\gamma_3) \geq 0; \\ & & \mu_{11}(u, \chi_4, *) &= \frac{1}{22}(-\gamma_3) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{11a}, \nu_{2b})$ .

Case (xii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 33$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{3a} + \nu_{11a} = 1$ . Now  $\chi(u^{11}) = \chi(3a)$  and  $\chi(u^3) = \chi(11a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\mu_{11}(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{33}(\gamma + 2) \geq 0; \quad \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{33}(-2\gamma - 4) \geq 0$$

where  $\gamma = 20\nu_{3a} + 10\nu_{11a}$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{3a}, \nu_{11a})$ .

Case (xiii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 55$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{5a} + \nu_{5b} + \nu_{11a} = 1$ . Consider the cases  $\chi(u^{11}) = \chi(5k)$  where  $k \in \{a, b\}$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{11}(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{55}(+10\nu_{11a}) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{55}(-40\nu_{11a}) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{55}(-\nu_{11a} + 11) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{5a}, \nu_{5b}, \nu_{11a})$  and this completes the proof.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $G = \text{Aut}(PSL(2, 13))$ . Clearly,  $|G| = 2184 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$  and  $\exp(G) = 1092 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ . Initially for any torsion unit of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order  $k$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{2a} + \nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c} + \nu_{14a} + \nu_{14b} + \nu_{14c} + \\ \nu_{2b} + \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a} + \nu_{4a} + \nu_{12a} + \nu_{12b} + \nu_{13a} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that the Zassenhaus conjecture holds, it is necessary to consider units of order 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 21, 26, 28, 39 and 91, by Proposition 1. We shall now separately consider units of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 21, 26, 39 and 91. Note that we not considering torsion units of  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  of order 12, 14 and 28 due to their complicated computations.

Case (i). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 2$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} = 1$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 1) \geq 0; \quad \mu_0(u, \chi_2, *) = \frac{1}{2}(-\gamma + 1) \geq 0$$

where  $\gamma = \nu_{2a} - \nu_{2b}$ . Clearly,  $\gamma \in \{1, -1\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b})$  are  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (ii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 3$ . By Proposition 2,  $\nu_{kx} = 0 \forall kx \in \{2a, 7a, 7b, 7c, 14a, 14b, 14c, 2b, 6a, 4a, 12a, 12b, 13a\}$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (iii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 4$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a} = 1$ . We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^2)$ :

- $\chi(u^2) = \chi(2a)$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a})$  since,  $\mu_1(u, \chi_2, 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

- $\chi(u^2) = \chi(2b)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(-2\gamma_1 + 2) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(2\gamma_1 + 2) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(-4\nu_{2a} + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{4}(4\nu_{2a} + 12) \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{2a} - \nu_{2b} + \nu_{4a}$ . Clearly  $\gamma_1 \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $\nu_{2a} \in \{k \mid -3 \leq k \leq 3\}$ . It follows that the possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{4a})$  are listed in Theorem 2.

Case (iv). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 6$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a} = 1$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = 2\nu_{2b} - \nu_{3a} - \nu_{6a}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \nu_{2b} - \nu_{3a} + \nu_{6a}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = -4\nu_{2b} + 2\nu_{3a} + 2\nu_{6a}$  and  $\gamma_4 = -4\nu_{2b} - 2\nu_{3a} + 2\nu_{6a}$ . We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^n)$  for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ :

- $\chi(u^3) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(3a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(2\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_1(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_1 + 15) \geq 0; \\ \mu_3(u, \chi_{13}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(4\gamma_2 + 18) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{13}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-4\gamma_2 + 18) \geq 0; \\ \mu_3(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_3 + 12) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\gamma_1 \in \{-6, -3, 0, 3, 6\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-3, 0, 3\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a})$  are  $(1, -1, 0, 1)$  and  $(1, 1, 0, -1)$ .

- $\chi(u^3) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(3a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(2\gamma_1 + 14) \geq 0; & \mu_1(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_1 + 13) \geq 0; \\ \mu_2(u, \chi_{13}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(2\gamma_2 + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{13}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(-4\gamma_2 + 16) \geq 0; \\ \mu_3(u, \chi_{11}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_3 + 10) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_{14}, *) &= \frac{1}{6}(\gamma_4 + 10) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\gamma_1 \in \{-7, -4, -1, 2, 5\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \{-5, -2, 1, 4\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{3a}, \nu_{6a})$  are  $(0, 2, 0, -1)$ ,  $(0, 0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, -2, 0, 3)$ ,  $(0, 0, 3, -2)$  and  $(0, -2, 3, 0)$ .

Case (v). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 7$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c} = 1$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{7}(\gamma_1 + 12) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{7}(\gamma_2 + 12) \geq 0; \\ \mu_3(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{7}(\gamma_3 + 12) \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = -5\nu_{7a} + 2\nu_{7b} + 2\nu_{7c}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{7a} + 2\nu_{7b} - 5\nu_{7c}$  and  $\gamma_3 = 2\nu_{7a} - 5\nu_{7b} + 2\nu_{7c}$ . Clearly,  $\gamma \in \{1, -1\}$ . It follows that the only possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{7a}, \nu_{7b}, \nu_{7c})$  are listed in Theorem 2.

Case (vi). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 13$ . By Proposition 2,  $\nu_{kx} = 0 \forall kx \in \{2a, 7a, 7b, 7c, 14a, 14b, 14c, 2b, 3a, 6a, 4a, 12a, 12b\}$ . Therefore,  $u$  is rationally conjugated to some element  $g \in G$  by Proposition 4.

Case (vii). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 21$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c} + \nu_{3a} = 1$ . Consider the cases  $\chi(u^7) = \chi(3a)$  and  $\chi(u^3) = m_1\chi(7a) + m_2\chi(7a) + m_3\chi(7a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(4\gamma_1 + 14) \geq 0; & \mu_7(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(-2\gamma_1 + k_1) \geq 0; \\ \mu_6(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(2\gamma_2 + k_2) \geq 0; & \mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(-\gamma_2 + k_3) \geq 0; \\ \mu_9(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(2\gamma_3 + k_4) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(-\gamma_3 + k_5) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_9, *) &= \frac{1}{21}(\gamma_4 + k_6) \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{7a} + 2\nu_{7b} - 5\nu_{7c}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = 2\nu_{7a} - 5\nu_{7b} + 2\nu_{7c}$  and  $\gamma_4 = -12\nu_{7a} - 12\nu_{7b} - 12\nu_{7c} + 12\nu_{3a}$  for all possible  $m_i, k_j$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{7a}, \nu_{7b}, \nu_{7c}, \nu_{3a})$  for all possible  $m_i, k_j$ . Note that all possible values for  $m_i, k_j$  are listed in Table 1.

Case (iv). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 26$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{13a} = 1$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = -\nu_{2a} + \nu_{2b} + \nu_{13a}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = 2\nu_{2a} + \nu_{13a}$  and  $\gamma_3 = 2\nu_{2a} - \nu_{13a}$ . We shall now separately consider the following cases involving  $\chi(u^n)$  for  $n \in \{2, 13\}$ :

- $\chi(u^{13}) = \chi(2a)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(13a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(\gamma_1 + 1) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(-\gamma_1 - 1) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(6\gamma_2 - 1) \geq 0; & \mu_{13}(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(-6\gamma_2 + 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{13a})$ .

- $\chi(u^{13}) = \chi(2b)$  and  $\chi(u^2) = \chi(13a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(\gamma_1 - 1) \geq 0; & \mu_2(u, \chi_2, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(-\gamma_1 + 1) \geq 0; \\ \mu_0(u, \chi_6, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(\gamma_3) \geq 0; & \mu_{13}(u, \chi_6, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(-\gamma_3) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{26}(-\gamma_2 + 13) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{2a}, \nu_{2b}, \nu_{13a})$ .

Case (ix). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 39$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{3a} + \nu_{13a} = 1$ . Now  $\chi(u^{13}) = \chi(3a)$  and  $\chi(u^3) = \chi(13a)$ . Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{13}(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{39}(+12\nu_{13a}) \geq 0; & \mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{39}(-24\nu_{13a}) \geq 0; \\ \mu_1(u, \chi_3, *) &= \frac{1}{39}(-\nu_{13a} + 13) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{3a}, \nu_{13a})$ .

Case (x). Let  $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$  where  $|u| = 91$ . Using Propositions 2 & 3,  $\nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c} + \nu_{13a} = 1$ . Consider the cases  $\chi(u^{13}) = m_1\chi(7a) + m_2\chi(7b) + m_3\chi(7c)$  and  $\chi(u^7) = \chi(13a)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \{ & (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (2, -3, 2), (2, -2, 1), (1, -2, 2), \\ & (2, -1, 0), (1, -1, 1), (0, -1, 2), (2, -1, 0), (-1, 0, 2), (2, 1, -2), \\ & (1, 1, -1), (-1, 1, 1), (-2, 1, 2), (2, 2, -3), (1, 2, -2), (0, 2, -1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 5, we obtain:

$$\mu_0(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{91}(24\gamma + 2) \geq 0; \quad \mu_7(u, \chi_3, *) = \frac{1}{91}(-2\gamma + 15) \geq 0$$

where  $\gamma = \nu_{7a} + \nu_{7b} + \nu_{7c} - 3\nu_{13a}$ . It follows that there are no possible integer solutions for  $(\nu_{7a}, \nu_{7b}, \nu_{7c}, \nu_{13a})$ .

We shall now consider the prime graph of  $G = \text{Aut}(PSL(2, 13))$ . Clearly [2, 3] and [2, 7] are adjacent in  $\pi(G)$  and consequently adjacent in  $\pi(V(\mathbb{Z}G))$ . However [2, 13], [3, 7], [3, 13] and [7, 13] are not adjacent in  $\pi(G)$ . Clearly  $\pi(G) = \pi(V(\mathbb{Z}G))$ , since there are no torsion units of order 21, 26, 39 and 91 in  $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ . This completes the proof.



TABLE 1. Possible values for  $m_i, k_j$  - units of order 21

$(m_1, m_2, m_3)$	$(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6)$	$(m_1, m_2, m_3)$	$(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6)$
(1, 0, 0)	(7, 14, 7, 14, 14, 9)	(0, 1, 0)	(14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 9)
(0, 0, 1)	(14, 7, 14, 14, 7, 9)	(2, -3, 2)	(0, 0, 0, 14, 0, 9)
(2, -2, 1)	(0, 7, 0, 14, 7, 9)	(1, -2, 2)	(7, 0, 7, 14, 0, 9)
(2, -1, 0)	(0, 14, 0, 14, 14, 9)	(1, -1, 1)	(7, 7, 21, 7, 14, 7)
(0, -1, 2)	(14, 0, 14, 14, 0, 9)	(2, 0, -1)	(0, 21, 0, 14, 21, 9)
(-1, 0, 2)	(21, 0, 21, 14, 0, 9)	(2, 1, -2)	(0, 28, 0, 14, 28, 9)
(1, 1, -1)	(7, 21, 7, 7, 14, 21)	(-1, 1, 1)	(21, 7, 7, 21, 14, 7)
(-2, 1, 2)	(28, 0, 28, 14, 0, 9)	(2, 2, -3)	(0, 35, 0, 14, 35, 9)
(1, 2, -2)	(7, 28, 7, 14, 28, 9)	(0, 2, 1)	(14, 21, 14, 14, 21, 9)
(-1, 2, 0)	(21, 14, 21, 14, 14, 9)	(-2, 2, 1)	(28, 7, 28, 14, 7, 9)
(-3, 2, 2)	(35, 0, 35, 14, 0, 9)		

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Artamonov and A. A. Bovdi, *Integral group rings: groups of invertible elements and classical K-theory*, Algebra. Topology. Geometry, Vol. 27 (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989, pp. 3–43, 232 (Russian). Translated in J. Soviet Math. **57** (1991), no. 2, 2931–2958. MR1039822 (91e:16028), Zbl 0732.16020
- [2] A. Bachle and L. Margolis, *Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups*, arXiv:1305.7419.
- [3] V. Bovdi, A. Grishkov, and A. Kononov, *Kimmerle conjecture for the Held and O’Nan sporadic simple groups*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **69** (2009), no. 3, 353–361. MR2510100 (2010m:16033), Zbl 1182.16030
- [4] V. Bovdi and M. Hertweck, *Zassenhaus conjecture for central extensions of  $S_5$* , J. Group Theory **11** (2008), no. 1, 63–74. MR2381018 (2009a:20010), Zbl 1143.16032
- [5] V. Bovdi, C. Höfert, and W. Kimmerle, *On the first Zassenhaus conjecture for integral group rings*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65** (2004), no. 3-4, 291–303. MR2107948 (2006f:20009), Zbl 1076.16028
- [6] V. Bovdi, E. Jespers, and A. B. Kononov, *Torsion units in integral group rings of Janko simple groups*, Math. Comp. **80** (2011), no. 273, 593–615. MR2728996 (2011j:20010), Zbl 1209.16026
- [7] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Integral group ring of the first Mathieu simple group*, Groups St. Andrews 2005. Vol. 1, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 339, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 237–245. MR2328163 (2008i:16039), Zbl 1120.16025
- [8] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Integral group ring of the Mathieu simple group  $M_{23}$* , Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 7, 2670–2680. MR2422512 (2009h:16045), Zbl 1148.16027
- [9] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Integral group ring of Rudvalis simple group*, Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. **61** (2009), no. 1, 3–13 (English, with English and Ukrainian summaries); English transl., Ukrainian Math. J. **61** (2009), no. 1, 1–13. MR2562187 (2011b:20010), Zbl 1209.16027.
- [10] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Torsion units in integral group ring of Higman-Sims simple group*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **47** (2010), no. 1, 1–11. MR2654223 (2011f:16054), Zbl 1221.16026
- [11] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Integral group ring of the McLaughlin simple group*, Algebra Discrete Math. **2** (2007), 43–53. MR2364062 (2009a:16045), Zbl 1159.16028
- [12] V. Bovdi and A. B. Kononov, *Integral group ring of the Mathieu simple group  $M_{24}$* , J. Algebra Appl. **11** (2012), no. 1, 1250016, 10. MR2900886, Zbl 1247.16032
- [13] V. Bovdi, A. B. Kononov, and S. Linton, *Torsion units in integral group ring of the Mathieu simple group  $M_{22}$* , LMS J. Comput. Math. **11** (2008), 28–39. MR2379938 (2009a:20006), Zbl 1225.16017
- [14] V. Bovdi, A. B. Kononov, and S. Linton, *Torsion units in integral group rings of Conway simple groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **21** (2011), no. 4, 615–634. MR2812661 (2012i:16048), Zbl 1234.16025
- [15] V. A. Bovdi, A. B. Kononov, and E. N. Marcos, *Integral group ring of the Suzuki sporadic simple group*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **72** (2008), no. 3-4, 487–503. MR2406705 (2009d:20004), Zbl 1156.16022

- [16] V. Bovdi, A. B. Kononov, and S. Siciliano, *Integral group ring of the Mathieu simple group  $M_{12}$* , Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **56** (2007), no. 1, 125–136. MR2313777 (2008g:20011), 1125.16020
- [17] J. A. Cohn and D. Livingstone, *On the structure of group algebras. I*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 583–593. MR0179266 (31 #3514), Zbl 0132.27404
- [18] M. Caicedo, L. Margolis, and Á. del Río, *Zassenhaus conjecture for cyclic-by-abelian groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), no. 1, 65–78. MR3092258, Zbl 1282.16040
- [19] J. Gildea, *Zassenhaus conjecture for integral group ring of simple linear groups*, J. Algebra Appl. **12** (2013), no. 6, 1350016, 10. MR3063455, Zbl 1280.16035
- [20] M. Hertweck, *On the torsion units of some integral group rings*, Algebra Colloq. **13** (2006), no. 2, 329–348. MR2208368 (2006k:16049), Zbl 1097.16009
- [21] M. Hertweck, *Zassenhaus conjecture for  $A_6$* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **118** (2008), no. 2, 189–195. MR2423231 (2009c:20010), Zbl 1149.16027
- [22] M. Hertweck, *Partial augmentations and Brauer character values of torsion units in group rings*, (<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0612429>) (2007).
- [23] M. Hertweck, *Contributions to the integral representation theory of groups (Habilitationsschrift, University of Stuttgart)*, electronic publication, <http://elib.uni-stuttgart.de/opus/volltexte/2004/1638>. (2004), 191 pages.
- [24] M. Hertweck, C. Höfert, and W. Kimmerle, *Finite groups of units and their composition factors in the integral group rings of the group  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$* , J. Group Theory **12** (2009), no. 6, 873–882. MR2582054 (2011d:20003), Zbl 1191.16036
- [25] C. Höfert and W. Kimmerle, *On torsion units of integral group rings of groups of small order*, Groups, rings and group rings, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., vol. 248, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 243–252. MR2226199 (2007d:16077), Zbl 1107.16031
- [26] The GAP Group, *GAP- Groups, Algorithms and Programming*, version 4.4 **2006** (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [27] W. Kimmerle, *On the prime graph of the unit group of integral group rings of finite groups*, Groups, rings and algebras, Contemp. Math., vol. 420, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 215–228. MR2279241 (2007m:16040), Zbl 1126.20001
- [28] A. Bovdi, A. Kononov, R. Rossmanith, and C. Schneider, *LAGUNA — Lie AlGebras and UNits of group Algebras*, Version 3.5.0; 2009 ([andrews.ac.uk/~alexk/laguna.htm](http://andrews.ac.uk/~alexk/laguna.htm) <http://www.cs.st>).
- [29] I. S. Luthar and I. B. S. Passi, *Zassenhaus conjecture for  $A_5$* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **99** (1989), no. 1, 1–5. MR1004634 (90g:20007), Zbl 0678.16008
- [30] I. S. Luthar and Poonam Trama, *Zassenhaus conjecture for  $S_5$* , Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), no. 8, 2353–2362. MR1123128 (92g:20003), Zbl 0729.16021
- [31] *Mini-Workshop*, Arithmetik von Gruppenringen, Oberwolfach Rep. 4 (2007), no. 4, 3209 – 3239, Abstracts from the mini-workshop held November 25 – December 1, 2007, Organized by E. Jespers, Z. Marciniak, G. Nebe and W. Kimmerle, Oberwolfach Reports. Vol. 4, no. 4. MR2463649, Zbl 1177.16002
- [32] K. Roggenkamp and L. Scott, *Isomorphisms of  $p$ -adic group rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 3, 593–647, DOI 10.2307/1971362. MR916720 (89b:20021), Zbl 0633.20003
- [33] M. Salim, *Torsion units in the integral group ring of the alternating group of degree 6*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), no. 12, 4198–4204. MR2372329 (2008k:20007), Zbl 1161.16023
- [34] M. Salim, *Kimmerle’s conjecture for integral group rings of some alternating groups*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) **27** (2011), no. 1, 9–22. MR2813587 (2012k:16052), Zbl 1240.16047
- [35] M. Salim, *The prime graph conjecture for integral group rings of some alternating groups*, Int. J. Group Theory **2** (2013), no. 1, 175–185. MR3065873, Zbl 1301.16045
- [36] A. Weiss, *Rigidity of  $p$ -adic  $p$ -torsion*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. 2, 317–332, DOI 10.2307/2007056. MR932300 (89g:20010), Zbl 0647.20007
- [37] H. Zassenhaus, *On the torsion units of finite group rings*, Studies in mathematics (in honor of A. Almeida Costa) (Portuguese), Instituto de Alta Cultura, Lisbon, 1974, pp. 119–126. MR0376747 (51 #12922), Zbl 0313.16014

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF CHESTER, ENGLAND  
*E-mail address*: j.gildea@chester.ac.uk